



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS, ST. PAUL DISTRICT
ST. PAUL DISTRICT OFFICE
332 MINNESOTA STREET SUITE E1500
ST. PAUL MINNESOTA 55101

MVP

MEMORANDUM FOR RECORD

SUBJECT: US Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) Approved Jurisdictional Determination in accordance with the “Revised Definition of ‘Waters of the United States’”; (88 FR 3004 (January 18, 2023) as amended by the “Revised Definition of ‘Waters of the United States’; Conforming” (8 September 2023) ,¹ MVP-2026-00415 MFR 1 of 1.

BACKGROUND. An Approved Jurisdictional Determination (AJD) is a Corps document stating the presence or absence of waters of the United States on a parcel or a written statement and map identifying the limits of waters of the United States on a parcel. AJDs are clearly designated appealable actions and will include a basis of JD with the document.² AJDs are case-specific and are typically made in response to a request. AJDs are valid for a period of five years unless new information warrants revision of the determination before the expiration date or a District Engineer has identified, after public notice and comment, that specific geographic areas with rapidly changing environmental conditions merit re-verification on a more frequent basis.³

On January 18, 2023, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the Department of the Army (“the agencies”) published the “Revised Definition of ‘Waters of the United States,’” 88 FR 3004 (January 18, 2023) (“2023 Rule”). On September 8, 2023, the agencies published the “Revised Definition of ‘Waters of the United States’; Conforming”, which amended the 2023 Rule to conform to the 2023 Supreme Court decision in *Sackett v. EPA*, 598 U.S., 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023) (“*Sackett*”).

This Memorandum for Record (MFR) constitutes the basis of jurisdiction for a Corps AJD as defined in 33 CFR §331.2. For the purposes of this AJD, we have relied on Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 (RHA),⁴ the 2023 Rule as amended, as well as other applicable guidance, relevant case law, and longstanding practice in evaluating jurisdiction.

1. SUMMARY OF CONCLUSIONS.

¹ While the Revised Definition of “Waters of the United States”; Conforming had no effect on some categories of waters covered under the CWA, and no effect on any waters covered under RHA, all categories are included in this Memorandum for Record for efficiency.

² 33 CFR 331.2.

³ Regulatory Guidance Letter 05-02.

⁴ USACE has authority under both Section 9 and Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 but for convenience, in this MFR, jurisdiction under RHA will be referred to as Section 10.

MVP

SUBJECT: 2023 Rule, as amended, Approved Jurisdictional Determination in Light of *Sackett v. EPA*, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023), MVP-2026-00415

- a. Provide a list of each individual feature within the review area and the jurisdictional status of each one (i.e., identify whether each feature is/is not a water of the United States and/or a navigable water of the United States).

Name of Aquatic Resource	JD or Non-JD	Section 404/Section 10
Wetland 1	Non-Jurisdictional	Non-WOTUS
Wetland 2	Non-Jurisdictional	Non-WOTUS
Wetland 3	Non-Jurisdictional	Non-WOTUS
Wetland 4	Non-Jurisdictional	Non-WOTUS
Wetland 5	Non-Jurisdictional	Non-WOTUS
Wetland 6	Non-Jurisdictional	Non-WOTUS
Wetland 7	Non-Jurisdictional	Non-WOTUS
Wetland 8	Non-Jurisdictional	Non-WOTUS
Wetland 12	Non-Jurisdictional	Non-WOTUS
Wetland 13	Non-Jurisdictional	Non-WOTUS

2. REFERENCES.

- a. "Revised Definition of 'Waters of the United States,'" 88 FR 3004 (January 18, 2023) ("2023 Rule")
- b. "Revised Definition of 'Waters of the United States'; Conforming" 88 FR 61964 (September 8, 2023))
- c. *Sackett v. EPA*, 598 U.S. 651, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023)
- d. Memorandum To the Field Between the U.S. Department Of The Army, U.S. Army Corps Of Engineers And The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Concerning The Proper Implementation Of 'Continuous Surface Connection' Under The Definition Of "Waters Of The United States" Under The Clean Water Act" (March 12, 2025)

MVP

SUBJECT: 2023 Rule, as amended, Approved Jurisdictional Determination in Light of *Sackett v. EPA*, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023), MVP-2026-00415

3. REVIEW AREA.

- a. Project Are Size (in acres): 38 acres
- b. Location Description: The project/review area is located in Section 23, Township 33N, Range 16W, Polk County, Wisconsin.
- c. Center Coordinates of the Project Site (in decimal degrees)
Latitude: 45.327610 Longitude: -92.312600
- d. Nearest City or Town: Amery
- e. County: Polk
- f. State: Wisconsin
- g. Other associated Jurisdictional Determinations (including outcomes): N/A

4. NEAREST TRADITIONAL NAVIGABLE WATER (TNW), THE TERRITORIAL SEAS, OR INTERSTATE WATER TO WHICH THE AQUATIC RESOURCE IS CONNECTED. N/A⁵

5. FLOWPATH FROM THE SUBJECT AQUATIC RESOURCES TO A TNW, THE TERRITORIAL SEAS, OR INTERSTATE WATER. N/A

6. SECTION 10 JURISDICTIONAL WATERS⁶: Describe aquatic resources or other features within the review area determined to be jurisdictional in accordance with Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899. Include the size of each aquatic resource or other feature within the review area and how it was determined to be jurisdictional in accordance with Section 10.⁷
N/A

7. SECTION 404 JURISDICTIONAL WATERS: Describe the aquatic resources within the review area that were found to meet the definition of waters of the United States in accordance with the 2023 Rule as amended, consistent with the Supreme Court's decision in *Sackett*. List each aquatic resource separately, by name, consistent with the naming convention used in section 1, above. Include a rationale for each aquatic

⁵ This MFR should not be used to complete a new stand-alone TNW determination. A stand-alone TNW determination for a water that is not subject to Section 9 or 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 (RHA) is completed independently of a request for an AJD. A stand-alone TNW determination is conducted for a specific segment of river or stream or other type of waterbody, such as a lake, where upstream or downstream limits or lake borders are established.

⁶ 33 CFR 329.9(a) A waterbody which was navigable in its natural or improved state, or which was susceptible of reasonable improvement (as discussed in § 329.8(b) of this part) retains its character as "navigable in law" even though it is not presently used for commerce, or is presently incapable of such use because of changed conditions or the presence of obstructions.

⁷ This MFR is not to be used to make a report of findings to support a determination that the water is a navigable water of the United States. The district must follow the procedures outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a determination that water is a navigable water of the United States subject to Section 10 of the RHA.

MVP

SUBJECT: 2023 Rule, as amended, Approved Jurisdictional Determination in Light of *Sackett v. EPA*, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023), MVP-2026-00415

resource, supporting that the aquatic resource meets the relevant category of “waters of the United States” in the 2023 Rule as amended. The rationale should also include a written description of, or reference to a map in the administrative record that shows, the lateral limits of jurisdiction for each aquatic resource, including how that limit was determined, and incorporate relevant references used. Include the size of each aquatic resource in acres or linear feet and attach and reference related figures as needed. N/A

- a. Traditional Navigable Waters (TNWs) (a)(1)(i): N/A
- b. The Territorial Seas (a)(1)(ii): N/A
- c. Interstate Waters (a)(1)(iii): N/A
- d. Impoundments (a)(2): N/A
- e. Tributaries (a)(3): N/A
- f. Adjacent Wetlands (a)(4): N/A
- g. Additional Waters (a)(5): N/A

8. NON-JURISDICTIONAL AQUATIC RESOURCES AND FEATURES

- a. Describe aquatic resources and other features within the review area identified in the 2023 Rule as amended as not “waters of the United States” even where they otherwise meet the terms of paragraphs (a)(2) through (5). Include the type of excluded aquatic resource or feature, the size of the aquatic resource or feature within the review area and describe how it was determined to meet one of the exclusions listed in 33 CFR 328.3(b).⁸ N/A
- b. Describe aquatic resources and features within the review area that were determined to be non-jurisdictional because they do not meet one or more categories of waters of the United States under the 2023 Rule as amended (e.g., tributaries that are non-relatively permanent waters; non-tidal wetlands that do not have a continuous surface connection to a jurisdictional water).

Wetlands 1-8 and 12-13 are not TNWs, territorial seas, or interstate waters and are therefore not (a)(1) waters. A May 1, 2026, desktop review using NRV data including topographic maps, aerial imagery and LIDAR indicates that these features are depressional areas surrounded by uplands. The

⁸ 88 FR 3004 (January 18, 2023)

MVP

SUBJECT: 2023 Rule, as amended, Approved Jurisdictional Determination in Light of *Sackett v. EPA*, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023), MVP-2026-00415

features do not physically abut a relatively permanent paragraph (a)(2) impoundment or a jurisdictional (a)(3) tributary and are not separated from a jurisdictional water by a natural berm, bank, dune, or similar natural landform. These features are nontidal wetlands that do not have a continuous surface connection to a relatively permanent jurisdictional water and as such do not meet the definition of adjacent and cannot be evaluated as (a)(4) adjacent wetlands; therefore, these wetlands are not jurisdictional under the 2023 Revised Definition of 'Waters of the United States'; Conforming" 88 FR 61964 Final Rule.


9. DATA SOURCES. List sources of data/information used in making determination. Include titles and dates of sources used and ensure that information referenced is available in the administrative record.
 - a. Multiple office evaluation(s) were conducted in April and May 2026.
 - b. Four Hands Holsteins Wetland Delineation Report by MSA Professional Services, Inc. dated February 2026. 2025 DNR Assured Wetland Delineator Jeff Felland conducted the field investigation on October 29 and 30, 2025. The wetland delineation report used the US Army Corps of Engineers, *Wetlands Delineation Manual* (Technical Report Y-87-1) and the January 2012 *Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Northcentral and Northeast Region* (Version 2.0) for the methods used. Vegetation was classified based on the Wisconsin Wetland Inventory classification systems. Plant names and hydrophytic status were determined by using the 2022 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers *Northcentral and Northeast Regional Wetland Plant Lists*. Hydric soils were classified according to the USDA-NRCS 2024 *Field Indicators of Hydric Soils in the United States*, (Version 9.0).
 - c. National Regulatory Viewer; Wisconsin Wetlands Inventory (WWI) Map, Town of Lincoln, Wisconsin; National Wetlands Inventory (NWI) Map, Town of Lincoln, Wisconsin; SDA Soil Resources Report and NRCS Soils Map, Town of Lincoln, Wisconsin; Topographic Map, Town of Lincoln, Wisconsin
 - d. Google Earth Aerial Imagery dated from 1937, 1995-2002, 2005, 2006, 2008, 2010, 2013, 2015, 2017, 2018, 2020, 2022, 2023 and 2024
 - e. WETS weather stations and Palmer Drought Index

10. OTHER SUPPORTING INFORMATION. N/A

MVP

SUBJECT: 2023 Rule, as amended, Approved Jurisdictional Determination in Light of *Sackett v. EPA*, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023), MVP-2026-00415


11. NOTE: The structure and format of this MFR were developed in coordination with the EPA and Department of the Army. The MFR's structure and format may be subject to future modification or may be rescinded as needed to implement additional guidance from the agencies; however, the approved jurisdictional determination described herein is a final agency action.



Print Date: 2/21/2025
Printed By: Jelland, File: G:\2424521\24521000\GISV_24521000_FourHandsHolsteins_Wetlands_20250812.aprx, 6: WetlandDelineation



Data Sources:
Wisconsin DNR SWDV (Streaming), WisDOT (2025)
Statewide Parcel Initiative (2025), Aerial: WDNR Latest Leaf Off



- ▮ Investigation Limits
- Picture
- ~ 10' Contour
- Upland Sample
- - - Wetland Boundaries
- Parcel Boundary
- Wetland Sample
- Wetland Areas
- ~ 1' Contour
- Culvert

FIGURE 6

WETLAND DELINEATION

FOUR HANDS HOLSTEINS
TOWN OF LINCOLN,
POLK CO, WISCONSIN



AJD Review Area
38 acres

Wetland 13
Wetland 12

Wetland 8
Wetland 6
Wetland 7
Wetland 5

Wetland 3
Wetland 2
Wetland 1


Wetland 4

Wetlands 1-8, 12 &, 13
Determined isolated and non-jurisdictional

Copyright: © 2013 National Geographic Society, i-cubed, County of Polk, WI, Esri Canada, Esri, HERE, Garmin, INCREMENT P, USGS, EPA, USDA



MVP-2026-00415
Page 2 of 2



Map Center: 92.299826°W 45.327939°N

Map Created by: KME

Date: 4/30/2026

Coordinate System: WGS 1984 Web Mercator Auxiliary Sphere