



**U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS  
REGULATORY PROGRAM  
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM)  
NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE**

**I. ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION**

Completion Date of Approved Jurisdictional Determination (AJD): 5/21/2021

ORM Number: MVP-2021-00907-ARC

Associated JDs: N/A

Review Area Location<sup>1</sup>: State/Territory: Minnesota City: Tofte County/Parish/Borough: Cook

Center Coordinates of Review Area: Latitude 47.2379 Longitude -95.2300

**II. FINDINGS**

**A. Summary:** Check all that apply. At least one box from the following list MUST be selected. Complete the corresponding sections/tables and summarize data sources.

- ☐ The review area is comprised entirely of dry land (i.e., there are no waters or water features, including wetlands, of any kind in the entire review area). Rationale: N/A or describe rationale.
- ☐ There are "navigable waters of the United States" within Rivers and Harbors Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete table in Section II.B).
- ☐ There are "waters of the United States" within Clean Water Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete appropriate tables in Section II.C).
- ☒ There are waters or water features excluded from Clean Water Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete table in Section II.D).

**B. Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 Section 10 (§ 10)<sup>2</sup>**

§ 10 Name	§ 10 Size	§ 10 Criteria	Rationale for § 10 Determination
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.

**C. Clean Water Act Section 404**

Territorial Seas and Traditional Navigable Waters ((a)(1) waters): <sup>3</sup>			
(a)(1) Name	(a)(1) Size	(a)(1) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(1) Determination
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.

Tributaries ((a)(2) waters):			
(a)(2) Name	(a)(2) Size	(a)(2) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(2) Determination
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.

Lakes and ponds, and impoundments of jurisdictional waters ((a)(3) waters):			
(a)(3) Name	(a)(3) Size	(a)(3) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(3) Determination
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.

Adjacent wetlands ((a)(4) waters):			
(a)(4) Name	(a)(4) Size	(a)(4) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(4) Determination
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.

<sup>1</sup> Map(s)/figure(s) are attached to the AJD provided to the requestor.

<sup>2</sup> If the navigable water is not subject to the ebb and flow of the tide or included on the District's list of Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigable waters list, do NOT use this document to make the determination. The District must continue to follow the procedure outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigability determination.

<sup>3</sup> A stand-alone TNW determination is completed independently of a request for an AJD. A stand-alone TNW determination is conducted for a specific segment of river or stream or other type of waterbody, such as a lake, where upstream or downstream limits or lake borders are established. A stand-alone TNW determination should be completed following applicable guidance and should NOT be documented on the AJD Form.



**U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS  
REGULATORY PROGRAM  
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM)  
NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE**

**D. Excluded Waters or Features**

Excluded waters ((b)(1) – (b)(12)): <sup>4</sup>				
Exclusion Name	Exclusion Size		Exclusion <sup>5</sup>	Rationale for Exclusion Determination
Wetland 1	0.01	acre(s)	(b)(5) Ditch that is not an (a)(1) or (a)(2) water, and those portions of a ditch constructed in an (a)(4) water that do not satisfy the conditions of (c)(1).	Wetland 1 consists of a ditch excavated in uplands on the north side of Highway 61 to contain the precipitation runoff from the roadway. This ditch was not constructed within a wetland and does not abut a wetland. This ditch was excavated in uplands, does not contain permanent or intermittent flow, does not relocate a tributary, was not constructed in a tributary, and was not constructed in an (a)(4) adjacent wetland.
Wetland 2	0.16	acre(s)	(b)(5) Ditch that is not an (a)(1) or (a)(2) water, and those portions of a ditch constructed in an (a)(4) water that do not satisfy the conditions of (c)(1).	Wetland 2 consists of a ditch excavated in uplands on the north side of Highway 61 to contain the precipitation runoff from the roadway and contains a culvert under a residential driveway. This ditch was not constructed within a wetland and does not abut a wetland. This ditch was excavated in uplands, does not contain permanent or intermittent flow, does not relocate a tributary, was not constructed in a tributary, and was not constructed in an (a)(4) adjacent wetland.
Wetland 3	0.05	acre(s)	(b)(5) Ditch that is not an (a)(1) or (a)(2) water, and those portions of a ditch constructed in an (a)(4) water that do not satisfy the conditions of (c)(1).	Wetland 3 consists of a ditch excavated in uplands on the north side of Highway 61 to contain the precipitation runoff from the roadway. This ditch was not constructed within a wetland and does not abut a wetland. This ditch was excavated in uplands, does not contain permanent or intermittent flow, does not relocate a tributary, was not constructed in a tributary, and was not constructed in an (a)(4) adjacent wetland.
Wetland 4	0.07	acre(s)	(b)(5) Ditch that is not an (a)(1) or (a)(2) water, and those portions of a ditch constructed in an (a)(4) water that do not satisfy the conditions of (c)(1).	Wetland 4 consists of a ditch excavated in uplands on the north side of Highway 61 from a driveway to Tofte Homestead Drive to contain the precipitation runoff from the roadway. This ditch was not constructed within a wetland and does not abut a wetland. This ditch was excavated in uplands, does not contain permanent or intermittent flow, does not relocate a tributary, was not constructed in a tributary, and was not constructed in an (a)(4) adjacent wetland.

<sup>4</sup> Some excluded waters, such as (b)(2) and (b)(4), may not be specifically identified on the AJD form unless a requestor specifically asks a Corps district to do so. Corps districts may, in case-by-case instances, choose to identify some or all of these waters within the review area.

<sup>5</sup> Because of the broad nature of the (b)(1) exclusion and in an effort to collect data on specific types of waters that would be covered by the (b)(1) exclusion, four sub-categories of (b)(1) exclusions were administratively created for the purposes of the AJD Form. These four sub-categories are not new exclusions, but are simply administrative distinctions and remain (b)(1) exclusions as defined by the NWPR.



**U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS  
REGULATORY PROGRAM  
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM)  
NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE**

Excluded waters ((b)(1) – (b)(12)): <sup>4</sup>				
Exclusion Name	Exclusion Size		Exclusion <sup>5</sup>	Rationale for Exclusion Determination
Wetland 5	0.004	acre(s)	(b)(5) Ditch that is not an (a)(1) or (a)(2) water, and those portions of a ditch constructed in an (a)(4) water that do not satisfy the conditions of (c)(1).	Wetland 5 consists of a tiny ditch excavated in uplands on the north side of Highway 61 to contain the precipitation runoff from the roadway. This ditch was not constructed within a wetland and does not abut a wetland. This ditch was excavated in uplands, does not contain permanent or intermittent flow, does not relocate a tributary, was not constructed in a tributary, and was not constructed in an (a)(4) adjacent wetland.

### III. SUPPORTING INFORMATION

**A. Select/enter all resources** that were used to aid in this determination and attach data/maps to this document and/or references/citations in the administrative record, as appropriate.

☒ Information submitted by, or on behalf of, the applicant/consultant: [Anderson Engineering Delineation Report - 2020, Wetland application dated April 19, 2021](#)

This information is sufficient for purposes of this AJD.

Rationale: [N/A or describe rationale for insufficiency \(including partial insufficiency\).](#)

☐ Data sheets prepared by the Corps: [Title\(s\) and/or date\(s\).](#)

☒ Photographs: [Other: Anderson Engineering Delineation Photo Documentation](#)

☐ Corps site visit(s) conducted on: [Date\(s\).](#)

☐ Previous Jurisdictional Determinations (AJDs or PJDs): [ORM Number\(s\) and date\(s\).](#)

☐ Antecedent Precipitation Tool: [provide detailed discussion in Section III.B.](#)

☐ USDA NRCS Soil Survey: [Title\(s\) and/or date\(s\).](#)

☒ USFWS NWI maps: [USFWS NWI mapping](#)

☐ USGS topographic maps: [Title\(s\) and/or date\(s\).](#)

#### Other data sources used to aid in this determination:

Data Source (select)	Name and/or date and other relevant information
<a href="#">USGS Sources</a>	<a href="#">N/A.</a>
<a href="#">USDA Sources</a>	<a href="#">The National Map Viewer</a>
<a href="#">NOAA Sources</a>	<a href="#">N/A.</a>
<a href="#">USACE Sources</a>	<a href="#">N/A.</a>
<a href="#">State/Local/Tribal Sources</a>	<a href="#">N/A.</a>
<a href="#">Other Sources</a>	<a href="#">N/A.</a>

**B. Typical year assessment(s):** [N/A or provide typical year assessment for each relevant data source used to support the conclusions in the AJD.](#)

**C. Additional comments to support AJD:** [The Corps reviewed all available desktop information and had a phone conversation with Cook County staff to learn more about the area. Wetlands 1 - 5 are not \(a\)\(1\) or \(a\)\(2\) waters, were not constructed in an \(a\)\(4\) water, do not relocate tributaries, were not constructed in](#)



**U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS  
REGULATORY PROGRAM  
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM)  
NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE**

tributaries and were not constructed in an adjacent wetland. All 5 wetlands meet the exclusions as defined in the NWPR.