



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS, ST. PAUL DISTRICT
ST. PAUL DISTRICT OFFICE
332 MINNESOTA STREET SUITE E1500
ST. PAUL MINNESOTA 55101

MVP

February 2, 2026

MEMORANDUM FOR RECORD

SUBJECT: US Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) Approved Jurisdictional Determination in accordance with the "Revised Definition of 'Waters of the United States'"; (88 FR 3004 (January 18, 2023) as amended by the "Revised Definition of 'Waters of the United States'; Conforming" (8 September 2023),¹ MVP-2025-00507-SSC MFR 1 of 1²

BACKGROUND. An Approved Jurisdictional Determination (AJD) is a Corps document stating the presence or absence of waters of the United States on a parcel or a written statement and map identifying the limits of waters of the United States on a parcel. AJDs are clearly designated appealable actions and will include a basis of JD with the document.³ AJDs are case-specific and are typically made in response to a request. AJDs are valid for a period of five years unless new information warrants revision of the determination before the expiration date or a District Engineer has identified, after public notice and comment, that specific geographic areas with rapidly changing environmental conditions merit re-verification on a more frequent basis.⁴

On January 18, 2023, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the Department of the Army ("the agencies") published the "Revised Definition of 'Waters of the United States,'" 88 FR 3004 (January 18, 2023) ("2023 Rule"). On September 8, 2023, the agencies published the "Revised Definition of 'Waters of the United States'; Conforming", which amended the 2023 Rule to conform to the 2023 Supreme Court decision in *Sackett v. EPA*, 598 U.S., 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023) ("*Sackett*").

This Memorandum for Record (MFR) constitutes the basis of jurisdiction for a Corps AJD as defined in 33 CFR §331.2. For the purposes of this AJD, we have relied on Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 (RHA),⁵ the 2023 Rule as amended,

¹ While the Revised Definition of "Waters of the United States"; Conforming had no effect on some categories of waters covered under the CWA, and no effect on any waters covered under RHA, all categories are included in this Memorandum for Record for efficiency.

² When documenting aquatic resources within the review area that are jurisdictional under the Clean Water Act (CWA), use an additional MFR and group the aquatic resources on each MFR based on the TNW, the territorial seas, or interstate water that they are connected to. Be sure to provide an identifier to indicate when there are multiple MFRs associated with a single AJD request (i.e., number them 1, 2, 3, etc.).

³ 33 CFR 331.2.

⁴ Regulatory Guidance Letter 05-02.

⁵ USACE has authority under both Section 9 and Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 but for convenience, in this MFR, jurisdiction under RHA will be referred to as Section 10.

MVP

SUBJECT: 2023 Rule, as amended, Approved Jurisdictional Determination in Light of *Sackett v. EPA*, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023), MVP-2025-00507-SSC

as well as other applicable guidance, relevant case law, and longstanding practice in evaluating jurisdiction.

1. SUMMARY OF CONCLUSIONS.

- a. Provide a list of each individual feature within the review area and the jurisdictional status of each one (i.e., identify whether each feature is/is not a water of the United States and/or a navigable water of the United States).

Name of Aquatic Resource	JD or Non-JD	Section 404/Section 10
Wetland 7 (0.06 acre)	Non-Jurisdictional	N/A
Vegetated Swale 1 (130 LF)	Non-Jurisdictional	N/A

2. REFERENCES.

- a. “Revised Definition of ‘Waters of the United States,’” 88 FR 3004 (January 18, 2023) (“2023 Rule”)
- b. “Revised Definition of ‘Waters of the United States’; Conforming” 88 FR 61964 (September 8, 2023))
- c. *Sackett v. EPA*, 598 U.S. 651, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023)
- d. Memorandum To the Field Between the U.S. Department Of The Army, U.S. Army Corps Of Engineers And The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Concerning The Proper Implementation Of ‘Continuous Surface Connection’ Under The Definition Of “Waters Of The United States” Under The Clean Water Act” (March 12, 2025)
- e. January 2023 Rule preamble at 88 FR 3090

3. REVIEW AREA.

- a. Project Area Size (in acres): approximately 24.5 acres
- b. Location Description: The project/review area is located in Section 26, Township 031N, Range 022W, Anoka County, Minnesota.
- c. Center Coordinates of the Project Site (in decimal degrees)
Latitude: 45.151699 Longitude: -93.043371
- d. Nearest City or Town: Lino Lakes
- e. County: Anoka
- f. State: Minnesota

MVP

SUBJECT: 2023 Rule, as amended, Approved Jurisdictional Determination in Light of *Sackett v. EPA*, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023), MVP-2025-00507-SSC

g. Other associated Jurisdictional Determinations (including outcomes):

Action ID	Type	Outcome
MVP-2025-00507-JST	AJD issued on July 7, 2025	Wetland 2 (updated name Wetland 11), Wetland 3 (updated name Wetland 10), Wetland 4 (updated name Wetland 8), Wetland 5 (updated name Wetland 9), and Tributary T1 were determined to be non-jurisdictional under the AJD.
MVP-2021-02182-MMJ	Delineation Concurrence issued on March 15, 2022	The location of waters on-site were concurred with under the Delineation Concurrence.

4. NEAREST TRADITIONAL NAVIGABLE WATER (TNW), THE TERRITORIAL SEAS, OR INTERSTATE WATER TO WHICH THE AQUATIC RESOURCE IS CONNECTED. N/A⁶
5. FLOWPATH FROM THE SUBJECT AQUATIC RESOURCES TO A TNW, THE TERRITORIAL SEAS, OR INTERSTATE WATER. When surface flow is present, Wetland 7 and Vegetated Swale 1 would flow east approximately 200 linear feet and then flow to the north-west into Clearwater Creek, which flows into Rice Creek, which is a tributary to the Mississippi River, a Traditionally Navigable Water.
6. SECTION 10 JURISDICTIONAL WATERS⁷: Describe aquatic resources or other features within the review area determined to be jurisdictional in accordance with Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899. Include the size of each aquatic resource or other feature within the review area and how it was determined to be jurisdictional in accordance with Section 10.⁸
N/A

⁶ This MFR should not be used to complete a new stand-alone TNW determination. A stand-alone TNW determination for a water that is not subject to Section 9 or 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 (RHA) is completed independently of a request for an AJD. A stand-alone TNW determination is conducted for a specific segment of river or stream or other type of waterbody, such as a lake, where upstream or downstream limits or lake borders are established.

⁷ 33 CFR 329.9(a) A waterbody which was navigable in its natural or improved state, or which was susceptible of reasonable improvement (as discussed in § 329.8(b) of this part) retains its character as “navigable in law” even though it is not presently used for commerce, or is presently incapable of such use because of changed conditions or the presence of obstructions.

⁸ This MFR is not to be used to make a report of findings to support a determination that the water is a navigable water of the United States. The district must follow the procedures outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a determination that water is a navigable water of the United States subject to Section 10 of the RHA.

MVP

SUBJECT: 2023 Rule, as amended, Approved Jurisdictional Determination in Light of *Sackett v. EPA*, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023), MVP-2025-00507-SSC

7. SECTION 404 JURISDICTIONAL WATERS: Describe the aquatic resources within the review area that were found to meet the definition of waters of the United States in accordance with the 2023 Rule as amended, consistent with the Supreme Court's decision in *Sackett*. List each aquatic resource separately, by name, consistent with the naming convention used in section 1, above. Include a rationale for each aquatic resource, supporting that the aquatic resource meets the relevant category of "waters of the United States" in the 2023 Rule as amended. The rationale should also include a written description of, or reference to a map in the administrative record that shows, the lateral limits of jurisdiction for each aquatic resource, including how that limit was determined, and incorporate relevant references used. Include the size of each aquatic resource in acres or linear feet and attach and reference related figures as needed.

a. Traditional Navigable Waters (TNWs) (a)(1)(i): N/A

b. The Territorial Seas (a)(1)(ii): N/A

c. Interstate Waters (a)(1)(iii): N/A

d. Impoundments (a)(2): N/A

e. Tributaries (a)(3): N/A

f. Adjacent Wetlands (a)(4): N/A

g. Additional Waters (a)(5): N/A

8. NON-JURISDICTIONAL AQUATIC RESOURCES AND FEATURES

a. Describe aquatic resources and other features within the review area identified in the 2023 Rule as amended as not "waters of the United States" even where they otherwise meet the terms of paragraphs (a)(2) through (5). Include the type of excluded aquatic resource or feature, the size of the aquatic resource or feature within the review area and describe how it was determined to meet one of the exclusions listed in 33 CFR 328.3(b).⁹

Vegetated Swale 1 is located between Wetland 7 and Wetland 4. The wetland delineator reviewed the feature on-site, noting that it was dominated by a canopy of young cottonwood trees with an understory of smooth brome and Canada goldenrod. The bottom of the swale was noted as parabolic. A data sample point

⁹ 88 FR 3004 (January 18, 2023)

MVP

SUBJECT: 2023 Rule, as amended, Approved Jurisdictional Determination in Light of *Sackett v. EPA*, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023), MVP-2025-00507-SSC

was taken within the feature. The wetland determination data form corresponding to the data point summarized findings of no hydrophytic vegetation present, no hydric soil present, and no indicators of wetland hydrology present. No surface flow was identified in Vegetated Swale 1 during the site visit. The climatic conditions during this visit were under normal conditions. Vegetated Swale 1 was not mapped on the National Wetland Inventory (NWI) or National Hydrography Dataset (NHD). Based on the resources outlined above, Vegetated Swale 1 is determined to be a swale due to the low flow, infrequent, or short duration flow within the feature, and according to exclusion (b)(8) of the 2023 Rule as amended, is not a water of the U.S.

- b. Describe aquatic resources and features within the review area that were determined to be non-jurisdictional because they do not meet one or more categories of waters of the United States under the 2023 Rule as amended (e.g., tributaries that are non-relatively permanent waters; non-tidal wetlands that do not have a continuous surface connection to a jurisdictional water).

Wetland 7 is not a TNW, territorial sea, or interstate water and is therefore not an (a)(1) water. Wetland 7 connects to Vegetated Swale 1, which is an excluded feature and is not a water of the U.S. Wetland 7 was evaluated as a potential (a)(4) water but does not have a continuous surface connection to a jurisdictional water.

The NWI and NHD were both reviewed for the site and did not denote the presence of Wetland 7. A soil sample data point within this area was considered hydric (wetland data forms associated with wetland delineation report). Wetland 7 is connected to Vegetated Swale 1. Vegetated Swale 1 was determined to be an excluded feature and therefore would not serve as a continuous surface connection for Wetland 7.

Wetland 7 does not have a continuous surface connection to a relatively permanent jurisdictional water and as such does not meet the definition of adjacent and cannot be evaluated as an (a)(4) adjacent wetland; therefore, Wetland 7 is a non-tidal wetland that is not jurisdictional under the 2023 Revised Definition of 'Waters of the United States'; Conforming" 88 FR 61964 Final Rule.

9. DATA SOURCES. List sources of data/information used in making determination. Include titles and dates of sources used and ensure that information referenced is available in the administrative record.
 - a. Rehbein 20th Ave Site Wetland Delineation Report dated October 19, 2021


MVP

SUBJECT: 2023 Rule, as amended, Approved Jurisdictional Determination in Light of *Sackett v. EPA*, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023), MVP-2025-00507-SSC

- b. United States Fish and Wildlife Service, National Wetland Inventory, accessed January 23, 2026
- c. United States Geological Survey, National Hydrography Dataset, accessed on January 23, 2026

10. OTHER SUPPORTING INFORMATION. N/A

11. NOTE: The structure and format of this MFR were developed in coordination with the EPA and Department of the Army. The MFR's structure and format may be subject to future modification or may be rescinded as needed to implement additional guidance from the agencies; however, the approved jurisdictional determination described herein is a final agency action.



© OpenStreetMap (and) contributors, CC-BY-SA

Figure 1 - Project Location, Major Watershed, & Preliminary WMC




- Project Boundary
- Major Watersheds
- Parcels
- Preliminary Wetland_Management_Corridor



Harvest Grange (KES 2025-087)
Lino Lakes, Minnesota

Note: Boundaries indicated on this figure are approximate and do not constitute an official survey product.



- Photo Point
- Vegetated Swale
- Wetland poly
- NHD Canal/Ditch
- ➔ Culvert
- Project Boundary
- Anoka County Lidar
- Wetland poly farmed
- ➔ Ditch
- NHD Lake/Pond



Figure 2 - Existing Conditions and Preliminary WMC (2023 FSA)

**Harvest Grange (KES 2025-087)
Lino Lakes, Minnesota**

Note: Boundaries indicated on this figure are approximate and do not constitute an official survey product.