



Post-Construction Wetland and Waterbody Monitoring Plan

Enbridge Energy, Limited Partnership • Line 3 Replacement Project

January 2020



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1	OBJECTIVES	2
1.2	QUALIFICATIONS	2
1.3	PRE-CONSTRUCTION BASELINE DATA	3
	1.3.1 General Pre-Construction Baseline Data	3
	1.3.2 Wetland Pre-Construction Baseline Data	3
	1.3.3 Waterbody Pre-Construction Baseline Data	3
2.0	CONSTRUCTION RESTORATION	4
3.0	POST-CONSTRUCTION MONITORING AND RESTORATION ACTIVITIES	4
3.1	ADAPTIVE MANAGEMENT STRATEGY	4
3.2	COLOR INFRARED IMAGERY	5
3.3	SITE STABILIZATION	6
	3.3.1 Potential Corrective Actions	6
3.4	MONITORING OF TOPOGRAPHY AND HYDROLOGY	6
	3.4.1 Potential Corrective Actions	7
3.5	REVEGETATION MONITORING	7
	3.5.1 Wetland and Waterbody Crossing Vegetation Monitoring	7
	3.5.2 Special Wetland Communities Vegetation Monitoring	8
	3.5.3 Potential Corrective Actions	10
3.6	MONITORING SCHEDULE	10
	3.6.1 Year 1 Monitoring Activities	12
	3.6.2 Year 3 Monitoring Activities	12
	3.6.3 Year 5 Monitoring Activities	12
	3.6.4 Corrective Action Implementation	13
4.0	PERFORMANCE STANDARDS	13
4.1	HYDROLOGY	13
4.2	VEGETATION	14
4.3	FINANCIAL ASSURANCES	14
5.0	MONITORING REPORTS	15
6.0	REFERENCES	16

TABLES

Table 3.5-1	The General Wetland Vegetation Biological Condition Gradient	9
Table 3.6-1	Post-Construction Monitoring and Implementation of Corrective Actions Schedule	11

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

Agencies	The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency, and the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources
BMPs	Best Management Practices
BWSR	Minnesota Board of Water & Soil Resources
C	Coefficient of Conservatism
Enbridge	Enbridge Energy, Limited Partnership
EPP	Environmental Protection Plan
FQA	Floristic Quality Assessment
<i>FQI</i>	Floristic quality index
INS	Invasive and Noxious Species
L3R or Project	Line 3 Replacement Project
LIDAR	Light Detection and Ranging
MBS	Minnesota Biological Survey
MDNR	Minnesota Department of Natural Resources
MPCA	Minnesota Pollution Control Agency
NPCs	Native Plant Communities
PJD	preliminary jurisdictional determination
Plan	Post-Construction Wetland and Waterbody Monitoring Plan
PWI	Public Water Inventory
SOBS	Sites of Biodiversity Significance
USACE	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
USACE Permit Application	Enbridge's individual permit application under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act and Section 10 of Rivers and Harbors Act
USFWS	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
wC	Weighted Coefficient of Conservatism

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Enbridge Energy, Limited Partnership (“Enbridge”) has prepared a Post-Construction Wetland and Waterbody Monitoring Plan (“Plan”) that focuses on aquatic resources affected by the Line 3 Replacement Project (“L3R” or “Project”) within the construction workspace¹ and along improved access roads/haul routes. This Plan has been developed with input from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (“USACE”), the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (“MPCA”), and the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (“MDNR”) (collectively referred to as the “applicable Agencies”).

Enbridge requested the USACE to review its individual permit application under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act and Section 10 of Rivers and Harbors Act (“USACE Permit Application”) under a preliminary jurisdictional determination (“PJD”) pursuant to Regulatory Guidance Letter No. 16-01. For purposes of computation of impacts, compensatory mitigation requirements, and other resource protection measure, a permit decision based on a PJD will treat all aquatic resources that will be affected in any way by the permitted activity as jurisdictional.

As a result, this Plan applies to the following aquatic resources:

- All wetlands and waterbodies identified during field surveys² included in the USACE Permit Application which is inclusive of:
 - Surface waters of the state;³ and
 - Minnesota Public Water Inventory (“PWI”) waters and wetlands⁴ regulated by the MDNR and non-PWI wetlands and waterbodies located on MDNR-Administered state lands.⁵

Section 1.3 of this Plan describes the baseline data that has been gathered to inform the monitoring effort and to assist Enbridge and the Agencies in determining when the performance standards have been met.

Restoration activities that will occur immediately after construction to stabilize and seed the disturbed construction workspace are described in Section 2.0. Post-construction monitoring will begin during the first growing season after construction restoration work is complete and be conducted in years 1, 3, and 5 of the Plan. Corrective actions identified during monitoring will generally be completed in the even years (years 2 and 4) and as needed after the monitoring conducted in years 1 and 3. Enbridge proposes to conduct on-the-ground monitoring efforts and

¹ Construction workspace includes temporary workspace and additional temporary workspace.

² Enbridge conducted wetland and waterbody field surveys according to the USACE Wetland Delineation Manual (Environmental Laboratory, 1987) and regional supplements (USACE, 2009 and 2010).

³ See Minnesota Rules, part 7050.0130, Subp. 6 which states: “‘Surface waters’ means waters of the state excluding groundwater as defined in Minnesota Statutes, section 115.01, subdivision 6.”

⁴ Public waters as defined in Minnesota Statutes 103G.005, Subd. 15 and public waters wetlands defined in Minnesota Statutes 103G.005, Subd. 15a, and are depicted in PWI maps authorized by Minnesota Statutes 103G.201.

⁵ All tracts or lots of real property belonging to the state and under the control and supervision of the commissioner of natural resources.

restoration activities (e.g., corrective actions) supported by review of color infrared imagery as described in Section 3.0.

Monitoring will not be considered complete until the performance standards have been met and reviewed by the applicable Agencies (see Section 4.0). In the case that the performance standards have not been met by year 5 of monitoring, Enbridge, in consultation with the Agencies, will either extend monitoring at those sites, or provide additional mitigation.

A formal report of the monitoring results will be submitted to the applicable Agencies by December 31 of each monitoring year (see Section 5.0).

1.1 OBJECTIVES

The purpose of this Plan is to establish the monitoring procedures and performance standards that will be used to determine that the wetlands and waterbodies affected by the Project will be restored to pre-construction conditions.

The Plan addresses pre-construction data collected to establish aquatic resources baseline conditions; data, analyses, and procedures required to monitor hydrology and vegetation during specified time periods following completion of Project construction; performance standards to evaluate the success of restoration of aquatic resources to pre-construction conditions; and the contents of required monitoring reports, including, but not limited to conclusions regarding monitoring results and recommendations for appropriate steps to respond to deviations from baseline monitoring conditions, such as additional monitoring, adaptive management, and/or additional mitigation.

1.2 QUALIFICATIONS

Post-construction monitoring of restored wetlands and waterbodies will be performed using personnel from an organization or company under contract with Enbridge meeting the following requirements:

- Personnel leading the monitoring activities for a given monitoring team will hold a bachelor's degree in botany, ecology, or other vegetation, soils, or hydrology-related science field. Alternatively, personnel should have 10 years of equivalent wetland professional or academic experience.
- Personnel shall demonstrate knowledge of local flora prior to fieldwork, including the identification of the range of native and non-native plant species expected to be encountered onsite. Personnel should be qualified to identify unknown plant species with a regional dichotomous key and/or herbarium work. Personnel must also demonstrate familiarity with soils and hydrology.

1.3 PRE-CONSTRUCTION BASELINE DATA

Enbridge conducted pre-construction wetland and waterbody field surveys between 2013 through 2019⁶ within an approximate 350- to 450-foot-wide survey corridor of the Project route inclusive of the construction workspace, and improved access roads/haul routes. Surveys were conducted according to the USACE Wetland Delineation Manual (Environmental Laboratory, 1987) and regional supplements (USACE, 2009 and 2010). Enbridge gathered the following baseline information for the wetlands and waterbodies crossed by the construction workspace and improved access roads/haul routes to be utilized as a basis for comparison during construction, restoration, and post-construction monitoring, as needed:

1.3.1 General Pre-Construction Baseline Data

- Visual spectrum aerial imagery of the Project route (see Section 3.2);
- Color infrared imagery of the Project route (September 2019) (see Section 3.2);
- Light Detection and Ranging (“LIDAR”)⁷ of the Project route; and
- Ground elevation civil survey data⁸ collected at regular intervals along the centerline through wetland and waterbody features.

1.3.2 Wetland Pre-Construction Baseline Data

- Field-delineated wetland boundaries;
- Field-delineated wetland community types according to Cowardin (1979), Circular 39 (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service [“USFWS”], 1956), and Eggers and Reed (2014) classification systems;
- Field-delineated dominant plant species by stratum;
- Field characterization of hydric soil types and wetland hydrology (e.g., inundated, saturated soils); and
- Representative photos of wetlands and associated plant communities.

1.3.3 Waterbody Pre-Construction Baseline Data

- Field-delineated waterbody locations;

⁶ 2013 Minnesota Wetland and Waterbody Field Survey Report (February 19, 2014);
2014 Minnesota Wetland & Waterbody Field Survey Report (March 3, 2015);
2015 Minnesota Wetland & Waterbody Field Survey Report (December 22, 2015);
2016 Minnesota Wetland & Waterbody Field Survey Report (November 2, 2016);
2017 Minnesota Wetland & Waterbody Field Survey Report (November 7, 2017); and
2018 Minnesota Wetland & Waterbody Field Survey Report (December 2018).

⁷ LIDAR data has a vertical accuracy of approximately +/- 4 inches.

⁸ Ground elevation data collected by civil surveyors generally have accuracy within +/- 1 inch.

- Waterbody characterizations, including top of bank width and height, ordinary high water mark, substrate type, flow regime, and dominant riparian vegetation;
- Vegetation community type (i.e., Eggers and Reed, 2014); and
- Representative photos of waterbody at the time of survey.

Publicly available data, such as aerial imagery or U.S. Geological Survey gage data, will also be consulted as needed.

2.0 CONSTRUCTION RESTORATION

Construction will not be considered complete until final grading, stabilization, and seeding/planting of the right-of-way has been conducted. Final stabilization of waterbody banks/riparian areas and wetlands are described in Sections 2.6 and 3.9 of Enbridge's Environmental Protection Plan ("EPP"), respectively. Restoration of the temporarily disturbed areas within the construction workspace will proceed in accordance with Section 7.0 of the EPP, and the Invasive and Noxious Species ("INS") Management Plan (Appendix B of the EPP). Seeding in wetland and riparian habitats is summarized as follows:

- Enbridge will use the Minnesota Board of Water & Soil Resources ("BWSR") regional emergent wetland, wet meadow, or wet prairie seed mixes to permanently seed wetlands as appropriate and as described in Section 7.7 and Appendix C of the EPP.
- No seeding will be conducted in wetlands with standing water (see Section 7.7.2 of the EPP).
- Enbridge will restore peatland communities by uniformly spreading organic material over the trench area as described in Section 7.7.3 of the EPP.
- Enbridge will utilize the BWSR regional riparian seed mixes to reestablish stream bank vegetation (see Section 7.8 and Appendix C of the EPP).

3.0 POST-CONSTRUCTION MONITORING AND RESTORATION ACTIVITIES

3.1 ADAPTIVE MANAGEMENT STRATEGY

Post-construction restoration activities will be adaptive, based on the results of monitoring, changing site conditions (e.g., land use) and geared toward the final goal of restoring pre-construction characteristics of the resource (i.e., vegetation and hydrology). Possible corrective measures that may be implemented include:

1. Implementing barriers to prevent off-road vehicle use or fencing to limit cattle or wildlife browsing in areas of active restoration;
2. Implementing integrated approach to invasive or noxious weed infestations as outlined in Enbridge's INS Management Plan (Appendix B of the EPP);

3. Reseeding and/or fertilizing areas with germination problems or supplementing the original seed mix to meet success criteria;
4. Implementing infrastructure to enhance the capture of surface runoff from precipitation events in an effort to reduce erosion;
5. Supplemental plantings of tree and/or shrubs in selected areas to enhance stabilization or vegetation diversity;
6. Implementing beaver or muskrat deterrents;
7. Regrading or recontouring areas to address topography or hydrology issues; and
8. Continued monitoring beyond year 5 if performance standards have not been met, but the area is adequately trending toward the target success criteria.

Enbridge will work with the applicable Agencies to identify adaptive management strategies throughout post-construction monitoring.

3.2 COLOR INFRARED IMAGERY

Color infrared imagery provides a broadscale view of the landscape that is difficult to capture during on-the-ground field surveys. Enbridge proposes to acquire spring leaf-off color infrared imagery⁹ of the Project route prior to construction and during monitoring years 2 and 5, and during late summer (leaf-on) in year 5.

Review of the color infrared imagery will facilitate an evaluation of wetland and waterbody conditions and will facilitate the identification of issues such as ponding/flooding, potential drainage, erosion and sediment control Best Management Practices (“BMPs”), or areas void of vegetation that should have achieved some level of cover. Enbridge will determine antecedent precipitation for the Project using the approximate date of the color infrared imagery flight. Antecedent precipitation will be evaluated using the Minnesota State Climatology Office Wetland Delineation Precipitation Data Retrieval from a Gridded Database,¹⁰ and based on the U.S. Department of Agricultural, Natural Resources Conservation Service (1997) methodology.¹¹

Enbridge most recently obtained pre-construction leaf-on color infrared imagery of the Project route in September 2019, which can be used for comparison during post-construction monitoring. As mentioned above, Enbridge will obtain spring leaf-off color infrared imagery prior to construction. Enbridge may also utilize publicly-available imagery, including summer, spring, and fall true-color and infrared imagery to supplement Project imagery. On-the-ground assessments will be directed to areas of concern to validate the issues observed on color infrared imagery, and to facilitate preparation of a corrective action plan, as needed.

⁹ Color infrared imagery will be flown by a fixed-wing aircraft of the entire route at approximately 6-inch pixel resolution.

¹⁰ http://climateapps.dnr.state.mn.us/gridded_data/precip/wetland/wetland.asp.

¹¹ 650.1903 Supplemental data for remote sensing (pages 19-24 through 19-26).

3.3 SITE STABILIZATION

Monitoring will evaluate the condition of any remaining temporary erosion and sediment control BMPs, such as silt fence, straw bales, mulch (see Section 1.9 of the EPP), and permanent erosion and sediment control BMPs such as slope breakers (see Section 1.17 of the EPP). BMPs will remain in place until vegetation is established in all disturbed areas. Erosion events will also be noted in upland areas and along banks and slopes of wetlands and waterbodies. Monitoring methods will include the use of color infrared imagery and on-the-ground assessment, which may be completed using low-ground pressure vehicles and pedestrian survey. Site stabilization will be evaluated during each monitoring year but will be a primary focus of year 1 monitoring.

3.3.1 Potential Corrective Actions

Enbridge will address site stabilization issues that are identified during monitoring. Erosion or erosion and sediment control BMP deficiencies that have the potential to allow silt-laden water to enter wetlands or waterbodies will be prioritized and promptly addressed to prevent resource impacts. If the selected erosion and sediment control BMP is not effective at a particular location (e.g., continued failure), other solutions will be evaluated, such as re-contouring an area to alleviate a drainage flow pattern that is causing erosion or adding additional erosion and sediment control BMPs to divert drainage to a well-vegetated area. Enbridge will notify the appropriate agencies immediately if any BMP deficiency or failure results in impacts to wetlands or waterbodies and will describe the corrective action taken to address this condition.

3.4 MONITORING OF TOPOGRAPHY AND HYDROLOGY

Clearing, grading, and backfilling of wetlands will proceed as described in Section 3.0 and Appendix A of the EPP. Waterbody crossing procedures are described in Section 2.0 and Appendix A of the EPP.

Year 1 post-construction monitoring will be focused on evaluating the topography and stabilization of all wetland and waterbody crossings. Crowning left for anticipated settling will be evaluated to determine whether soils are returning to the native elevation within the expected timeframe. Areas where subsidence has occurred over the trench will also be noted for potential restoration. Enbridge will also utilize the baseline conditions documented during the pre-construction wetland and waterbody surveys to identify any other potential deviations in site hydrology (see Sections 1.3.1 and 1.3.2). All waterbodies will be visited in year 1. Survey results will be documented in an adapted USACE wetland determination data form.

Wetland monitoring during years 3 and 5 will be focused on both landscape level and on-the-ground assessments of whether hydrology on and the off-right-of-way are similar and consistent with the baseline conditions identified during pre-construction field surveys (refer to Section 1.3.1). Enbridge will utilize the color infrared imagery flown during spring (leaf-off) and LIDAR data collected during year 3 monitoring to support on-the-ground surveys in identifying any hydrological issues that may require corrective actions. Enbridge will also revisit any areas of crowning or subsidence, or other sites identified during years 1 and 3 monitoring where restoration did not meet the performance standards established in Section 4.0.

Monitoring of waterbodies during year 1 will focus on the successful stabilization of streambanks and restoration of waterbody flow relative to the pre-construction baseline data (see Section 1.3.2). The pre-construction course, condition, and capacity of ditches, streams, and rivers will be

maintained during Project construction and will be reconfirmed during post-construction monitoring. All waterbodies will be visited in year 1, while monitoring during years 3 and 5 will focus on where restoration did not meet the performance standards established in Section 4.0 in prior monitoring years.

As discussed in Section 2.0, selected waterbodies may have site-specific restoration plans prepared in coordination with the applicable Agencies; in those cases, Enbridge will assess the site according to the restoration goals established for those waterbodies.

3.4.1 Potential Corrective Actions

Examples of topography or hydrology-related issues that may require additional restoration include: unexpected ponding, unexpected drainage, and/or disruptions to flow patterns causing changes in pre-construction wetland hydrology. Corrective actions, such as regrading or recontouring, will be implemented if crowning, subsidence, or the restored grade is determined to be interfering with the goal of re-establishing vegetative communities according to the local ecotype, or pre-construction wetland hydrology. Where such issues have been identified, Enbridge will reference pre-construction LIDAR data and/or pre-construction ground elevation data collected at regular intervals along the centerline through wetland and waterbody features. Another factor in determining corrective action is the assessment of potential resource impacts from additional restoration as compared to taking no action with continued monitoring. Actions that may require additional temporary impacts on a wetland or waterbody will be conducted according to pertinent permit requirements and in consultation with applicable Agencies.

3.5 REVEGETATION MONITORING

3.5.1 Wetland and Waterbody Crossing Vegetation Monitoring

On-the-ground revegetation monitoring is proposed at all wetland and waterbody crossings during year 1 monitoring. Meander surveys within each disturbed wetland site or riparian vegetation community will be conducted. Enbridge will follow the USACE Wetland Delineation Manual (Environmental Laboratory, 1987) and regional supplements to assess revegetation at all wetlands and waterbodies (riparian areas) occurring in non-agricultural areas to:

- Estimate absolute percent vegetation ground cover;
- Estimate percent composition of ground cover by dominant species;
- Identify dominant species by strata;
- Make hydrophytic vegetation determinations; and
- Determine wetland community type (Cowardin [1979], Circular 39 [USFWS, 1956], and Eggers and Reed [2014]).

The results of these surveys will be documented in an USACE wetland determination data form that has been adapted to include INS observations and presented in the Monitoring Report (see Section 5.0) and compared to the baseline data collected during pre-construction surveys at each site (see Sections 1.3.1 and 1.3.2). Representative photos of each wetland and waterbody site will also be collected during each monitoring year and included in the monitoring report. At sites

that were identified as forested during pre-construction surveys and were converted during construction through removal of the tree strata, year 1 monitoring efforts will include reclassifying the community to its new wetland community type. Monitoring and corrective actions to promote revegetation will be continued until the revegetation release criteria established in Section 4.0 have been met.

Acceptable cover of INS will be determined by comparing the absolute percent cover of INS¹² within the construction workspace to absolute percent cover in adjacent undisturbed areas outside of the construction workspace and within the same community type. Enbridge cannot implement treatment or control methods for these species outside of the construction workspace; therefore, if there is an existing infestation on either or both sides of the construction workspace, Enbridge will be unable to prevent spread onto the right-of-way. When identified, Enbridge will manage new or spreading INS in accordance with Enbridge's INS Management Plan throughout construction, restoration, and during post-construction monitoring efforts.

3.5.2 Special Wetland Communities Vegetation Monitoring

Enbridge proposes to conduct advanced monitoring at a subset of the following wetland community types impacted by the Project:

1. Wetlands with S1, S2, or S3 Native Plant Communities ("NPCs"): NPCs are defined by the MDNR's Minnesota Native Plant Community Classification (Version 2.0) (2003). The conservation rankings are assigned by MDNR plant ecologists based on a methodology developed by NatureServe and its member natural heritage programs: critically imperiled (S1), imperiled (S2), or vulnerable to extirpation (S3).
2. Wetlands with High or Outstanding Sites of Biodiversity Significance ("SOBS"): SOBS are established by the Minnesota Biological Survey ("MBS") and are selected based on the landscape, presence of NPCs, and rare species. SOBS that are ranked as Outstanding by MBS ecologists contain the best occurrences of the rarest species, the most outstanding examples of the rarest NPCs, and/or the largest, most ecologically intact or functional landscapes. High-ranked SOBS contain very good quality occurrences of the rarest species, high-quality examples of rare NPCs, and/or important functional landscape.
3. Wetlands with Known Occurrences of MDNR State-Listed Plant Species: Enbridge has conducted annual surveys to identify state-listed plant species within the Project survey corridor.

Enbridge proposes to conduct the MPCA Rapid Floristic Quality Assessment ("FQA") (MPCA, 2014) at approximately 25 percent of the wetlands identified as special wetland communities in bullets 1 through 3, selected in coordination with the Agencies. The Rapid FQA method uses a plotless meander method by Eggers and Reid (2014) community type to identify species by stratum on a "Rapid Species List" (see Appendix A of MPCA, 2014). Each species on the list has been assigned a Coefficient of Conservatism ("C"), which is a numerical rating from 0-10 that indicates a species preference for specific habitats and tolerance of disturbance. An introduced,

¹² Plant species identified as "eradicate" or "control" under the "Prohibited Noxious Weed" category by the Minnesota Department of Agriculture, and plants identified on the MDNR Operational Order 113 on MDNR-Administered Lands.

highly invasive species, such as reed canary grass, has a C of 0, while a high quality native wetland species, such as sage willow, has a C of 9. The maximum C rating is a 10. Surveyors also estimate the absolute percent cover by species. The MPCA (2014) recommends that Rapid FQAs are completed generally between June and September in Minnesota to capture the ideal sampling period for wetland plant species.

Once the field data has been collected, the data is loaded into the MPCA's Rapid FQA calculator,¹³ which produces the metric calculations by wetland community, including:

- Native species richness
- Introduced species richness
- Mean C
- Floristic quality index ("*FQI*")
- Weighted C ("*wC*")
- Total midpoint percent cover
- Introduced species percent cover
- Introduced species proportion

Once the *wC* scores are calculated, a condition category assessment is assigned for each community based on the Biological Condition Gradient (see Table 3.5-1).

<p style="text-align: center;">Table 3.5-1 The General Wetland Vegetation Biological Condition Gradient</p>	
Condition Category	Description
Exceptional (1)	Community composition and structure as they exist (or likely existed) in the absence of measurable effects of anthropogenic stressors representing pre-European settlement conditions. Non-native taxa may be present in very low abundance and not causing displacement of native taxa.
Good (2)	Community structure similar to natural community. Some additional taxa present and/or there are minor changes in the abundance distribution from the expected natural range. Extent of expected native composition for the community type remains largely intact.
Fair (3)	Moderate changes in community structure. Sensitive taxa are replaced as the abundance distribution shifts towards more tolerant taxa. Extent of expected native composition for the community type diminished.
Poor (4)	Large to extreme changes in community structure resulting from large abundance distribution shifts towards more tolerant taxa. Extent of expected native composition for the community type reduced to isolated pockets and/or wholesale changes in composition.
Absent (5)	Plant life only marginally supported or soil/substrate largely devoid of hydrophytic vegetation due to ongoing severe anthropogenic impacts.
Source: Table 4 in MPCA, 2014.	

The results of these data will be compared to undisturbed areas adjacent to the construction workspace that are the same wetland community (Eggers and Reid, 2014) ("reference sites"). year 1 monitoring will be focused on establishing the Rapid FQA ratings for the reference sites as a means for future comparison with the special wetland communities within the construction workspace ("disturbed sites"). Disturbed sites will be assessed as described in Section 3.5.1 to ensure that revegetation is progressing during year 1 monitoring.

Because Enbridge is limited to conducting construction, restoration, and post-construction monitoring activities within the construction workspace, site selection will need to consider the

¹³ <https://www.pca.state.mn.us/water/floristic-quality-assessment-evaluating-wetland-vegetation>.

ability to obtain landowner or land-managing agency permissions to conduct assessments off of the right-of-way.

During monitoring years 3 and 5, Enbridge will conduct another Rapid FQA of the reference sites to account for external variables (e.g., drought/flooding, climate changes, land use activities), and will conduct the Rapid FQA at the corresponding disturbed sites within the construction workspace. The metric calculations and condition category for the reference sites will be presented and compared to the corresponding disturbed sites for the applicable monitoring years in the Monitoring Report (see Section 5.0). Monitoring and corrective actions to promote revegetation will be continued until the revegetation release criteria established in Section 4.0 have been met.

When identified, Enbridge will manage new or spreading INS in accordance with Enbridge's INS Management Plan throughout construction, restoration, and during post-construction monitoring efforts.

Note that Enbridge has committed to post-construction monitoring at the Gully 30 calcareous fen as outlined in the Gully 30 Fen Management Plan submitted to the MDNR.

3.5.3 Potential Corrective Actions

Some sites will not successfully revegetate after the first seeding effort. Causes for seeding failure include poor germination or insufficient seeding take as a result of weather conditions, soil conditions, disturbance from cattle or wildlife, competition from INS, or erosion. Enbridge will reseed areas that are not adequately revegetated during the monitoring period. Other actions may also be taken, such as regrading areas to correct topography, fertilizing low nutrient soils, decompacting soils, setting up exclusion areas to stop grazing or foraging, implementing Enbridge's INS Management Plan, and/or supplementing seed mixes.

3.6 MONITORING SCHEDULE

Year 1 of the post-construction monitoring period will begin during the first full growing season after completion of restoration. Post-construction monitoring in years 1, 3, and 5 will consist of on-the-ground field assessment, and years 2 and 4 will involve implementing any necessary corrective actions at sites where issues were identified during monitoring years 1 and 3. Enbridge will capture and evaluate color infrared imagery in spring leaf-off conditions (see Section 3.2) during years 2 and 5, and during late summer (leaf-on) in year 5. Enbridge will also collect LIDAR data during monitoring year 3. As wetlands meet their performance standards, the amount of on-the-ground field assessments will decrease and become more selective as time progresses, as outlined in Table 3.6-1 and described in the sections below.

Table 3.6-1
Post-Construction Monitoring and Implementation of Corrective Actions Schedule

Year 1 Monitoring	Year 2 Corrective Actions	Year 3 Monitoring	Year 4 Corrective Actions	Year 5 Monitoring	Year 5+ Monitoring or Corrective Actions
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Conduct on-the-ground monitoring of all wetlands and waterbodies • Establish FQA reference sites for special wetlands selected in coordination with Agencies (see Section 3.5.2) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Color infrared imagery (spring) • Implementation of on-the-ground corrective actions identified in year 1; interim monitoring as needed based on potential issues identified during year 1 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • LIDAR • Conduct on-the-ground monitoring of the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Sites that did not meet performance standards during year 1 monitoring • Potential landscape level hydrology issues identified in color infrared imagery • Conduct FQA at references and disturbed special wetland sites selected in coordination with Agencies (see Section 3.5.2) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Implementation of on-the-ground corrective actions identified in year 3; interim monitoring as needed based on potential issues identified during year 3 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Color infrared imagery (fall leaf-on) • Conduct on-the-ground monitoring of the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Sites that did not meet performance standards during year 3 monitoring ○ Potential landscape level hydrology issues identified in color infrared imagery ○ Conduct FQA at references and disturbed special wetland sites selected in coordination with Agencies (see Section 3.5.2) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ongoing monitoring and implementation of corrective actions where need established by Enbridge and the Agencies

3.6.1 Year 1 Monitoring Activities

Year 1 of post-construction monitoring will include on-the-ground monitoring of all regulated wetlands and waterbodies supported by spring-leaf off color infrared imagery flown prior to on-the-ground assessments (see Section 3.2). Enbridge will record local weather data at each site during monitoring. The first year of monitoring will focus on:

- Stabilization (see Sections 3.3 and 3.4);
- Evaluating wetland topography/restoration to pre-construction contours (e.g., crowning, subsidence) (see Section 3.4);
- Evaluating stream bank stabilization and waterbody flow (see Section 3.4);
- Evaluating revegetation cover and type at wetlands and waterbodies (riparian vegetation) (see Section 3.5.1); and
- Conducting FQA at reference special wetland sites (see Section 3.5.2).

3.6.2 Year 3 Monitoring Activities

Year 3 of post-construction monitoring will include on-the-ground monitoring of a subset of regulated wetlands and waterbodies supported by spring-leaf off color infrared imagery flown prior to on-the-ground assessments (see Section 3.2). Enbridge will record local weather data at each site during monitoring. Year 3 monitoring will focus on:

- Reconfirming site stabilization (see Sections 3.3 and 3.4);
- Reconfirming wetland topography/restoration to pre-construction contours (e.g., crowning, subsidence) (see Section 3.4);
- Evaluating wetland hydrology where performance standards were not met during year 1 monitoring (see Section 3.4);
- Reviewing potential landscape level hydrology issues using color infrared imagery (see Sections 3.2 and 3.4);
- Reconfirming stream bank stabilization and waterbody flow (see Section 3.4);
- Evaluating revegetation cover and type at wetlands and waterbodies (riparian vegetation) where performance standards were not achieved during year 1 monitoring (see Section 3.5); and
- Conducting FQA method at both reference and disturbed special wetland sites (see Section 3.5.2).

3.6.3 Year 5 Monitoring Activities

Year 5 of post-construction monitoring will include on-the-ground monitoring of those regulated wetlands and waterbodies that have not achieved the performance standards established in

Section 4.0. Monitoring will be supported by color infrared imagery (see Section 3.2). Enbridge will record local weather data at each site during monitoring. Year 5 monitoring will focus on:

- Reconfirming site stabilization (see Sections 3.3 and 3.4);
- Reconfirming wetland topography/restoration to pre-construction contours (e.g., crowning, subsidence) (see Section 3.4);
- Evaluating wetland hydrology where performance standards were not met during year 3 monitoring (see Section 3.4);
- Reviewing color infrared imagery to identify potential landscape level hydrology issues for ground verification (see Sections 3.2 and 3.4);
- Reconfirming stream bank stabilization and waterbody flow (see Section 3.4);
- Evaluating revegetation cover and type at wetlands and waterbodies (riparian vegetation) where performance standards were not achieved during year 3 monitoring (see Section 3.5.1); and
- Conducting FQA method at both reference and disturbed special wetland sites where performance standards were not achieved during year 3 monitoring (see Section 3.5.2).

3.6.4 Corrective Action Implementation

Corrective actions may be implemented on an ongoing basis throughout the post-construction monitoring program; however, most actions may be implemented during the non-monitoring years 2 and 4. There are several types of corrective actions that may be identified and implemented during the post-construction monitoring period. The types of corrective actions are described in Sections 3.1, and 3.3 through 3.5.

4.0 PERFORMANCE STANDARDS

If the performance standards are met for a site, restoration will be considered complete and the site will be removed from future monitoring. For sites that do not meet the performance standards, additional monitoring and/or corrective actions beyond year 5 will be determined in consultation with applicable Agencies and implemented until the standards are met. If the applicable Agencies determine that no further monitoring or corrective actions will result in restoration, Enbridge will provide options for additional mitigation.

4.1 Hydrology

Performance standards will be met when the site wetland and waterbody hydrology meets baseline conditions observed during pre-construction surveys (refer to Sections 1.3.1 and 1.3.2) (e.g., saturation, inundation) in any two growing seasons in normal precipitation years,¹⁴ and no landscape-level hydrological issues have been observed in ground surveys or color infrared

¹⁴ Enbridge will use the Minnesota State Climatology Office Wetland Delineation Precipitation Data Retrieval from a Gridded Database to determine “normal precipitation years.”

imagery collected during monitoring years 1, 3, and 5 related to pipeline construction and operation activities.

4.2 Vegetation

Enbridge proposes the following performance standards for wetland and riparian vegetation compared to the baseline conditions observed during pre-construction surveys.

For all vegetation communities (see Section 3.5.1):

1. Total areal cover is at least 80 percent of either the ground cover documented for the vegetation community prior to construction or equates to at least 80 percent of the ground cover of the adjacent undisturbed areas of the same community type.
2. Absolute percent cover of INS within the construction workspace is within 10 percent of the absolute percent cover in adjacent undisturbed areas outside of the construction workspace and within the same community type (i.e., Eggers and Reed, 2014).

For temporarily affected herbaceous, and temporarily converted scrub-shrub, and forested wetland and riparian vegetation communities:

3. Herbaceous communities shall meet baseline dominants or be dominated by native grasses, sedges, rushes, forbs, and/or ferns, except as described in #2.
4. Temporarily converted scrub-shrub communities shall meet baseline dominants or shall be dominated (greater than 50 percent areal cover or stem density) by native shrubs (e.g., alders, willows, dogwoods, meadowsweet), except as described in #2.
5. Temporarily converted forested wetland community shall be dominated (greater than 50 percent areal cover or basal area) by native tree species (e.g., black ash, green ash, silver maple, eastern cottonwood), except as described in #2.

For permanently converted scrub-shrub and forested vegetation communities:

6. The permanently converted wetland community is dominated by native hydrophytic vegetation, except as described in #2.

For special wetland communities (see Section 3.5.2):

7. Disturbed and corresponding reference special wetland sites have the same condition category on the General Wetland Vegetation Biological Condition Gradient.

Enbridge will meet with the applicable Agencies at the end of each monitoring year to review the results of the Monitoring Report and to determine if additional actions are required to complete restoration.

4.3 FINANCIAL ASSURANCES

Enbridge proposes to provide financial assurances in an amount and manner acceptable to the USACE, in consultation with Enbridge, to ensure a high level of confidence that the restoration of

wetlands and waters to pre-construction conditions will be successfully completed in accordance with the performance standards specified in this Plan. The financial assurances approved by the USACE will be in place before Enbridge commences the activity permitted by the USACE permit. The USACE permit or the approved financial assurances instrument will clearly specify the conditions under which the financial assurances will be released to Enbridge and/or other financial assurance provider, including, as appropriate, linkage to achievement of performance standards, adaptive management, or compliance with USACE permit special conditions (33 Code of Federal Regulations § 332.3(n)).

5.0 MONITORING REPORTS

Enbridge will submit a report to the applicable Agencies that summarizes the results of each year of monitoring by December 31. The report will contain the following information:

- Digital copies of geo-rectified color infrared imagery and LIDAR;
- High-level summary table of sites evaluated, how sites were evaluated, date of assessment, restoration status, comparison to pre-construction conditions (baseline), and any corrective actions identified.
- For each wetland and waterbody site assessed:
 - Status of stabilization efforts (i.e., conditions of erosion and sediment control BMPs and any corrective actions);
 - Summary of baseline hydrology data documented during pre-construction surveys, including local weather data recorded at time of the monitoring efforts;
 - Summary of vegetation data documented during pre-construction surveys (i.e., classification, dominant vegetation);
 - Georeferenced photo documentation from pre-construction surveys;
 - Summary of post-construction monitoring hydrology data documented during monitoring year (see Section 3.4);
 - Summary of vegetation data documented during monitoring year (see Section 3.5);
 - Photo documentation from monitoring year;
 - Corrective action list with proposed restoration activities;
 - Additional recommended monitoring activities; and
 - Status of restoration in accordance with the performance standards.
- List of proposed monitoring sites for the next monitoring period.

For sites that fail to meet performance standards, Enbridge will submit a plan by March 1 of the year following each monitoring report for continued monitoring and implementation of corrective measures for review and approval by the Agencies.

6.0 REFERENCES

- Cowardin, L.M., V. Carter, and E.T. LaRoe. 1979. Classification of Wetlands and Deepwater Habitats of the United States. U.S. Department of the Interior, Fish & Wildlife Service, Office of Biological Services. FWS/OBS-79/31. Washington, D.C. 20240.
- Eggers S.D. and D.M. Reed. 2014. Wetland Plants and Plant Communities of Minnesota and Wisconsin, Version 3.1. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. St. Paul District.
- Environmental Laboratory. 1987. Corps of Engineers Wetlands Delineation Manual, Technical Report Y-87-1. U.S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station. Vicksburg, MS.
- MPCA. 2014. Rapid Floristic Quality Assessment Manual. Wq-bwm2-02b. Minnesota Pollution Control Agency, St. Paul, MN.
- USACE. 2009. "Interim Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Northcentral and Northeast Region." J.S. Wakeley, R.W. Lichvar, and C.V. Noble (eds.). ERDC/EL TR-09-19. U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center. Vicksburg, MS.
- USACE. 2010. "Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Midwest Region, Version 2.0." J.S. Wakeley, R.W. Lichvar, and C.V. Noble (eds.). ERDC/EL TR-10-16. U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center. Vicksburg, MS.
- U.S. Department of Agricultural, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 1997. Engineering Field Handbook, Part 650: Hydrology Tools for Wetland Determination. Available online at: <https://directives.sc.egov.usda.gov/OpenNonWebContent.aspx?content=17556.wba>.
- USFWS. 1956. Wetlands of the United States their extent and their value to waterfowl and other wildlife. U.S. Department of the Interior, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Circular 39. By S.P. Shaw and C.G. Fredine.