



Lock & Dam No. 7 Upper Approach Outdraft

Mississippi Managers Meeting February 2020

Jon Hendrickson and Emily Moe St. Paul District, H&H Branch







Lock & Dam 7 Upper Approach Hydraulics

- Dynamic Bathymetry
- Flow Shifts

2019 Condition Recap

Abnormally high, extended flows

2019 Alternative Analysis

- Dredging, Weir Modification
- Discussion

Mississippi River - Lock and Dam 7 Upper Approach Bathymetry Changes - 1998 to 2015



Significant Flow Changes

Dresbach Slough Old Navigation Channel

D7

More Flow No Change Less Flow



Mississippi River - Pool 7 RM 704.80 - Old Navigation Channel





Flow Changes



BUILDING STRONG

Water exchange – a surrogate for geomorphic change









Hydraulic Model



BUILDING STRONG

706

- 2D Model Developed
- ~4 River Miles
- Assess existing conditions/Validate
- Test Alternatives
- Goal: Reduce
 Velocities around
 Upper Approach







- Unique channel
 - Shallow + narrow : limits options
- Hydraulic model used to evaluate various alternatives
 - Simple Non-Structural alternatives
 - Dredging
 - Complex Structural
 - Dredging with structures
- Only one alternative showed potential





Rock Weir at <u>7ft below LCP</u> (EI. 631.45 ft NAVD88)

Lowers velocities by 20-50% (near Upper Approach)
 "Nudge" in the right direction





Flows during construction (2018)



BUILDING STRONG 110,000 100,000 90,000 80,000 Flow (cfs) 70,000 Working Working Limit Limit 60,000 50.000 40,000 30,000 September November October 21 23 4 2018 2018 - LockDam_07 CEMVP-Legacy Flow-Out 2018



2019 Flows = Dynamic Year



BUILDING STRONG

Mississippi River at Lock & Dam 7 Flow History (1959-2020)



Day of Year (0-365)



Bathymetric Changes







Magaura

2019 Model Calibration Check



BUILDING STRONG

2019 Measured Flows vs. Modeled Flow (2-Yr, 50% ACE) Comparison Measured: Q=86,000 cfs (July 2019) Modeled: Q=93,000 cfs

XS:	1	2	3	4	5	6
Mesh:	"28Aug2019 _ConditionU pdate"	"28Aug2019 _ConditionU pdate"	"28Aug2019 _ConditionU pdate"	"28Aug2019 _ConditionU pdate"	"28Aug2019 _ConditionU pdate"	"28Aug2019 _ConditionU pdate"
Model Flow Condition:	Q2_93kcfs	Q2_93kcfs	Q2_93kcfs	Q2_93kcfs	Q2_93kcfs	Q2_93kcfs
Location (RM):	703.15	703.05	702.95	702.85	702.8	702.65
Model Velocity (ft/s)	2.12	2.53	2.55	2.61	2.71	2.80
Measured Velocity (ft/s)	2.22	2.99	2.81	2.77	3.00	2.74
%- Difference	-4.4%	-15.5%	-9.3%	-5.8%	-9.6%	-4.1%
Model Flow (cfs)	59,904	55,821	62,527	62,483	62,550	62,645
Measured Flow (cfs)	62,204	40,720	62,920	61,240	61,980	62,950
%- Difference	-3.7%	37.1%	-0.6%	2.0%	-0.9%	-0.5%





BUILDING STRONG

Elevation (ft, NAVD88) 638.0 634.0 630.0 626.0 622.0 618.0 614.0 610.0 ~1200 ft U/S of Guidewall

Alternative: 2019 Existing + 13 ft Dredge Cut













BUILDING STRONG

Velocity (ft/s) [1-ft/s Contours] 10.0 6.0 - 5.0 - 4.0 3.0 2.0 Mesh Module Q2 93kcfs ov 2 00:00:00 6.73 fl/s 0.00 ft/s 2D Model Results: Velocity Max Velocity = 6.7 ft/s **October 2019 "With Project"** Flow: 93,000 cfs (2-Year Flow Frequency)



-10 -20

Velocities: Percent Reduction

Contour Interval = 10%

2019 Alternative Investigation



BUILDING STRONG

-30 40 -50 -60 -70 ~10% Local Reduction Results: Velocities-Percent Reduction U/S of Guidewall Proposed Alternative: 2019 Existing + 13 ft Dredge Cut Flow: 93,000 cfs (2-Year Flow Frequency) Along MN Bank





BUILDING STRONG



October 2019 "With Project"+260 ft Extension Flow: 93,000 cfs (2-Year Flow Frequency)













- Outdraft Issue studied and river conditions modeled
- Evaluated Non-Structural & Structural alternatives
- Constructed Rock Weir Fall 2018
- 2019 Nav Season: High Flows, Difficult Conditions
- Discussion



Path Forward?



- ► Fill in current alignment to as-built elevation
 - Rock has settled/displaced
- ► Raise weir to 5-ft depth (currently @ 7-ft depth)
 - Further reduction in velocities (5-10%)
- Dredging
 - 13-ft showed some positive impact
- Investigate new structural solutions
 - Time +++
 - Cost +++