

# U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS REGULATORY PROGRAM APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM) NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE

### I. ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

Completion Date of Approved Jurisdictional Determination (AJD): 1/6/2021

ORM Number: MVP-2020-02339-MWM

Associated JDs: N/A

Review Area Location<sup>1</sup>: State/Territory: Wisconsin City: Enter. County/Parish/Borough: Brown

Center Coordinates of Review Area: Latitude 44.454169 Longitude -87.982357

### II. FINDINGS

١.	Su	<b>mmary:</b> Check all that apply. At least one box from the following list MUST be selected. Complete the
	cor	responding sections/tables and summarize data sources.
		The review area is comprised entirely of dry land (i.e., there are no waters or water features, including
		wetlands, of any kind in the entire review area). Rationale: N/A
		There are "navigable waters of the United States" within Rivers and Harbors Act jurisdiction within the
		review area (complete table in Section II.B).
		There are "waters of the United States" within Clean Water Act jurisdiction within the review area
		(complete appropriate tables in Section II.C).
	$\boxtimes$	There are waters or water features excluded from Clean Water Act jurisdiction within the review area
		(complete table in Section II D)

# B. Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 Section 10 (§ 10)<sup>2</sup>

§ 10 Name	§ 10 Size	9	§ 10 Criteria	Rationale for § 10 Determination		
N/A.	N/A.	N/A	N/A.	N/A.		

#### C. Clean Water Act Section 404

Territorial Seas and Traditional Navigable Waters ((a)(1) waters): <sup>3</sup>					
(a)(1) Name	(a)(1) Size		(a)(1) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(1) Determination	
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	

Tributaries ((a)(2) waters):					
(a)(2) Name	(a)(2) Size		(a)(2) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(2) Determination	
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	

Lakes and ponds, and impoundments of jurisdictional waters ((a)(3) waters):				
(a)(3) Name	(a)(3) Size		(a)(3) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(3) Determination
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.

Adjacent wetlands ((a)(4) waters):						
(a)(4) Name	(a)(4) Size		(a)(4) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(4) Determination		
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Map(s)/figure(s) are attached to the AJD provided to the requestor.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> If the navigable water is not subject to the ebb and flow of the tide or included on the District's list of Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigable waters list, do NOT use this document to make the determination. The District must continue to follow the procedure outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigability determination.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> A stand-alone TNW determination is completed independently of a request for an AJD. A stand-alone TNW determination is conducted for a specific segment of river or stream or other type of waterbody, such as a lake, where upstream or downstream limits or lake borders are established. A stand-alone TNW determination should be completed following applicable guidance and should NOT be documented on the AJD Form.



# U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS REGULATORY PROGRAM APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM) NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE

## D. Excluded Waters or Features

Excluded waters ((b)(1) – (b)(12)): <sup>4</sup>					
Exclusion Name	Exclusion	n Size	Exclusion <sup>5</sup>	Rationale for Exclusion Determination	
Wetland 2	.07	acre(s)	(b)(1) Non-adjacent wetland.	Wetland 2 does not abut an a(1)-(3) water and isn't inundated by flooding from an a(1)-(3) water in a typical year. The review area is in a flood zone which has a 1% annual chance of flooding, which is not representative of a typical year. Wetland 2 is not separated from an a(1)-(3) water by a natural or artificial feature nor does it have direct hydrological surface connection in a typical year. Wetland 2 continues off the review area to the south to abut a manmade ditch. The ditch is a result of execution and grading for Highway 175, there is about a 6-foot elevation change from the ditch to the highway. A review of historical aerial photos prior to 1978 does not show a wetness signature, therefore the area was upland before construction of Highway 175. On the opposite side of Highway 175 is Bower Creek. There is a culvert under Highway 175 west of the wetland. Review of aerial photos confirm this wetland does not continue to the culvert structure.	

#### III. SUPPORTING INFORMATION

- **A. Select/enter all resources** that were used to aid in this determination and attach data/maps to this document and/or references/citations in the administrative record, as appropriate.
  - ☑ Information submitted by, or on behalf of, the applicant/consultant: Brandon Robaidek, Robert E Lee and Associates, 11/19/2020

d Associates, 11/19/2020
This information is sufficient for purposes of this AJD.

Rationale: N/A	
Data sheets prepared	by the Corps: N/A
Photographs: Select.	N/A

Corps site visit(s) conducted on: N/A
 Previous Jurisdictional Determinations (AJDs or PJDs): N/A

☐ Antecedent Precipitation Tool: *provide detailed discussion in Section III.B.* 

☐ USDA NRCS Soil Survey: N/A

☐ USFWS NWI maps: N/A

☐ USGS topographic maps: N/A

## Other data sources used to aid in this determination:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Some excluded waters, such as (b)(2) and (b)(4), may not be specifically identified on the AJD form unless a requestor specifically asks a Corps district to do so. Corps districts may, in case-by-case instances, choose to identify some or all of these waters within the review area.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Because of the broad nature of the (b)(1) exclusion and in an effort to collect data on specific types of waters that would be covered by the (b)(1) exclusion, four sub-categories of (b)(1) exclusions were administratively created for the purposes of the AJD Form. These four sub-categories are not new exclusions, but are simply administrative distinctions and remain (b)(1) exclusions as defined by the NWPR.



# U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS REGULATORY PROGRAM APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM) NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE

Data Source (select)	Name and/or date and other relevant information
USGS Sources	N/A.
USDA Sources	N/A.
NOAA Sources	N/A.
USACE Sources	N/A.
State/Local/Tribal Sources	Surface Water Data Viewer National Flood Hazard Layer, FWS
	National Wetlands Inventory, Brown County Aerial Photos
Other Sources	N/A.

B. Typical year assessment(s): N/A

C. Additional comments to support AJD: N/A