

MVP-RD

SUBJECT: 2023 Rule, as amended, Approved Jurisdictional Determination in Light of *Sackett v. EPA*, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023), MVP-2025-00970-TKO

MVP-RD

November 24, 2025

## MEMORANDUM FOR RECORD

SUBJECT: US Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) Approved Jurisdictional Determination in accordance with the “Revised Definition of ‘Waters of the United States’”; (88 FR 3004 (January 18, 2023) as amended by the “Revised Definition of ‘Waters of the United States’; Conforming” (8 September 2023),<sup>1</sup> MVP-2025-00970-TKO [MFR 1 of 1](#)<sup>2</sup>

**BACKGROUND.** An Approved Jurisdictional Determination (AJD) is a Corps document stating the presence or absence of waters of the United States on a parcel or a written statement and map identifying the limits of waters of the United States on a parcel. AJDs are clearly designated appealable actions and will include a basis of JD with the document.<sup>3</sup> AJDs are case-specific and are typically made in response to a request. AJDs are valid for a period of five years unless new information warrants revision of the determination before the expiration date or a District Engineer has identified, after public notice and comment, that specific geographic areas with rapidly changing environmental conditions merit re-verification on a more frequent basis.<sup>4</sup>

On January 18, 2023, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the Department of the Army (“the agencies”) published the “Revised Definition of ‘Waters of the United States,’” 88 FR 3004 (January 18, 2023) (“2023 Rule”). On September 8, 2023, the agencies published the “Revised Definition of ‘Waters of the United States’; Conforming”, which amended the 2023 Rule to conform to the 2023 Supreme Court decision in *Sackett v. EPA*, 598 U.S., 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023) (“*Sackett*”).

This Memorandum for Record (MFR) constitutes the basis of jurisdiction for a Corps AJD as defined in 33 CFR §331.2. For the purposes of this AJD, we have relied on Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 (RHA),<sup>5</sup> the 2023 Rule as amended,

---

<sup>1</sup> While the Revised Definition of “Waters of the United States”; Conforming had no effect on some categories of waters covered under the CWA, and no effect on any waters covered under RHA, all categories are included in this Memorandum for Record for efficiency.

<sup>2</sup> When documenting aquatic resources within the review area that are jurisdictional under the Clean Water Act (CWA), use an additional MFR and group the aquatic resources on each MFR based on the TNW, the territorial seas, or interstate water that they are connected to. Be sure to provide an identifier to indicate when there are multiple MFRs associated with a single AJD request (i.e., number them 1, 2, 3, etc.).

<sup>3</sup> 33 CFR 331.2.

<sup>4</sup> Regulatory Guidance Letter 05-02.

<sup>5</sup> USACE has authority under both Section 9 and Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 but for convenience, in this MFR, jurisdiction under RHA will be referred to as Section 10.

MVP-RD

SUBJECT: 2023 Rule, as amended, Approved Jurisdictional Determination in Light of *Sackett v. EPA*, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023), MVP-2025-00970-TKO

as well as other applicable guidance, relevant case law, and longstanding practice in evaluating jurisdiction.

1. SUMMARY OF CONCLUSIONS.

- a. Provide a list of each individual feature within the review area and the jurisdictional status of each one (i.e., identify whether each feature is/is not a water of the United States and/or a navigable water of the United States).

Name of Aquatic Resource	JD or Non-JD	Section 404/Section 10
W-1	Non-Jurisdictional	N/A
W-2	Non-Jurisdictional	N/A

2. REFERENCES.

- a. “Revised Definition of ‘Waters of the United States,’” 88 FR 3004 (January 18, 2023) (“2023 Rule”)
- b. “Revised Definition of ‘Waters of the United States’; Conforming” 88 FR 61964 (September 8, 2023))
- c. *Sackett v. EPA*, 598 U.S. 651, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023)
- d. “Memorandum To the Field Between The U.S. Department Of The Army, U.S. Army Corps Of Engineers And The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Concerning The Proper Implementation Of ‘Continuous Surface Connection’ Under The Definition Of ‘Waters Of The United States’ Under The Clean Water Act” (March 12, 2025)

3. REVIEW AREA.

- a. Project Are Size (in acres): ~9.94-acre
- b. Location Description: The project/review area is located in Section 08, Township 21N, Range 16E, Outagamie County, Wisconsin.
- c. Center Coordinates of the Project Site (in decimal degrees)  
Latitude: 44.3034      Longitude: -88.5786
- d. Nearest City or Town: Greenville
- e. County: Outagamie
- f. State: Wisconsin
- g. Other associated Jurisdictional Determinations (including outcomes): N/A

MVP-RD

SUBJECT: 2023 Rule, as amended, Approved Jurisdictional Determination in Light of *Sackett v. EPA*, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023), MVP-2025-00970-TKO

4. NEAREST TRADITIONAL NAVIGABLE WATER (TNW), THE TERRITORIAL SEAS, OR INTERSTATE WATER TO WHICH THE AQUATIC RESOURCE IS CONNECTED. [N/A]<sup>6</sup>
5. FLOWPATH FROM THE SUBJECT AQUATIC RESOURCES TO A TNW, THE TERRITORIAL SEAS, OR INTERSTATE WATER. [N/A.]
6. SECTION 10 JURISDICTIONAL WATERS<sup>7</sup>: Describe aquatic resources or other features within the review area determined to be jurisdictional in accordance with Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899. Include the size of each aquatic resource or other feature within the review area and how it was determined to be jurisdictional in accordance with Section 10.<sup>8</sup>  
N/A
7. SECTION 404 JURISDICTIONAL WATERS: Describe the aquatic resources within the review area that were found to meet the definition of waters of the United States in accordance with the 2023 Rule as amended, consistent with the Supreme Court’s decision in *Sackett*. List each aquatic resource separately, by name, consistent with the naming convention used in section 1, above. Include a rationale for each aquatic resource, supporting that the aquatic resource meets the relevant category of “waters of the United States” in the 2023 Rule as amended. The rationale should also include a written description of, or reference to a map in the administrative record that shows, the lateral limits of jurisdiction for each aquatic resource, including how that limit was determined, and incorporate relevant references used. Include the size of each aquatic resource in acres or linear feet and attach and reference related figures as needed. [N/A]
  - a. Traditional Navigable Waters (TNWs) (a)(1)(i): N/A
  - b. The Territorial Seas (a)(1)(ii): N/A

---

<sup>6</sup> This MFR should not be used to complete a new stand-alone TNW determination. A stand-alone TNW determination for a water that is not subject to Section 9 or 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 (RHA) is completed independently of a request for an AJD. A stand-alone TNW determination is conducted for a specific segment of river or stream or other type of waterbody, such as a lake, where upstream or downstream limits or lake borders are established.

<sup>7</sup> 33 CFR 329.9(a) A waterbody which was navigable in its natural or improved state, or which was susceptible of reasonable improvement (as discussed in § 329.8(b) of this part) retains its character as “navigable in law” even though it is not presently used for commerce, or is presently incapable of such use because of changed conditions or the presence of obstructions.

<sup>8</sup> This MFR is not to be used to make a report of findings to support a determination that the water is a navigable water of the United States. The district must follow the procedures outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a determination that water is a navigable water of the United States subject to Section 10 of the RHA.

- c. Interstate Waters (a)(1)(iii): [N/A](#)
- d. Impoundments (a)(2): [N/A](#)
- e. Tributaries (a)(3): [N/A](#)
- f. Adjacent Wetlands (a)(4): [N/A](#)
- g. Additional Waters (a)(5): [N/A](#)

8. NON-JURISDICTIONAL AQUATIC RESOURCES AND FEATURES

- a. Describe aquatic resources and other features within the review area identified in the 2023 Rule as amended as not “waters of the United States” even where they otherwise meet the terms of paragraphs (a)(2) through (5). Include the type of excluded aquatic resource or feature, the size of the aquatic resource or feature within the review area and describe how it was determined to meet one of the exclusions listed in 33 CFR 328.3(b).<sup>9</sup> [\[N/A\]](#)
- b. Describe aquatic resources and features within the review area that were determined to be non-jurisdictional because they do not meet one or more categories of waters of the United States under the 2023 Rule as amended (e.g., tributaries that are non-relatively permanent waters; non-tidal wetlands that do not have a continuous surface connection to a jurisdictional water).

[Review of a 2020 Davel wetland delineation report, historic aerial images, County GIS mapping, WI Surface Water Data Viewer, and LiDAR resources \(hillshade and DEM GIS layers from the Mississippi Valley Division Regulatory Viewer\) indicate that Wetland1 and Wetland2 \(W-1 and W-2\) are depressional wetlands, surrounded by uplands. Review of these resources indicate the assessed wetlands are not, and do not physically abut, a jurisdictional water. Wetlands W-1 and W-2 are not TNWs, territorial seas, or interstate waters and therefore is not \(a\)\(1\) waters. Wetlands W-1 and W-2 do not physically abut a relatively permanent paragraph \(a\)\(2\) impoundment or a jurisdictional \(a\)\(3\) tributary. Wetlands W-1 and W-2 are non-tidal wetlands that do not abut relatively permanent jurisdictional waters and as such does not meet the definition of adjacent and cannot be evaluated as an \(a\)\(4\) adjacent wetlands. Wetlands W-1 and W-2 are not an intrastate lakes or ponds that meet the relatively permanent standard and cannot be evaluated as an \(a\)\(5\) waters: lakes and ponds not identified in \(a\)\(1\) - \(a\)\(4\). Therefore, Wetlands W-1 and W-2 are not jurisdictional under the 2023 Revised Definition of ‘Waters of the United States’: Conforming” 88 FR 61964 Final Rule.](#)

---

<sup>9</sup> 88 FR 3004 (January 18, 2023)

MVP-RD

SUBJECT: 2023 Rule, as amended, Approved Jurisdictional Determination in Light of *Sackett v. EPA*, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023), MVP-2025-00970-TKO

It should be noted wetland delineations are generally valid for a period of 5 years. Being conducted in 2020, conditions documented within the study area were compared to current site conditions, based off available desktop resources. Site conditions have been confirmed to be consistent with those reported in 2020.

Wetlands W-1 and W-2 are non-tidal, depressional wetlands, located within an undeveloped agricultural field. Topography within the site generally slopes down to the southwest. The review area is bound by Spring Road and North Road to the south and east, respectively. Both wetlands W-1 and W-2 sit in slight depressions surrounded by upland, each abutting a roadside ditch. W-1 is located at the northeast of the review area, extending off-site to the east and at northeast corner. W-1 connects to a roadside ditch along its eastern boundary. The area surrounding W-1 transitions to delineated upland in all other direction. W-2 is located at the south of the review area, in the southeast corner of the ag field. Boundaries of W-2 transition to delineation upland along the north, east, and west. W-2 exits the delineation study area at its southwest boundary where it connects to a roadside ditch. Both ditches are non-jurisdictional features as they were constructed entirely in, and drain only, uplands, and do not carry a relatively permanent flow of water. This is evident following review of historic mapping, aerial and ground level imagery, along with elevation and soils data. The roadside ditches have been present since the first aerial imagery in 1938. The original public land survey shows a lack of aquatic features in the locations where ditches are located, while the soils in the area are mapped as entirely nonhydric. Review shows a lack of defined bed and bank (LiDAR), OHWM (ground level/aerial imagery), and shows no evidence of standing water or prolonged saturation (veg stress/darkened soils). The wetlands do not abut any jurisdictional downstream waters.

9. DATA SOURCES. List sources of data/information used in making determination. Include titles and dates of sources used and ensure that information referenced is available in the administrative record.
  - a. No field visits were conducted. Desktop review evaluation was conducted on 11/24/2025.
  - b. AJD Application “2025-00970-TKO 20250923 APP.pdf” in the administrative record.
  - c. Mississippi Valley Division Regulatory Viewer, 11/24/2025.
  - d. Wisconsin DNR Surface Water Data Viewer, 11/24/2025.
  - e. Outagamie County GIS Interactive Mapping, 11/24/2025.
10. OTHER SUPPORTING INFORMATION. [N/A]

MVP-RD

SUBJECT: 2023 Rule, as amended, Approved Jurisdictional Determination in Light of *Sackett v. EPA*, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023), MVP-2025-00970-TKO


11. NOTE: The structure and format of this MFR were developed in coordination with the EPA and Department of the Army. The MFR's structure and format may be subject to future modification or may be rescinded as needed to implement additional guidance from the agencies; however, the approved jurisdictional determination described herein is a final agency action.



# MV2025-00970-TKO Figure 1



- Legend**
- Wetland Identifications and Confirmations
  - Wetland Class Points**
    - Dammed pond
    - Excavated pond
    - Filled excavated pond
    - Filled/draind wetland
    - Wetland too small to delineate
  - Filled Points
  - Wetland Class Areas**
    - Wetland
    - Upland
  - Filled Areas
  - Wetland Class Points**
    - Dammed pond
    - Excavated pond
    - Filled excavated pond
    - Filled/draind wetland
    - Wetland too small to delineate
  - Filled Points
  - Wetland Class Areas**
    - Wetland
    - Upland
  - NRCS Wetspots
  - Maximum Extent Wetland Indicators
  - Municipality
  - State Boundaries
  - County Boundaries
  - Major Roads**
    - Interstate Highway
    - State Highway
    - US Highway
  - County and Local Roads**



NAD\_1983\_HARN\_Wisconsin\_TM

1: 1,980

DISCLAIMER: The information shown on these maps has been obtained from various sources, and are of varying age, reliability and resolution. These maps are not intended to be used for navigation, nor are these maps an authoritative source of information about legal land ownership or public access. No warranty, expressed or implied, is made regarding accuracy, applicability for a particular use, completeness, or legality of the information depicted on this map. For more information, see the DNR Legal Notices web page: <http://dnr.wi.gov/legal/>

## Notes


# Routine Wetland Delineation Overview Map

CSM 890, Lot 1 North Road

Part of Sec. 08, T21N, R16E, Town of Greenville, Outagamie County, WI  
For: Dave Cyr

May 2018 Aerial Image


**MV2025-00970-TKO Figure 2**



The wetland boundary was determined by Travis A. Stuck, **DNR-Professionally Assured Delineator**.  
B.S., P.W.S#2430 date: \_\_\_\_\_



The project scope limits shown on this map is not intended to be the parcel boundary.




PN5903 CSM 890, Lot 1 North Road

## Legend

- Project Scope
- Confirmed Wetland Line
- Wetland Delineation
- Unconfirmed Wetland
- + Sample Point
- Rat River

Drafted by: mari 10-23-2019



**MVP-2025-00970-TKO Figure 3**

