



**U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
REGULATORY PROGRAM
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM)
NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE**

I. ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

Completion Date of Approved Jurisdictional Determination (AJD): 6/17/2021

ORM Number: MVP-2021-00729-RJH

Associated JDs: N/A

Review Area Location¹: State/Territory: WI City: Fond du Lac County/Parish/Borough: Fond du Lac

Center Coordinates of Review Area: Latitude 43.800910 Longitude -88.495977

II. FINDINGS

A. Summary: Check all that apply. At least one box from the following list MUST be selected. Complete the corresponding sections/tables and summarize data sources.

- ☐ The review area is comprised entirely of dry land (i.e., there are no waters or water features, including wetlands, of any kind in the entire review area). Rationale: N/A
- ☐ There are "navigable waters of the United States" within Rivers and Harbors Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete table in Section II.B).
- ☐ There are "waters of the United States" within Clean Water Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete appropriate tables in Section II.C).
- ☒ There are waters or water features excluded from Clean Water Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete table in Section II.D).

B. Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 Section 10 (§ 10)²

§ 10 Name	§ 10 Size	§ 10 Criteria	Rationale for § 10 Determination
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.

C. Clean Water Act Section 404

Territorial Seas and Traditional Navigable Waters ((a)(1) waters): ³				
(a)(1) Name	(a)(1) Size	(a)(1) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(1) Determination	
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	

Tributaries ((a)(2) waters):				
(a)(2) Name	(a)(2) Size	(a)(2) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(2) Determination	
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	

Lakes and ponds, and impoundments of jurisdictional waters ((a)(3) waters):				
(a)(3) Name	(a)(3) Size	(a)(3) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(3) Determination	
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	

Adjacent wetlands ((a)(4) waters):				
(a)(4) Name	(a)(4) Size	(a)(4) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(4) Determination	
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	

¹ Map(s)/figure(s) are attached to the AJD provided to the requestor.

² If the navigable water is not subject to the ebb and flow of the tide or included on the District's list of Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigable waters list, do NOT use this document to make the determination. The District must continue to follow the procedure outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigability determination.

³ A stand-alone TNW determination is completed independently of a request for an AJD. A stand-alone TNW determination is conducted for a specific segment of river or stream or other type of waterbody, such as a lake, where upstream or downstream limits or lake borders are established. A stand-alone TNW determination should be completed following applicable guidance and should NOT be documented on the AJD Form.



**U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
REGULATORY PROGRAM
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM)
NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE**

D. Excluded Waters or Features

Excluded waters ((b)(1) – (b)(12)): ⁴			
Exclusion Name	Exclusion Size	Exclusion ⁵	Rationale for Exclusion Determination
Wetland 6	4.19	acre(s) (b)(1) Non-adjacent wetland.	Based on a review of aerial photography and after evaluating the delineation report, topography, we have determined that these features are wetland depressions that do not extend outside the review area and boundaries transition into uplands within the surrounding area.
Wetland 7	0.55		
Wetland 9	0.06		Wetlands 6, 10, 12, and 13 boundaries' extend into the roadside ditch adjacent to Rolling Meadows Drive. Based on lack of wet soil signatures in aerial photography, we have determine these roadside ditches were constructed in uplands and do not serve as a connection to any other a(1)-a(3) water.
Wetland 11	0.16		Wetlands 9-10, 12-13 boundaries extend into the roadside ditch of Rolling Meadows Drive, based on a review of Google Street View there are no culverts connecting these features to any other aquatic resource. Wetland 6 has a culvert connecting to the North side of Rolling Meadows Drive, based on lack of wetland vegetation from Google Street View and lack of consistent wetness signatures on aerial photography between 1992-2015 we have determined that Wetland 6 does not connect to another aquatic resource.
Wetland 10	0.30		
Wetland 12	0.27		After evaluating the aerial photography and lack of wetness signatures in the surrounding area, we have determined that Wetlands 7, 9, and 11 are isolated depressions whose boundaries transition into the uplands.
Wetland 13	0.63		

⁴ Some excluded waters, such as (b)(2) and (b)(4), may not be specifically identified on the AJD form unless a requestor specifically asks a Corps district to do so. Corps districts may, in case-by-case instances, choose to identify some or all of these waters within the review area.

⁵ Because of the broad nature of the (b)(1) exclusion and in an effort to collect data on specific types of waters that would be covered by the (b)(1) exclusion, four sub-categories of (b)(1) exclusions were administratively created for the purposes of the AJD Form. These four sub-categories are not new exclusions, but are simply administrative distinctions and remain (b)(1) exclusions as defined by the NWPR.



**U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
REGULATORY PROGRAM
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM)
NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE**

Excluded waters ((b)(1) – (b)(12)): ⁴				
Exclusion Name	Exclusion Size		Exclusion ⁵	Rationale for Exclusion Determination
				of these features being flooded in a typical year by an a(1)-a(3) water. All features are outside of the FEMA mapped flood zone of the tributary to Mosher Creek
Wetland Ditch 17	0.04	acre(s)	(b)(5) Ditch that is not an (a)(1) or (a)(2) water, and those portions of a ditch constructed in an (a)(4) water that do not satisfy the conditions of (c)(1).	Based on the submitted delineation report and aerial photography, we have determined that Wetland Ditch 17 is ditch that was constructed in uplands within the ROW of Rolling Meadow Drive. The area where Wetland Ditch 17 was constructed, lacked sufficient hydrology to support wetland conditions and was constructed in uplands. The soil series (MaA) is a predominantly non-hydric soil series and the boundary transitions into upland areas within the review area and confined to the toe slope of the constructed ditch.

III. SUPPORTING INFORMATION

A. Select/enter all resources that were used to aid in this determination and attach data/maps to this document and/or references/citations in the administrative record, as appropriate.

☒ Information submitted by, or on behalf of, the applicant/consultant: [AJD request submitted by Davel Engineering & Environmental Inc on 4/20/2021.](#)

This information is sufficient for purposes of this AJD.

Rationale: [N/A](#)

☐ Data sheets prepared by the Corps: [Title\(s\) and/or date\(s\).](#)

☒ Photographs: [Aerial and Other: Photos submitted in the AJD request.](#)

☐ Corps site visit(s) conducted on: [Date\(s\).](#)

☐ Previous Jurisdictional Determinations (AJDs or PJDs): [ORM Number\(s\) and date\(s\).](#)

☐ Antecedent Precipitation Tool: [provide detailed discussion in Section III.B.](#)

☐ USDA NRCS Soil Survey: [Title\(s\) and/or date\(s\).](#)

☐ USFWS NWI maps: [Title\(s\) and/or date\(s\).](#)

☒ USGS topographic maps: [1:24K- Eldorado](#)

Other data sources used to aid in this determination:

Data Source (select)	Name and/or date and other relevant information
USGS Sources	N/A.
USDA Sources	N/A.
NOAA Sources	N/A.
USACE Sources	N/A.
State/Local/Tribal Sources	N/A.
Other Sources	N/A.

B. Typical year assessment(s): [N/A](#)



**U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
REGULATORY PROGRAM
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM)
NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE**

C. Additional comments to support AJD: [N/A](#)