APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION
A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): February 28, 2017

B. ST PAUL, MN DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: MVP-2017-00531-SJW

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:
   State: Wisconsin    County/parish/borough: Monroe    City: Warrens
   Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 44.15317° N, Long. -90.47479° E.
   Universal Transverse Mercator: Zone 15
   Name of nearest waterbody: Jay Creek
   Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 07070003
   ☑ Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.
   ☐ Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc…) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
   ☑ Office (Desk) Determination. Date: February 28, 2017
   ☐ Field Determination. Date(s):

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS
A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
   There are no “navigable waters of the U.S.” within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
   There are no “waters of the U.S.” within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR par. 328) in the review area.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS
A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs: N/A

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY): N/A

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION: N/A

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): N/A

E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): N/A

F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
   ☐ If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers
   ☐ Other: (explain, if not covered above):

SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.
A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):
   ☑ Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Project plans submitted by landowner
   ☑ Tim Pierce show the proposed pond situated entirely in an upland area.
   ☐ Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
   ☐ Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
   ☐ Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
   ☐ Data sheets prepared by the Corps.
   ☐ Corps navigable waters’ study:
   ☐ U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
     ☐ USGS NHD data.
     ☐ USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: The proposed pond would be constructed entirely in uplands, and all excess excavated material which would be generated from the pond excavation would also be discharged into uplands. The project review area is comprised entirely of uplands. Based on this information, the proposed project would not result in the discharge of dredged or fill material into WoUS and therefore the Corps has no jurisdiction over the proposed project.