This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): April 17, 2020


C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:
   State: WI       County/parish/borough: Waukesha       City: City of Waukesha
   Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 42.974409° N, Long. -88.255222° E.
   Universal Transverse Mercator: Zone 16 (X 397645.892106, Y 4758737.341101)
   Name of nearest waterbody: Tributary to Fox River
   Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): Upper Mississippi Region (07120006)
   ☑ Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.
   ☑ Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc…) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
   ☑ Office (Desk) Determination. Date: April 2, 2020
   ☐ Field Determination. Date(s): 

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are no “navigable waters of the U.S.” within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are no “waters of the U.S.” within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.

   1. Waters of the U.S.: N/A
   2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):[1]
      ☑ Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional.
      Explain: The review area contains 4 intrastate wetlands, Wetland 1 through 4, and a rock armored drainage ditch, each of which are within the review area. Wetland 1 through 4 are within a depressional areas as described in the raSmith Wetland Delineation Report dated October 22, 2019 and were each created as a result of grading for building pads or as a borrow site for an adjacent road improvement project. The site is still active. In accordance with the preamble to the 1986 Corps Regulations (33 CFR Parts 320-330), the Corps does not generally consider the following waters to be waters of the United States; water filled depressions created in dry land incidental to construction activity and pits excavated in dry land for the purpose of obtaining fill, sand, or gravel unless and until the construction or excavation operation is abandoned and the resulting body of water meets the definition of waters of the United States (see 33 CFR 328.3[a]). The rock armored drainage ditch was constructed in upland and does not carry perennial flow. In accordance with the preamble to the 1986 Corps Regulations (33 CFR Parts 320-330), the Corps does not generally consider the following waters to be waters of the United States; non-tidal drainage ditches excavated on dry land. Further clarification is provided in the December 2, 2008 Revised Guidance on Clean Water Act Jurisdiction following the Supreme Court Decision in Rapanos v. U.S. and Carabell v. U.S. issued jointly by the Corps and EPA which indicates that ditches excavated wholly in and draining only uplands and do not carry relatively permanent flow are not waters of the United States.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs: N/A

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY): N/A

---

[1] Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.
C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION: N/A

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): N/A

E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): N/A

F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

☐ If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.
☐ Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.
☐ Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in “SWANCC,” the review area would have been regulated based solely on the “Migratory Bird Rule” (MBR).
☐ Waters do not meet the “Significant Nexus” standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain:
☐ Other (explain, if not covered above): See B2 above, Rock Armored Drainage Ditch is ~ 400 linear feet. Wetland 1 is 0.12, Wetland 2 is 0.07, Wetland 3 is 0.02 and Wetland 4 is 0.03

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply):

☐ Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): ______________ linear feet width (ft).
☐ Lakes/ponds: ______________ acres.
☐ Other non-wetland waters: ______________ acres. List type of aquatic resource: .
☐ Wetlands: ______________ acres.

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the “Significant Nexus” standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):

☐ Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): ______________ linear feet width (ft).
☐ Lakes/ponds: ______________ acres.
☐ Other non-wetland waters: ______________ acres. List type of aquatic resource: .
☐ Wetlands: ______________ acres.

SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.

A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):

☒ Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: raSmith Wetland Delineation Report dated October 22, 2019

☒ Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
☐ Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
☐ Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.

☒ Data sheets prepared by the Corps:

☐ Corps navigable waters’ study:

☐ U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
☐ USGS NHD data.
☑ USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.

☒ U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: 1:24K WI-Genesee

☒ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Waukesha County

☑ National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name:

☒ State/Local wetland inventory map(s): Wisconsin Wetland Inventory (Wisconsin DNR Surface Water Data Viewer)

☐ FEMA/FIRM maps:

☐ 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929)

☒ Photographs: ☒ Aerial (Name & Date): aerial photos in application: 1990, 2000, 2005, 2010, 2015 or ☒ Other (Name & Date): ground level photos in application

☐ Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:

☐ Applicable/supporting case law:

☐ Applicable/supporting scientific literature:

☐ Other information (please specify):

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: