



**U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
REGULATORY PROGRAM
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM)
NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE**

I. ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

Completion Date of Approved Jurisdictional Determination (AJD): 2/5/2021

ORM Number: MVP-2017-02278-CCK

Associated JDs: N/A

Review Area Location¹: State/Territory: Minnesota City: Rochester County/Parish/Borough: Olmstead

Center Coordinates of Review Area: Latitude 44.006386 N Longitude -92.463748 W

II. FINDINGS

A. Summary: Check all that apply. At least one box from the following list MUST be selected. Complete the corresponding sections/tables and summarize data sources.

- ☐ The review area is comprised entirely of dry land (i.e., there are no waters or water features, including wetlands, of any kind in the entire review area). Rationale: N/A
- ☐ There are "navigable waters of the United States" within Rivers and Harbors Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete table in Section II.B).
- ☐ There are "waters of the United States" within Clean Water Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete appropriate tables in Section II.C).
- ☒ There are waters or water features excluded from Clean Water Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete table in Section II.D).

B. Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 Section 10 (§ 10)²

§ 10 Name	§ 10 Size	§ 10 Criteria	Rationale for § 10 Determination
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.

C. Clean Water Act Section 404

Territorial Seas and Traditional Navigable Waters ((a)(1) waters): ³				
(a)(1) Name	(a)(1) Size	(a)(1) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(1) Determination	
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.

Tributaries ((a)(2) waters):				
(a)(2) Name	(a)(2) Size	(a)(2) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(2) Determination	
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.

Lakes and ponds, and impoundments of jurisdictional waters ((a)(3) waters):				
(a)(3) Name	(a)(3) Size	(a)(3) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(3) Determination	
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.

Adjacent wetlands ((a)(4) waters):				
(a)(4) Name	(a)(4) Size	(a)(4) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(4) Determination	
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.

¹ Map(s)/figure(s) are attached to the AJD provided to the requestor.

² If the navigable water is not subject to the ebb and flow of the tide or included on the District's list of Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigable waters list, do NOT use this document to make the determination. The District must continue to follow the procedure outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigability determination.

³ A stand-alone TNW determination is completed independently of a request for an AJD. A stand-alone TNW determination is conducted for a specific segment of river or stream or other type of waterbody, such as a lake, where upstream or downstream limits or lake borders are established. A stand-alone TNW determination should be completed following applicable guidance and should NOT be documented on the AJD Form.



**U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
REGULATORY PROGRAM
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM)
NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE**

D. Excluded Waters or Features

Excluded waters ((b)(1) – (b)(12)): ⁴			
Exclusion Name	Exclusion Size	Exclusion ⁵	Rationale for Exclusion Determination
Wetland 1, Wetland 2, Wetland 3	0.2917 acre(s)	(b)(5) Ditch that is not an (a)(1) or (a)(2) water, and those portions of a ditch constructed in an (a)(4) water that do not satisfy the conditions of (c)(1).	Wetlands 1, 2, and 3 are 3 wetland areas located parallel to TH 14/Broadway Ave South. A review of the data sources in Section III.A indicates that the wetlands are geographically isolated and physically separated from potential downstream waters.

III. SUPPORTING INFORMATION

A. Select/enter all resources that were used to aid in this determination and attach data/maps to this document and/or references/citations in the administrative record, as appropriate.

☒ Information submitted by, or on behalf of, the applicant/consultant: [SEH, Inc, on behalf of The City of Rochester, Joint Permit Application for the TH 14/Broadway Avenue South Multi-Use Trail Project](#)

This information is sufficient for purposes of this AJD.

Rationale: [N/A](#)

- ☐ Data sheets prepared by the Corps: [Title\(s\) and/or date\(s\)](#).
- ☒ Photographs: [Aerial: Google Earth Imagery, \(1991-2020\); 1940 historic aerial](#)
- ☐ Corps site visit(s) conducted on: [Date\(s\)](#).
- ☐ Previous Jurisdictional Determinations (AJDs or PJDs): [ORM Number\(s\) and date\(s\)](#).
- ☐ Antecedent Precipitation Tool: [provide detailed discussion in Section III.B.](#)
- ☐ USDA NRCS Soil Survey: <http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/>
- ☒ USFWS NWI maps: [USFWS NWI of MN, 1974-1978](#)
- ☒ USGS topographic maps: [1:24k USGS Rochester Quadrangle](#)

Other data sources used to aid in this determination:

Data Source (select)	Name and/or date and other relevant information
USGS Sources	N/A.
USDA Sources	N/A.
NOAA Sources	N/A.
USACE Sources	N/A.
State/Local/Tribal Sources	N/A.
Other Sources	N/A.

⁴ Some excluded waters, such as (b)(2) and (b)(4), may not be specifically identified on the AJD form unless a requestor specifically asks a Corps district to do so. Corps districts may, in case-by-case instances, choose to identify some or all of these waters within the review area.

⁵ Because of the broad nature of the (b)(1) exclusion and in an effort to collect data on specific types of waters that would be covered by the (b)(1) exclusion, four sub-categories of (b)(1) exclusions were administratively created for the purposes of the AJD Form. These four sub-categories are not new exclusions, but are simply administrative distinctions and remain (b)(1) exclusions as defined by the NWPR.



**U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
REGULATORY PROGRAM
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM)
NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE**

- B. Typical year assessment(s):** N/A or provide typical year assessment for each relevant data source used to support the conclusions in the AJD.
- C. Additional comments to support AJD:** The review are for this determination is limited to the delineated boundaries of 3 wetland areas labeled as Wetland 1, Wetland 2, and Wetland 3 on the attached figures labeled 2017-02278-CCK AJD Attachments, Pages 1-10. The total area of non-jurisdictional waters within the review area is approximately 0.2917 acres.

All of the wetlands within the review area meet the definition of “wetlands” under paragraph (c)(16) and are not (a)(4) waters because they do not meet the definition of “adjacent wetlands” under paragraph (c)(1). A review of the data sources in Section III.A indicates that the wetlands are geographically isolated and physically separated from potential downstream waters. These wetlands run parallel to an existing roadway in a highly developed area in suburban Rochester, MN. The Zumbro River lies approximately ¼ mile directly west of these wetland areas, which are non-abutting. Using available aerial imagery (1940-2016), NWI maps, soil maps and lidar imagery; there is no surface water connection between these wetland areas and the Zumbro River. These wetlands are completely surrounded by upland areas and do not have a direct surface water connection to a downstream (a)(1)-(a)(3) water. The wetlands are far enough removed from the Zumbro River with numerous developed areas between the River and wetlands and therefore are not subject to inundation by flooding from the (a)(2) tributary in a typical year. The oldest available aerial photo of the area is from 1940, which appears to contain both the roadway and the linear wetland areas. It is our determination that these linear wetlands are ditches constructed in upland.

This was confirmed by reviewing aerial photography, national hydrography data, and topographic data. Based on this review, the assessed ditches are not jurisdictional under the Navigable Waters Protection Rule because they meet the requirements of the exclusion for ditches under paragraph (b)(5).