APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A.	REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): DEC 1 4 201/
B.	ST PAUL, MN DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: MVP-2016-03100-BBY Traverse Site
c.	State: Minnesota County/parish/borough: Ramsey City: Arden Hills Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 45.068852° N, Long93.181881° W. Universal Transverse Mercator:
	Name of nearest waterbody: Round Lake
	Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Long Lake Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 07010206 Upper Mississippi Region Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request. Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.
D.	REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
	Office (Desk) Determination. Date: October 6, 2017
	Field Determination. Date(s): April 22, 2017 and September 29, 2017
SEG A.	CTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
The	re Are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the iew area. [Required] Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide. Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain:
B.	CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
The	re Are "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]
	1. Waters of the U.S. a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): TNWs, including territorial seas Wetlands adjacent to TNWs Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Impoundments of jurisdictional waters Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
	b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area: Non-wetland waters: linear feet: width (ft) and/or acres. Wetlands: Wetland B: 2.05 acres, Wetland Bx: 0.04 acre, Wetland By: 0.05 acre, Wetland C: 0.16
acr	e, Wetland D: 2.34 acre, Wetland Dx 0.02 acres.
	c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Pick List Elevation of established OHWM (if known):

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.
² For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):3

Explain: Wetland A and Wetland E on the enclosed figures labeled MVP-2016-03100-BBY Page 1 of 6 through Page 6 of 6 are isolated wetlands and have been determined not to be jurisdictional wetlands. Wetland A is a PEMC shallow marsh wetland located in the northeast part of the site and Wetland E is a PEMC shallow marsh wetland located in the northwest part of the site. These wetlands do not have a surface or shallow sub-surface hydrologic connection to any navigable waters or tributaries. These wetlands are surrouded by upland, and have no swales, pipes, or other means to connect them to waters of the U.S. This was confirmed by Corps staff with site visits that took place on April 22, 2017 and Septmeber 29, 2017. We have determined these wetlands are isolated depressions and not considered to be waters of the U.S.

Wetland A and Wetland E do not support a link to interstate or foreign commerce because they are not known to be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreation or other purposes; they do not produce fish or shellfish that could be taken or sold in interstate or foreign commerce; and are not known to be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate or foreign commerce. These waterbodies have been determined to not be jurisdictional under the CWA.

The water feature labeled SWTP is a stormwater pond which was constructed in uplands in the 1990's and is not jurisdictional under the CWA. The non-jurisdictional determination for this pit is in accordance with the preamble to the 1986 Corps Regulations (33 CFR Parts 320-330), which states that the Corps does not generally consider the following to be waters of the US; artificial lakes or ponds created by excavating and/or diking dry land to collect and retain water and which are used exclusively for such purposes as stock watering, irrigation, settling basins or rice growing.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

TNW

Identify TNW: Long Lake.

Summarize rationale supporting determination: Long Lake is approximately 172 acres and is located in New Brighton which is part of the Twin Cities Metropolitan Area. The lake is located at the northwest corner of the intersection of I-35W and I-694. The lake is navigable in fact and accessible to public use for recreation and fishing. Long Lake Regional Park is located along the entire eastern shoreline of the Long Lake. The park provides many amenities including a public boat launch that is located in the southeast corner of the lake. Additionally, Long Lake has been used and continues to be used for fishing tournaments. An example of this is New Brighton Sportsman's Club Annual Ice Fishing Contest which held its 68th Annual Ice Fishing Contest on January 29, 2017.

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent": There are six wetland basins in the review area labeled Wetland B, Wetland Bx, Wetland By, Wetland C, Wetland D and Wetland Dx on the enclosed figures labeled MVP-2016-03100-BBY Page 1 of 6 through Page 6 of 6 that are adjacent to the TNW. The TNW they are adjacent to is Long Lake. The Corps considers Wetland B, Wetland Bx, Wetland By, Wetland D and Wetland Dx to be part of one large wetland complex, as no discernable break in wetland characteristics was observed between Wetland B and Wetland D during site visits that took place on April 22, 2017 and September 29, 2017. Wetland Bx and Wetland By are connected to each

³ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

other outside of the review area and connect to Wetland B via a culvert. Wetland Dx is connected to Wetland D by a culvert. Water from Wetland C drains into the wetland complex made up of Wetland B and Wetland D through an outlet channel. Wetland B, Wetland Bx, Wetland By, Wetland C, Wetland D and Wetland Dx are adjacent to the TNW due to a continuous surface hydrologic connection. During a site visit on April 22, 2017 water was observed flowing from Wetland D, through a culvert, to Wetland Dx and out of the review area, into roadside ditches along the on-ramp from I-694 to I-35W heading north. This water moves through a series of roadside and canal ditches to the TNW (Long Lake) which was confirmed using USGS Sream Stats (See enclosed figure MVP-2016-03100-BBY Page 6 of 6).

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody⁴ is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i)	Ger	neral Area Conditions:
	Wat	tershed size: Pick List
	Dra	inage area: Pick List
		rage annual rainfall: inches
		erage annual snowfall: inches
		rage annual showian. Inches
(ii)	Phy	sical Characteristics:
	(a)	
	()	Tributary flows directly into TNW.
		☐ Tributary flows through Pick List tributaries before entering TNW.
		Project waters are Pick List river miles from TNW.
		Project waters are Pick List river miles from RPW.
		Project waters are Pick List aerial (straight) miles from TNW.
		Project waters are Pick List aerial (straight) miles from RPW.
		Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:
		Identify flow route to TNWS
		Identify flow route to TNW5:
		Tributary stream order, if known:
	(b)	General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply):
	(0)	Tributary is: Natural
		* ************************************
		☐ Artificial (man-made). Explain: ☐ Manipulated (man-altered). Explain:

⁴ Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

⁵ Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

	Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):
	Average width: feet
	Average depth: feet
	Average side slopes: Pick List.
	Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply): Silts Sands Concrete Cobbles Gravel Muck Bedrock Vegetation. Type/% cover: Other. Explain:
	Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: Tributary geometry: Pick List Tributary gradient (approximate average slope):
(0	Tributary provides for: Pick List Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: Pick List Describe flow regime: Other information on duration and volume:
	Surface flow is: Pick List. Characteristics: .
	Subsurface flow: Pick List. Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed:
	Tributary has (check all that apply): Bed and banks OHWM6 (check all indicators that apply): clear, natural line impressed on the bank changes in the character of soil shelving vegetation matted down, bent, or absent leaf litter disturbed or washed away sediment deposition water staining other (list): Discontinuous OHWM.7 Explain:
	If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply): High Tide Line indicated by:
C	Chemical Characteristics: Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.) Explain: Clentify specific pollutants, if known:
. [iological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply): Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width): Wetland fringe. Characteristics: Habitat for: Federally Listed species. Explain findings: Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:

⁶A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

⁷Ibid.

			☐ Aquatic/wildlife diver	sity. Explain findings:	F		
2.	Cha	ract	eristics of wetlands adjac	ent to non-TNW that flow	v directly or indirectly into	TNW	
	(i)		Asical Characteristics: General Wetland Character Properties: Wetland size: Wetland type. Explait Wetland quality. Exp Project wetlands cross or	res n: . lain: .	Explain: .		
		(b)	General Flow Relationshi Flow is: Pick List. Explai Surface flow is: Pick List Characteristics: Subsurface flow: Pick List Dye (or other) test	n: . st. Explain findings:			
		(c)	Wetland Adjacency Deter Directly abutting Not directly abutting Discrete wetland Ecological connect	hydrologic connection. Extion. Explain:	plain: .		
		(d)	Proximity (Relationship) Project wetlands are Pick Project waters are Pick L Flow is from: Pick List. Estimate approximate local	List river miles from TNV ist aerial (straight) miles f	rom TNW.		
	(ii)	Cha	emical Characteristics: tracterize wetland system (of characteristics; etc.). Exp ntify specific pollutants, if i	lain: .	own, oil film on surface; wa	iter quality; gener	al watershed
	(iii)	Bio	Riparian buffer. Characte Vegetation type/percent of Habitat for: Federally Listed specion Fish/spawn areas. Exp Other environmentally Aquatic/wildlife diverse	ristics (type, average widt over. Explain: es. Explain findings: lain findings: -sensitive species. Explai	n):		
3.	Cha	All	eristics of all wetlands adj wetland(s) being considere proximately () acres	d in the cumulative analys		s.	
		For	each wetland, specify the f	ollowing:			
			Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)	Directly abuts? (Y/N	l) Size (in	acres)
			Summarize overall biolog	ical, chemical and physica	functions being performed		

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the Rapanos Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and
 other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain
 findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of
 presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to
 Section III.D:

D.	DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL
	THAT APPLY):

THA	AT APPLY):
	TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area: TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres. Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: Wetland B: 2.05 acres, Wetland Bx: 0.04 acre, Wetland By: 0.05 acre, d C: 0.16 acre, Wetland D: 2.34 acre, Wetland Dx 0.02 acres.
2.	RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
	Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial:
	Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally:
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):
	☐ Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).
	Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters:
3.	Non-RPWs ⁸ that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
	Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a
	TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

⁸See Footnote # 3.

	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: .
4.	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
5.	Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
6.	Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
7.	As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional. Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).
SUC	DLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, GRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY CH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):10 which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes. from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce. which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. Interstate isolated waters. Explain: Other factors. Explain:
Ide	ntify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:
	vide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: . Wetlands: acres.

E.

⁹ To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.
¹⁰ Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

F.	NO	N-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers
	\boxtimes	
		Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).
		Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: Other: (explain, if not covered above):
	fact	vide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR ors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional gment (check all that apply):
		Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres.
		Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands: Wetland A: 0.35 acre and Wetland E: 0.08 acre acres.
	\boxtimes	Wetlands: Wetland A: 0.33 acre and Wetland E: 0.08 acre acres.
		vide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such adding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):
		Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres.
	Ħ	Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands: acres.
		Wettailes, acres.
SEC	CTIC	ON IV: DATA SOURCES.
۸.	SUP	PORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked
	and	requested, appropriately reference sources below):
	\boxtimes	Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Bopray Environmental. Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
		○ Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. ○ Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
		Data sheets prepared by the Corps: .
		Corps navigable waters' study: U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
	-	USGS NHD data.
		U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name:
		USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Ramsey County Soil Survey.
		National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: State/Local wetland inventory map(s):
		FEMA/FIRM maps: .
		100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)
	⊠	Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date):Provided by Bopray Environmental 1940, 1953, 1974, 1991, 2003,
	200	08, 2011, 2015. or ☑ Other (Name & Date):Google Earth 4/1991, 7/2002, 9/2003, 10/2003, 4/2004, 11/2004,
	6/2	2005, 4/2006, 7/2006, 9/2008, 9/2009, 5/2010, 4/2011, 4/2012, 9/2013, 10/2014, 8/2015, 3/2016 and
	4/2	2017 .
		Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: Applicable/supporting case law: Applicable/supporting exist if it literature:
	\boxtimes	Applicable/supporting scientific literature: Other information (please specify): Ramsey County Parks and Recreation Long Lake Regional Park Map,
		msey County LIDAR and USGS Stream Stats.

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:

