# APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

#### **SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

- A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): April 1, 2022
- B. ST PAUL, MN DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: MVP-2022-00066-MJB
- C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

State:Minnesota	County/parish/borough: Hennepin	City: Dayton		
Center coordinates of site (lat/l	ong in degree decimal format): Lat. 45.2	20057° N, Long93.42392	2° <b>w</b> . □	
Universal Transverse Mercator: X: 466705.46 Y: 5005319.				
Name of nearest waterbody: Bassett Creek				
Name of watershed or Hydrolo	gic Unit Code (HUC): 07010206			
Check if map/diagram of i	review area and/or potential jurisdictional	areas is/are available upon requ	uest.	
	offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc.	) are associated with this action	on and are recorded on a	
different JD form.				

#### D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

Office (Desk) Determination. Date: April 1, 2022

Field Determination. Date(s):

#### SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

#### A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are no"waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.

#### SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

- A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs: N/A
- B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY): N/A
- C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION: N/A
- D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):  $\rm N/A$
- E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): N/A

•	NON TUDIODICTIONAL WATER		ANDO (OTTE OTZATI	CONTRACT AND
н.	NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATE	RS. INCLUDING WETT	LANDS (CHECK ALI	LTHAT AP

	If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers
$\boxtimes$	Other: (explain, if not covered above): This jurisdictional determination is applicable to the stormwater pond,
	identified as Wetland 1 in the application and figures, in the review area. The pond was constructed by 2003
	according to aerial imagery. Available historical aerial imagery prior to 2003 does not show any evidence of
	wet signatures within or in the immediate vicinity of Wetland 1. The basin was evident on aerial imagery at the
	same time as the adjacent commercial facility. The Natural Resource Conservation Service mapped the soils
	within the site as Hubbard loamy sand and non-hydric. The basin was excavated in upland. Wetland 1 has the
	typical uniform depressional contours at a consistent slope indicative of the designs of a settling basin or
	stormwater pond. The preamble of the 1986 Regulations of 33 CFR Parts 320 through 330 states, with respect
	to the interpretation of the definition of waters of the U.S. given by 33 CFR 328.3, that artificial lakes or ponds
	created by excavating and/or diking dry land to collect and retain water and which are used exclusively for
	such purposes as stock watering, irrigation, settling basins, or rice growing are not generally considered waters
	of the U.S. The review area, specific to the stormwater pond, was excavated in dry land to exclusively retain
	water as a stormwater pond and settling basin. Therefore, it is not considered a water of the U.S. and is not
	jurisdictional for the Corps.
	J

## **SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.**

A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked					
	and	d requested, appropriately reference sources below):			
	$\boxtimes$	Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Title of application: Level 1 Wetland			
	De	Delineation and WCA Incidental Wetland Determination			
		Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.			
		Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.			
	_	Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.			
	$\vdash$	Data sheets prepared by the Corps:			
	Ш	Corps navigable waters' study:			
	$\bowtie$	U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: The USGS National Map accessed April 1, 2022			
		USGS NHD data.			
		USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.			
	$\boxtimes$	U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: 1:24K Anoka			
	$\boxtimes$	USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Hennepin County Soil Survey			
	$\boxtimes$	National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: NWI accessed April 1, 2022			
		State/Local wetland inventory map(s):			
		FEMA/FIRM maps:			
	Ц	100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)			
	$\boxtimes$	Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): Google Earth 1991 and 2003, MHAPC photos 1945, 1971, 1991 USGS, 2003 FSA			
	_	or  Other (Name & Date):			
	$\sqcup$	Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:			
	닏	Applicable/supporting case law:			
	$\vdash$	Applicable/supporting scientific literature:			
		Other information (please specify):			

### **B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:**