Approved Jurisdictional Determination Form
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

Section I: Background Information
A. Report Completion Date for Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD): 4/21/2016
B. ST PAUL, MN District Office, File Name, and Number: 2016-00968-JLK
C. Project Location and Background Information:
   State: WI  County/parish/borough: Brown  City: De Pere
   Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 44.4282° N, Long. -88.0354° W.
   Universal Transverse Mercator:
   Name of nearest waterbody: East River
   Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): Lower Fox River
   ☑ Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.
   ☐ Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc.) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

D. Review Performed for Site Evaluation (Check All That Apply):
   ☑ Office (Desk) Determination. Date: 4/13/2016
   ☐ Field Determination. Date(s):

Section II: Summary of Findings
A. RHA Section 10 Determination of Jurisdiction.
   There are no “navigable waters of the U.S.” within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.

B. CWA Section 404 Determination of Jurisdiction.
   There are no “waters of the U.S.” within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.

Section III: CWA Analysis
A. TNWs and Wetlands Adjacent to TNWs: N/A
B. Characteristics of Tributary (That Is Not A TNW) and Its Adjacent Wetlands (If Any): N/A
C. Significant Nexus Determination: N/A
D. Determinations of Jurisdictional Findings. The Subject Waters/Wetlands Are (Check All That Apply): N/A
E. Isolated [interstate or intra-state] Waters, Including Isolated Wetlands, the Use, Degradation or Destruction of Which Could Affect Interstate Commerce, Including Any Such Waters (Check All That Apply): N/A
F. Non-Jurisdictional Waters, Including Wetlands (Check All That Apply):
   ☐ If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers
   ☑ Other: (explain, if not covered above): The stormwater pond is not a water of the U.S. pursuant to the preamble to the Corps 1986 regulations (33 CFR Parts 320-330). The area immediately adjacent to the pond consists of uplands.

Section IV: Data Sources
A. Supporting Data. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):
   ☑ Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Graef 3/24/2016
   ☑ Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
   ☐ Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
   ☐ Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
   ☐ Data sheets prepared by the Corps:
   ☐ Corps navigable waters’ study:
   ☐ U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
   ☐ USGS NHD data.
   ☐ USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
   ☐ U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name:
B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: The 0.18 acre wetland is a stormwater pond that was constructed in uplands in 2000 and is not considered a water of the U.S. Land surrounding the pond was determined as uplands showing no wetland characteristics (Graef, June of 2014). The non-jurisdictional determination for this pond is in accordance with the preamble to the 1986 Corps Regulations (33 CFR Parts 320-330), which states that the Corps does not generally consider the following to be waters of the US; artificial lakes or ponds created by excavating and/or diking dry land to collect and retain water and which are used exclusively for such purposes as stock watering, irrigation, settling basins, or rice growing.