



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS, ST PAUL DISTRICT
GREEN BAY FIELD OFFICE
320 NORTH BROADWAY SUITE 200
GREEN BAY WI 54303

CEMVP-RD E

MEMORANDUM FOR RECORD

SUBJECT: US Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) Approved Jurisdictional Determination in accordance with the "Revised Definition of 'Waters of the United States'"; (88 FR 3004 (January 18, 2023) as amended by the "Revised Definition of 'Waters of the United States'; Conforming" (8 September 2023) ,¹ MVP-2026-00128-TKO [MFR 1 of 1]²

BACKGROUND. An Approved Jurisdictional Determination (AJD) is a Corps document stating the presence or absence of waters of the United States on a parcel or a written statement and map identifying the limits of waters of the United States on a parcel. AJDs are clearly designated appealable actions and will include a basis of JD with the document.³ AJDs are case-specific and are typically made in response to a request. AJDs are valid for a period of five years unless new information warrants revision of the determination before the expiration date or a District Engineer has identified, after public notice and comment, that specific geographic areas with rapidly changing environmental conditions merit re-verification on a more frequent basis.⁴

On January 18, 2023, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the Department of the Army ("the agencies") published the "Revised Definition of 'Waters of the United States,'" 88 FR 3004 (January 18, 2023) ("2023 Rule"). On September 8, 2023, the agencies published the "Revised Definition of 'Waters of the United States'; Conforming", which amended the 2023 Rule to conform to the 2023 Supreme Court decision in *Sackett v. EPA*, 598 U.S., 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023) ("*Sackett*").

This Memorandum for Record (MFR) constitutes the basis of jurisdiction for a Corps AJD as defined in 33 CFR §331.2. For the purposes of this AJD, we have relied on Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 (RHA),⁵ the 2023 Rule as amended,

¹ While the Revised Definition of "Waters of the United States"; Conforming had no effect on some categories of waters covered under the CWA, and no effect on any waters covered under RHA, all categories are included in this Memorandum for Record for efficiency.

² When documenting aquatic resources within the review area that are jurisdictional under the Clean Water Act (CWA), use an additional MFR and group the aquatic resources on each MFR based on the TNW, the territorial seas, or interstate water that they are connected to. Be sure to provide an identifier to indicate when there are multiple MFRs associated with a single AJD request (i.e., number them 1, 2, 3, etc.).

³ 33 CFR 331.2.

⁴ Regulatory Guidance Letter 05-02.

⁵ USACE has authority under both Section 9 and Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 but for convenience, in this MFR, jurisdiction under RHA will be referred to as Section 10.

CEMVP-RD E

SUBJECT: 2023 Rule, as amended, Approved Jurisdictional Determination in Light of *Sackett v. EPA*, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023), MVP-2026-00128-TKO

as well as other applicable guidance, relevant case law, and longstanding practice in evaluating jurisdiction.

1. SUMMARY OF CONCLUSIONS.

- a. Provide a list of each individual feature within the review area and the jurisdictional status of each one (i.e., identify whether each feature is/is not a water of the United States and/or a navigable water of the United States).

Name of Aquatic Resource	JD or Non-JD	Section 404/Section 10
Wetland 1	Non-Jurisdictional	None
Wetland 2	Non-Jurisdictional	None

2. REFERENCES.

- a. "Revised Definition of 'Waters of the United States,'" 88 FR 3004 (January 18, 2023) ("2023 Rule")
- b. "Revised Definition of 'Waters of the United States'; Conforming" 88 FR 61964 (September 8, 2023))
- c. *Sackett v. EPA*, 598 U.S. 651, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023)
- d. Memorandum To the Field Between the U.S. Department Of The Army, U.S. Army Corps Of Engineers And The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Concerning The Proper Implementation Of 'Continuous Surface Connection' Under The Definition Of "Waters Of The United States" Under The Clean Water Act" (March 12, 2025)

3. REVIEW AREA.

- a. Project Are Size (in acres): ~32-acre
- b. Location Description: The project/review area is located in Section 26, Township 15N, Range 21E, Sheboygan County, Wisconsin.
- c. Center Coordinates of the Project Site (in decimal degrees)
Latitude: 43.7439 Longitude: -87.9539
- d. Nearest City or Town: Plymouth
- e. County: Sheboygan
- f. State: Wisconsin
- g. Other associated Jurisdictional Determinations (including outcomes): N/A

CEMVP-RD E

SUBJECT: 2023 Rule, as amended, Approved Jurisdictional Determination in Light of *Sackett v. EPA*, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023), MVP-2026-00128-TKO

4. NEAREST TRADITIONAL NAVIGABLE WATER (TNW), THE TERRITORIAL SEAS, OR INTERSTATE WATER TO WHICH THE AQUATIC RESOURCE IS CONNECTED. [N/A.]⁶
5. FLOWPATH FROM THE SUBJECT AQUATIC RESOURCES TO A TNW, THE TERRITORIAL SEAS, OR INTERSTATE WATER. [N/A.]
6. SECTION 10 JURISDICTIONAL WATERS⁷: Describe aquatic resources or other features within the review area determined to be jurisdictional in accordance with Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899. Include the size of each aquatic resource or other feature within the review area and how it was determined to be jurisdictional in accordance with Section 10.⁸
N/A.
7. SECTION 404 JURISDICTIONAL WATERS: Describe the aquatic resources within the review area that were found to meet the definition of waters of the United States in accordance with the 2023 Rule as amended, consistent with the Supreme Court's decision in *Sackett*. List each aquatic resource separately, by name, consistent with the naming convention used in section 1, above. Include a rationale for each aquatic resource, supporting that the aquatic resource meets the relevant category of "waters of the United States" in the 2023 Rule as amended. The rationale should also include a written description of, or reference to a map in the administrative record that shows, the lateral limits of jurisdiction for each aquatic resource, including how that limit was determined, and incorporate relevant references used. Include the size of each aquatic resource in acres or linear feet and attach and reference related figures as needed. [N/A.]
 - a. Traditional Navigable Waters (TNWs) (a)(1)(i): N/A
 - b. The Territorial Seas (a)(1)(ii): N/A

⁶ This MFR should not be used to complete a new stand-alone TNW determination. A stand-alone TNW determination for a water that is not subject to Section 9 or 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 (RHA) is completed independently of a request for an AJD. A stand-alone TNW determination is conducted for a specific segment of river or stream or other type of waterbody, such as a lake, where upstream or downstream limits or lake borders are established.

⁷ 33 CFR 329.9(a) A waterbody which was navigable in its natural or improved state, or which was susceptible of reasonable improvement (as discussed in § 329.8(b) of this part) retains its character as "navigable in law" even though it is not presently used for commerce, or is presently incapable of such use because of changed conditions or the presence of obstructions.

⁸ This MFR is not to be used to make a report of findings to support a determination that the water is a navigable water of the United States. The district must follow the procedures outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a determination that water is a navigable water of the United States subject to Section 10 of the RHA.

- c. Interstate Waters (a)(1)(iii): N/A
- d. Impoundments (a)(2): N/A
- e. Tributaries (a)(3): N/A
- f. Adjacent Wetlands (a)(4): N/A
- g. Additional Waters (a)(5): N/A

8. NON-JURISDICTIONAL AQUATIC RESOURCES AND FEATURES

- a. Describe aquatic resources and other features within the review area identified in the 2023 Rule as amended as not “waters of the United States” even where they otherwise meet the terms of paragraphs (a)(2) through (5). Include the type of excluded aquatic resource or feature, the size of the aquatic resource or feature within the review area and describe how it was determined to meet one of the exclusions listed in 33 CFR 328.3(b).⁹ [N/A.]
- b. Describe aquatic resources and features within the review area that were determined to be non-jurisdictional because they do not meet one or more categories of waters of the United States under the 2023 Rule as amended (e.g., tributaries that are non-relatively permanent waters; non-tidal wetlands that do not have a continuous surface connection to a jurisdictional water).

Review of a 2025 Evergreen Consultants’ wetland delineation report, historic aerial images, County GIS mapping, WI Surface Water Data Viewer, and LiDAR resources (hillshade and DEM GIS layers from the Mississippi Valley Division Regulatory Viewer) indicate that Wetlands W-1 and W-2 are depressional wetlands, surrounded by uplands. Review of these resources indicate the assessed wetlands are not, and do not physically abut, a jurisdictional water. Wetlands W-1 and W-2 are not TNWs, territorial seas, or interstate waters and therefore are not (a)(1) waters. Wetlands W-1 and W-2 do not physically abut a relatively permanent paragraph (a)(2) impoundment or a jurisdictional (a)(3) tributary. Wetlands W-1 and W-2 are non-tidal wetlands that do not abut relatively permanent jurisdictional waters and as such do not meet the definition of adjacent and cannot be evaluated as an (a)(4) adjacent wetlands. Wetlands W-1 and W-2 are not intrastate lakes or ponds that meet the relatively permanent standard and cannot be evaluated as an (a)(5) waters: lakes and ponds not

⁹ 88 FR 3004 (January 18, 2023)

identified in (a)(1) - (a)(4). Therefore, Wetlands W-1 and W-2 are not jurisdictional under the 2023 Revised Definition of 'Waters of the United States'; Conforming" 88 FR 61964 Final Rule.

Wetlands W-1 and W-2 are non-tidal, depressional wetlands, located within an agricultural field. Wetland W-1 is surrounded entirely by delineated upland in all directions. A discrete drainage swale is evident at the northeast of W-1. This swale is a non-jurisdictional drainage feature which lacks wetland characteristics and an ordinary high watermark. The upland swale directs overland flows, and excess from W-1, off-site to a non-RPW ditch. This swale is delineated upland and does not constitute a continuous surface connection to any downstream water. W-2 is surrounded by delineated upland at the north, east, and west, while continuing outside the review area to the south. Here W-2 connects to a roadside ditch which discharges through a culvert underneath a residential roadway to a stormwater pond south of the roadway. The roadside ditch lacks an ordinary high watermark and any evidence of relatively permanent flow. The stormwater pond is bound by fill material in all directions with no continuous surface connections to any downstream jurisdictional water. Based on historic aerial imagery, this stormwater pond was constructed in uplands sometime between 2007 and 2008. At the south, W-2 is bound by fill material from the residential roadway. Elevation data shows the roadside ditches drain/slope towards the culvert and storm pond, and do not serve as a continuous connection to other downstream water. Review of elevation data and historic aerial imagery supports the delineated wetland boundary. Elevation data shows W-1 and W-2 sitting within depressional areas, while aerial imagery shows a lack of persistent wetness signature or any other indication of wetland presence (standing water, repeated vegetation stress, distinct variation of vegetation) outside the delineated wetland boundary. The wetlands (W-1 and W-2) do not abut any downstream waters.

9. DATA SOURCES. List sources of data/information used in making determination. Include titles and dates of sources used and ensure that information referenced is available in the administrative record.
 - a. No field visits were conducted. Desktop review evaluation was conducted on 03/27/2026.
 - b. AJD Application "2026-00128-TKO 20260327.APP.pdf" in the administrative record.
 - c. Mississippi Valley Division Regulatory Viewer, 03/27/2026.
 - d. Wisconsin DNR Surface Water Data Viewer, 03/27/2026.

CEMVP-RD E

SUBJECT: 2023 Rule, as amended, Approved Jurisdictional Determination in Light of *Sackett v. EPA*, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023), MVP-2026-00128-TKO

- e. [Sheboygan County GIS Interactive Mapping, 03/27/2026.](#)

10. OTHER SUPPORTING INFORMATION. [[N/A.](#)]

11. NOTE: The structure and format of this MFR were developed in coordination with the EPA and Department of the Army. The MFR's structure and format may be subject to future modification or may be rescinded as needed to implement additional guidance from the agencies; however, the approved jurisdictional determination described herein is a final agency action.

AJD Review Area




Sheboygan County, WI, Maxar, Microsoft

Legend


- Site Boundary
- Sample Point
- Wetland Line
- Wetland
- Culvert

Whispering Pines
Wetland Delineation Map
S Pleasant View Road
City of Plymouth
Sheboygan County, WI



Wetland Delineation was conducted by
Chad Fradette, EP, Chem,
WDNR Professionally Assured Wetland Delineator
with assistance from
Shyann Banker, Environmental Scientist,
WDNR Professionally Assured Wetland Delineator

Project: SHE25-120-01



Phone: 920.615.0019 • Website: www.evergreenwis.com