APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

- A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): October 29, 2021
- B. ST PAUL, MN DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: MVP-2021-01606-SJW
- C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

State: Wisconsin	County/parish/borough: Manitowoc	City: Town of Meeme
Center coordinates of site (lat/lon	g in degree decimal format): Lat. 43.5524° N	, Long87.5145° E .

Universal Transverse Mercator: Zone 16

Name of nearest waterbody: Meeme River

Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 0403010108 - Pigeon Creek

Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.

Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

\boxtimes	Office (Desk) Determination. Date: October 1, 2021
	Field Determination. Date(s):

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are no"waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.

1. Waters of the U.S.: N/A

2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):¹

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: The review area includes two delineated wetland features which are identified as Wetland 3 (0.03 acre) and Wetland 4 (0.02 acre) on the enclosed figure labeled MVP-2021-01606-SJW: Figure 1. Wetland 3 and Wetland 4 are situated within an agricultural field which is currently utilized for the production of commodity crops. A review of aerial imagery dating back to June of 2008 shows multiple years of crop stress within the delineated limits of these wetlands, suggesting that these areas consistently exhibit a high water table near the soil surface. However, a review of the WWI indicates there are no wetlands present within the review area. According to the wetland delineation report, each of these wetlands exhibit wetland criteria under normal circumstances. These wetlands are surrounded entirely by uplands and do not extend outside of their delineated limits, nor do they maintain a continued surface or subsurface connection to a downstream TNW. USGS topo mapping indicates these features are situated in a relatively flat landscape position with surface water generally flowing to the east towards an unnamed tributary located adjacent to the review area. A review of the Web Soil Survey indicates the review area is comprised of a Manawa silt loam (MbA) soil unit which is predominantly non-hydric and is generally found in depressional landforms, further suggesting that these wetlands are isolated depressional wetland features. Based on the above factors, the Corps has determined that Wetland 3 and Wetland 4 are hydrologically isolated wetland features which do not maintain a connection to other jurisdictional wetlands or waters. These wetlands do not support a link to interstate or foreign commerce, are not used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreation or other purposes, do not produce fish or shellfish that could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce, and is not known to be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. Therefore, the Corps has determined that Wetlands 3 and 4 are isolated wetland features that are not regulated by the Corps under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

¹ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

- A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs: N/A
- B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY): N/A
- C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION: N/A
- D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): N/A
- E

E.	ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): N/A
F.	NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements. Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR). Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: Other (explain, if not covered above):
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the \underline{sole} potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: . Wetlands: 0.05 acres.
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands: acres.
	ETION IV: DATA SOURCES. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below): Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Wetland Delineation Report which was completed by Evergreen Consultants, LLC.
	Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. ☐ Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. ☐ Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report. ☐ Data sheets prepared by the Corps: ☐ Corps navigable waters' study: ☐ U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: ☐ USGS NHD data. ☐ USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
	U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: 1:24K WI-Cleveland West USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: State/Local wetland inventory map(s): FEMA/FIRM maps: 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929) Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): Google Earth
	or Other (Name & Date): Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: Applicable/supporting case law: Applicable/supporting scientific literature: Other information (please specify):

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: