

**APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM**  
**U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

**SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

**A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 12/09/2021**

**B. ST PAUL, MN DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: MVP-2021-01724-RJH Wetlands 2,4,5,6&7**

**C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:**

State: **Wisconsin**

County/parish/borough: **Brown**

City: **Wrightstown**

Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. **44.331756° N**, Long. **-88.187420° E**.

Universal Transverse Mercator: **Zone 16**

Name of nearest waterbody: **unnamed tributary to Apple Creek**

Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): **Fox River-Frontal Green Bay (04030204)**

☒ Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.

☐ Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

**D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):**

☒ Office (Desk) Determination. Date: **November 12, 2021**

☐ Field Determination. Date(s):

**SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS**

**A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.**

There are no “*navigable waters of the U.S.*” within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.

**B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.**

There are no “*waters of the U.S.*” within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.

**1. Waters of the U.S.: N/A**

**2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):<sup>1</sup>**

- ☒ Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: **Based on a review of historic aerial photography, topographic maps, and the wetland delineation report submitted by the requestor, we have determined that Wetland 2 and Wetland 7 are isolated wetland depressions with boundaries that transition into uplands. As depicted in aerial imagery, the wetland signatures observed within the delineated wetland features do not extend outside of the wetlands and are surrounded entirely by agricultural uplands. In addition, the review area has minor changes in elevation, as show in the USGS topo maps.**

**Based on a review of recent construction on-site, topographic maps, and the wetland delineation report submitted by the requestor, we have determined that Wetland 4, Wetland 5, and Wetland 6 are isolated wetland depressions with boundaries that transition into uplands. Due to recent and lawful construction and grading activities, the wetland signatures observed within the delineated wetland features do not extend outside of the wetlands and are now completely surrounded entirely by uplands.**

**Wetlands 2, 4, 5, 6 & 7 do not abut and are not separated from any waters of the U.S. by natural or man-made features. The nearest mapped tributary is an unnamed tributary to Apple Creek located approximately 946 feet west of Wetland 4. Historical aerial photography depicted minor wet signatures in the immediate areas, however, no surface water or ecological connections are present that link these wetlands to the tributary or other aquatic resources. Based on this information, the wetlands within the review area are ecologically separated from all other aquatic resources.**

**Wetlands 2, 4, 5, 6 & 7 do not support a link to interstate foreign commerce; are not known to be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreation or other purposes; do not produce fish or shellfish that could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce; and are not known to be used for industrial purposes**

<sup>1</sup> Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

by industries in interstate commerce. Therefore, the Corps has determined these aquatic features are not regulated by the Corps under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act.

### **SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS**

**A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs:** N/A

**B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):** N/A

**C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION:** N/A

**D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):** N/A

**E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):** N/A

**F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):**

- ☐ If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.
- ☒ Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.
  - ☒ Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "*SWANCC*," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).
- ☐ Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain:
- ☐ Other (explain, if not covered above):

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply):

- ☐ Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams):      linear feet      width (ft).
- ☐ Lakes/ponds:      acres.
- ☐ Other non-wetland waters:      acres. List type of aquatic resource:      .
- ☒ Wetlands: 0.28 acres.

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):

- ☐ Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams):      linear feet,      width (ft).
- ☐ Lakes/ponds:      acres.
- ☐ Other non-wetland waters:      acres. List type of aquatic resource:      .
- ☐ Wetlands:      acres.

### **SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.**

**A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):**

- ☒ Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Evergreen Consultants
- ☒ Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
  - ☐ Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
  - ☐ Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
- ☐ Data sheets prepared by the Corps:
- ☐ Corps navigable waters' study:
- ☒ U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
  - ☒ USGS NHD data.
  - ☐ USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
- ☐ U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: 1:24K- Wrightstown
- ☒ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Brown County
- ☐ National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name:
- ☒ State/Local wetland inventory map(s): Wisconsin Wetland Inventory
- ☐ FEMA/FIRM maps:
- ☐ 100-year Floodplain Elevation is:      (National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929)
- ☒ Photographs: ☒ Aerial (Name & Date): Wetland Delineation Report & Google Earth
  - or ☒ Other (Name & Date): Ground level photos
- ☐ Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:
- ☐ Applicable/supporting case law:

- ☐ Applicable/supporting scientific literature:
- ☐ Other information (please specify):

**B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:**

The Drexel Property development project was split into two phases. The aquatic resources located in the southern portion of the property, south of the review area, were reviewed under the guidance of NWPR and determined to be non-waters of the US (Phase 1). These NWPR aquatic resources were filled as part of the commercial development of the site. An additional AJD request for Phase 2 was received for the aquatic resources north of Phase 1 and reviewed under the RAPANOS guidance. The Phase 1 aquatic resources were lawfully filled and do not constitute a man-made barrier between Wetland 4 and the tributary to Apple Creek.