## APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SEC A.	CTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): JUL 2 6 2018	
B.	ST PAUL, MN DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: MVP-2018-02080-RAN, Arnes Dam	
C.	PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:  State: Wisconsin County/parish/borough: Green City: Town of York  Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 42.81364° N, Long. 89.76555° W.  Universal Transverse Mercator: X:273897.71 Y:4743829.89  Name of nearest waterbody: Sawmill Creek	
	Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 07090003  Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.  Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.	
D.	REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):  Office (Desk) Determination. Date: July 26, 2018  Field Determination. Date(s):	
SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.		
The area	re are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review at	
В.	CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.	
The	ere are no"waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.	
	1. Waters of the U.S.: N/A	
	2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):¹  □ Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: The pond (approximately 0.1 acre) within the review area was construced in uplands, above the headwater of a tributary and is not an impoundment of a tributary. The determination of no jurisdiction for the pond is in accordance with the preamble to the 1986 Corps Regulations (33 CFR Parts 320-330), which states that the Corps does not generally consider the following to be waters of the U.S.; artificial lakes or ponds created by excavating and/or diking dry land to collect and retain water and which are usually used exclusively for such puposes as stock watering, irrigation, settling basins or rice growing.	
SEC A.	CTION III: CWA ANALYSIS TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs: N/A	
В.	CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY): N/A	
C.	SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION: N/A	
D.	DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): $N/A$	
E.	ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): N/A	
F.	NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):  If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.  Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

	Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).  Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain:  Other (explain, if not covered above): The pond is not a water of the U.S. pursuant to the preamble to the Corps regulations (33 CFR Parts 320-330).
I	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional sudgment (check all that apply):  Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).  Lakes/ponds: acres.  Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:  Wetlands: acres.
r a C C	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):  Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft).  Lakes/ponds: acres.  Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:  Wetlands: acres.
A. SU	TION IV: DATA SOURCES.  JPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked nd requested, appropriately reference sources below):
D	Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Alieus Engineering, LLC  Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.  Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.  Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.  Data sheets prepared by the Corps:  Corps navigable waters' study:  U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:  USGS NHD data.  USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
	U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: 1:24 K Blanchardville USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name:

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:

