

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): May 6, 2022

B. ST PAUL, MN DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Bella Vista Greenway Trail, MVP-2022-00414-RMH

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: This AJD considers wetlands identified as Stormwater Pond 1B and Stormwater Pond 2 within the project boundary located in Sections 21 and 22, Township 115 North, Range 19 West.

State: Minnesota County/parish/borough: Dakota County City: Rosemount

Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 44.7573015° N, Long. -93.100418° W.

Universal Transverse Mercator: X:492052.205697 Y: 4955995.083794, Zone 15

Name of nearest waterbody: Mississippi River

Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): Upper Mississippi Region Watershed (HUC 07040001)

☒ Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.

☐ Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

☒ Office (Desk) Determination. Date: May 6, 2022

☐ Field Determination. Date(s):

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are no "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.

1. Waters of the U.S.: N/A

2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):¹

- ☒ Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: **The review area for this jurisdictional determination is the extent of wetlands identified as: Stormwater Pond 1B and Stormwater Pond 2 within the review area. According to the report submitted, Stormwater Pond 1B was constructed in upland areas between September 2013 and October 2014 as part of the construction of nearby development. Stormwater Pond 2 was also constructed in uplands between June 2019 and October 2019. A review of aerial photos prior to 2013 showed no evidence of the stormwater ponds and it appears that the ponds were constructed in uplands to convey stormwater runoff. No wetlands or tributaries were present in the area prior to the construction of the ponds. The wetlands are depressional basins located within an urban area and do not have a surface or shallow subsurface hydrologic connection to any navigable waters or their tributaries. Based upon a review of historic and recent aerial photography, United States Geographical Society (USGS) 1:24K Quad and its associated National Hydrography Dataset (NHD), Natural Resource Conservation Service (NRCS) soil survey, National Wetland Inventory (NWI) maps, and the materials submitted, the wetlands are determined to be hydrologically isolated with no surface water connection to a water of the United States (WOUS). The closest tributary, identified by the USGS NHD as intermittent, is located approximately 2.3 miles south of the review area.**

The wetlands do not support a link to interstate or foreign commerce because it is not known to be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreation or other purposes; do not produce fish or shellfish that could

¹ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce; and is not known to be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate or foreign commerce. The wetlands were determined to not be a WOUS and not to be jurisdictional under the CWA.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs: N/A

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY): N/A

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION: N/A

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): N/A

E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): N/A

F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

- ☐ If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.
- ☒ Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.
 - ☒ Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).
- ☐ Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain:
- ☐ Other (explain, if not covered above):

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply):

- ☐ Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).
- ☐ Lakes/ponds: acres.
- ☐ Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: .
- ☒ Wetlands: Stormwater Pond 1B: 0.19 ac. and Stormwater Pond 2: 0.01 ac. acres.

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):

- ☐ Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft).
- ☐ Lakes/ponds: acres.
- ☐ Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: .
- ☐ Wetlands: acres.

SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.

A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):

- ☒ Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Wetland Delineation Report for Bella Vista Greenway Trail Development submitted by SRF Consulting Group, dated February 2022
- ☒ Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
 - ☒ Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
 - ☐ Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
- ☐ Data sheets prepared by the Corps:
- ☐ Corps navigable waters' study:
- ☒ U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
 - ☒ USGS NHD data.
 - ☒ USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
- ☒ U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: USGS 1:24K Quad Name: Inver Grove Heights
- ☒ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Dakota County Soil Survey
- ☒ National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: submitted with report
- ☐ State/Local wetland inventory map(s):
- ☒ FEMA/FIRM maps:
- ☐ 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929)
- ☒ Photographs: ☒ Aerial (Name & Date): Aerial Imagery: 2013, 2014 and 2019

- or ☒ Other (Name & Date): Site photos submitted with delineation report
- ☐ Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:
- ☐ Applicable/supporting case law:
- ☐ Applicable/supporting scientific literature:
- ☒ Other information (please specify): National Regulatory Viewer layers: 2ft Lidar contours, 3DEP Hillshade

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: A Minnesota Wetland Conservation Act Notice of Decision dated May, 5, 2022 states that the site was reviewed and the wetland boundaries were approved as described.