APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD):

JUL 0 3 2019

B. ST PAUL, MN DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: RC Distribution, Village of Mount Pleasant (Wetlands A-2, A-5, and A-6)/ 2018-02816-JLK

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

State: Wisconsin

County/parish/borough: Racine

City: Mount Pleasant

Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 42.716164° N, Long. -87.948026° E

Universal Transverse Mercator: Zone 16

Name of nearest waterbody: Unnamed Tributary of Hoods Creek

Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): Southwestern Lake Michigan (04040002)

Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.

Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

Office (Desk) Determination. Date: July 2, 2019

Field Determination. Date(s): April 10, 2019

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are no "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.

1. Waters of the U.S.: N/A

2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):1

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: The review area contains three aquatic resources (labeled as Wetland A-2, A-5, and A-6 on Figures) that are isolated depressions with no surface or shallow subsurface connection to any waters of the U.S. including the nearest tributary which is an unnamed tributary of Hoods Creek located approximately 680 feet to the south of Wetland A-2. These wetlands do not border, neighbor, nor are contiguous with another water of the U.S. They are not separated from other waters of the United States (WOUS) by manmade dikes, barriers, or berms. The surrounding land use consists mostly of commercial development and agricultural fields, precluding an ecological connection to a WOUS.

These aquatic resources do not support a link to interstate foreign commerce; are not known to be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreation or other purposes; do not produce fish or shellfish that could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce; and are not known to be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. Therefore, the Corps has determined these aquatic features are not regulated by the Corps under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS


- A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs: N/A
- B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY): N/A
- C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION: N/A


¹ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

- D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): $\rm N\!/\!A$
- E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): N/A

If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements. Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR). Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: Other (explain, if not covered above):
tors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional gment (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands: Wetlands A-2, A-5, and A-6: Totaling 0.78 acres.
ovide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such inding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands: acres.
Corps navigable waters' study: U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: USGS NHD data. USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps. U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Racine County National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name:

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:





么 SEH

501 Maple Avenue Delafield, WI 53108 (262) 646-6855 Project: MLGHI 143846 Print Date: 9/28/2018

Map by: mnied Projection: WISCRS, Racine County Wetland Delineation

Village of Mount Pleasant, Racine County, Wisconsin

Figure 5

2018-02816-JLK (Figure 2 of 2)