APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION
A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): JUL 26 2018

B. ST PAUL, MN DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: CEMVP-OP-R, 2018-01761-BGO, MnDOT SP 1703-73 / TH 60

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:
   State: Minnesota   County/parish/borough: Cottonwood   City: Windom
   Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 43.8843° N, Long. -95.1015° W.
   Universal Transverse Mercator: UTM Zone 15N
   Name of nearest waterbody: Des Moines River
   Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): Des Moines River - Headwaters Watershed (07100001)
   ☑ Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.
   ☐ Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc…) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
   ☑ Office (Desk) Determination. Date: July 16, 2018
   ☐ Field Determination. Date(s):

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS
A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are no “navigable waters of the U.S.” within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are no “waters of the U.S.” within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.

1. Waters of the U.S.: N/A

2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):1
   ☑ Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: The review area for this determination contains one roadside ditch labeled as Wet Ditch 1 on the attached figures labeled MVP-2018-01761-BGO MnDOT SP 1703-73 AJD Attachments, Page 1-4 of 4.

   The roadside ditch was constructed in uplands, drains only uplands, and does not carry a relatively permanent flow of water into waters of the U.S. (WoUS). Per the Rapanos decision, the evaluated ditch is not a WoUS because it is not a tributary and does not have a significant nexus to traditionally navigable waters. This was confirmed by reviewing aerial photography and the wetland delineation report dated June 11, 2018.

   Please note that the remainder of the aquatic resources shown on the attachments have been addressed in other jurisdictional determinations.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS
A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs: N/A

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY): N/A

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION: N/A

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): N/A

1 Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.
E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): N/A

F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

☐ If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.

☐ Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.

☐ Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in “SWANCC,” the review area would have been regulated based solely on the “Migratory Bird Rule” (MBR).

☐ Waters do not meet the “Significant Nexus” standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain:

☒ Other (explain, if not covered above): See Section II.B.2, above.

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply):

☐ Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).

☐ Lakes/ponds: acres.

☐ Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:

☐ Wetlands: acres.

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the “Significant Nexus” standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):

☐ Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).

☐ Lakes/ponds: acres.

☐ Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:

☐ Wetlands: acres.

SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.

A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply) - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below:

☒ Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: June 11, 2018 Wetland Delineation Report for MnDOT TH 60, SP 1703-73

☒ Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.

☒ Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.

☐ Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.

☐ Data sheets prepared by the Corps:

☐ Corps navigable waters’ study:

☒ USGS NHD data.

☐ USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.

☒ U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: 1:24K Bingham Lake

☐ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation:

☒ National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: FWS NWI and MnDNR NWI

☐ State/Local wetland inventory map(s):

☐ FEMA/FIRM maps:

☐ 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929)

☒ Photographs: ☒ Aerial (Name & Date): Aerial photography from 1991-2017

or ☐ Other (Name & Date):

☐ Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:

☐ Applicable/supporting case law:

☐ Applicable/supporting scientific literature:

☐ Other information (please specify):

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: N/A
Project Location Map
TH 60 (S.P. 1703-73)
Mn/DOT
Cottonwood County, MN

Legend
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Sources: MetroGIS, NRCS, LMIC

This map was created using Sambatek's Geographic Information Systems (GIS). It is a compilation of information and data from various sources. This map is not a surveyed or legally recorded map and is intended to be used as a reference. Sambatek is not responsible for any inaccuracies contained herein.
This map was created using Sambatek's Geographic Information Systems (GIS), it is a compilation of information and data from various sources. This map is not a surveyed or legally recorded map and is intended to be used as a reference. Sambatek is not responsible for any inaccuracies contained herein.