# APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I:	BACKGROUND	INFORMATION

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD):

OCT 0 8 2017

- B. ST PAUL, MN DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: MVP-2016-04240-BBY Stark Property
- C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

State: Minnesota

County/parish/borough: Sherburne City: St. Cloud

Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 45.548° N, Long. -94.045° W.

Universal Transverse Mercator: Zone 15

Name of nearest waterbody: Elk River

Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 07010203

Upper Mississippi Region

Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.

Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

# D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

Office (Desk) Determination. Date: September 5, 2017

Field Determination. Date(s):

## **SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS**

# A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.

### B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are no"waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.

- 1. Waters of the U.S.: N/A
- 2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):1

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:

The review area for this AJD includes 1 wetland labeled as WB-03 on the enclosed figures labeled MVP-2016-04240-BBY Page 1 of 6 through Page 6 of 6. Wetland WB-03 is abandoned gravel pit which was constructed in uplands pre-1953. This was confirmed using historic imagery. The wetland is surrouded by uplands. We have determined this wetland is an isolated depression and not considered to be a waters of the U.S.

Wetland WB-03 does not support a link to interstate or foreign commerce because it is not known to be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreation or other purposes; it does not produce fish or shellfish that could be taken or sold in interstate or foreign commerce; and is not known to be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate or foreign commerce. This wetland does not have an ecological connection to other waters within or adjacent to the review area. This waterbody has been determined to not be jurisdictional under the CWA. Wetland WB-03 was also determined to be isolated in 2004 under file number 04-0161373-YSB.

## SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

- A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs: N/A
- B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY): N/A
- C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION: N/A

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): N/A
 E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): N/A

		N-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):  If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.  Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.  Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).  Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain:  Other (explain, if not covered above):
	facto judg	vide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR ors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional ment (check all that apply):  Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).  Lakes/ponds: acres.  Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:  Wetlands: 0.77 acres.
	a fin	vide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such ading is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):  Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft).  Lakes/ponds: acres.  Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:  Wetlands: acres.
<u> </u>	SUPI	NIV: DATA SOURCES. PORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked requested, appropriately reference sources below):  Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Westwood  Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.  ☐ Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.  ☐ Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.  Data sheets prepared by the Corps:  Corps navigable waters' study:  U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:  ☐ USGS NHD data.  ☐ USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.  U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name:  USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Sherburne County  National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name:  State/Local wetland inventory map(s):  FEMA/FIRM maps:  100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)  Photographs: ☐ Aerial (Name & Date):Google Earth 2016
		or 🛮 Other (Name & Date):UM MHAPO aerial photography 1938, 1939, 1953, 1957, 1977, and
	199 	Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:  Applicable/supporting case law:  Applicable/supporting scientific literature:  Other information (please specify):Sherburne County LIDAR

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:



