



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS, ST. PAUL DISTRICT
ST. PAUL DISTRICT OFFICE
332 MINNESOTA STREET SUITE E1500
ST. PAUL MINNESOTA 55101

MVP

MEMORANDUM FOR RECORD

SUBJECT: US Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) Approved Jurisdictional Determination in accordance with the “Revised Definition of ‘Waters of the United States’”; (88 FR 3004 (January 18, 2023) as amended by the “Revised Definition of ‘Waters of the United States’; Conforming” (8 September 2023) ,¹ MVP-2017-03464-ALH [MFR 1 of 1]²

BACKGROUND. An Approved Jurisdictional Determination (AJD) is a Corps document stating the presence or absence of waters of the United States on a parcel or a written statement and map identifying the limits of waters of the United States on a parcel. AJDs are clearly designated appealable actions and will include a basis of JD with the document.³ AJDs are case-specific and are typically made in response to a request. AJDs are valid for a period of five years unless new information warrants revision of the determination before the expiration date or a District Engineer has identified, after public notice and comment, that specific geographic areas with rapidly changing environmental conditions merit re-verification on a more frequent basis.⁴

On January 18, 2023, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the Department of the Army (“the agencies”) published the “Revised Definition of ‘Waters of the United States,’” 88 FR 3004 (January 18, 2023) (“2023 Rule”). On September 8, 2023, the agencies published the “Revised Definition of ‘Waters of the United States’; Conforming”, which amended the 2023 Rule to conform to the 2023 Supreme Court decision in *Sackett v. EPA*, 598 U.S., 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023) (“*Sackett*”).

This Memorandum for Record (MFR) constitutes the basis of jurisdiction for a Corps AJD as defined in 33 CFR §331.2. For the purposes of this AJD, we have relied on Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 (RHA),⁵ the 2023 Rule as amended,

¹ While the Revised Definition of “Waters of the United States”; Conforming had no effect on some categories of waters covered under the CWA, and no effect on any waters covered under RHA, all categories are included in this Memorandum for Record for efficiency.

² When documenting aquatic resources within the review area that are jurisdictional under the Clean Water Act (CWA), use an additional MFR and group the aquatic resources on each MFR based on the TNW, the territorial seas, or interstate water that they are connected to. Be sure to provide an identifier to indicate when there are multiple MFRs associated with a single AJD request (i.e., number them 1, 2, 3, etc.).

³ 33 CFR 331.2.

⁴ Regulatory Guidance Letter 05-02.

⁵ USACE has authority under both Section 9 and Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 but for convenience, in this MFR, jurisdiction under RHA will be referred to as Section 10.

MVP

SUBJECT: 2023 Rule, as amended, Approved Jurisdictional Determination in Light of *Sackett v. EPA*, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023), MVP-2017-03464-ALH

as well as other applicable guidance, relevant case law, and longstanding practice in evaluating jurisdiction.

1. SUMMARY OF CONCLUSIONS.

- a. Provide a list of each individual feature within the review area and the jurisdictional status of each one (i.e., identify whether each feature is/is not a water of the United States and/or a navigable water of the United States).

Name of Aquatic Resource	JD or Non-JD	Section 404/Section 10
2026 Wetland 3	Non-Jurisdictional	N/A
2026 Wetland 7	Non-Jurisdictional	N/A
2026 Wetland 4 North	Non-Jurisdictional	N/A
2026 Wetland 5	Non-Jurisdictional	N/A
2026 Wetland 6	Non-Jurisdictional	N/A
2026 Wetland 4 South	Non-Jurisdictional	N/A

2. REFERENCES.

- a. “Revised Definition of ‘Waters of the United States,’” 88 FR 3004 (January 18, 2023) (“2023 Rule”)
- b. “Revised Definition of ‘Waters of the United States’; Conforming” 88 FR 61964 (September 8, 2023))
- c. *Sackett v. EPA*, 598 U.S. 651, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023)
- d. Memorandum To the Field Between the U.S. Department Of The Army, U.S. Army Corps Of Engineers And The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Concerning The Proper Implementation Of ‘Continuous Surface Connection’ Under The Definition Of “Waters Of The United States” Under The Clean Water Act” (March 12, 2025)

3. REVIEW AREA.

- a. Project Are Size (in acres): approximately 1 acre
- b. Location Description: The project/review area is located in Section 24, Township 21N, Range 16E, Outagamie County, Wisconsin.
- c. Center Coordinates of the Project Site (in decimal degrees)
Latitude: 44.283300 Longitude: -88.501430

MVP

SUBJECT: 2023 Rule, as amended, Approved Jurisdictional Determination in Light of *Sackett v. EPA*, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023), MVP-2017-03464-ALH

- d. Nearest City or Town: Greenville
- e. County: Outagamie
- f. State: Wisconsin
- g. Other associated Jurisdictional Determinations (including outcomes):

Action ID	Type	Outcome
MVP-2017-03464-ALH (dated April 3, 2025)	AJD	Wetlands 1 and 2 were determined to be non-jurisdictional under the AJD
MVP-2017-03464-RJH (dated March 24, 2020)	AJD	Wetland 2, 3, part of 4, 5 and 6 were determined to be non-jurisdictional under the AJD (wetlands named differently from a different wetland delineation report)

- 4. NEAREST TRADITIONAL NAVIGABLE WATER (TNW), THE TERRITORIAL SEAS, OR INTERSTATE WATER TO WHICH THE AQUATIC RESOURCE IS CONNECTED. [N/A]⁶
- 5. FLOWPATH FROM THE SUBJECT AQUATIC RESOURCES TO A TNW, THE TERRITORIAL SEAS, OR INTERSTATE WATER. [N/A]
- 6. SECTION 10 JURISDICTIONAL WATERS⁷: Describe aquatic resources or other features within the review area determined to be jurisdictional in accordance with Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899. Include the size of each aquatic resource or other feature within the review area and how it was determined to be jurisdictional in accordance with Section 10.⁸
N/A
- 7. SECTION 404 JURISDICTIONAL WATERS: Describe the aquatic resources within the review area that were found to meet the definition of waters of the United States in accordance with the 2023 Rule as amended, consistent with the Supreme Court's decision in *Sackett*. List each aquatic resource separately, by name, consistent with

⁶ This MFR should not be used to complete a new stand-alone TNW determination. A stand-alone TNW determination for a water that is not subject to Section 9 or 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 (RHA) is completed independently of a request for an AJD. A stand-alone TNW determination is conducted for a specific segment of river or stream or other type of waterbody, such as a lake, where upstream or downstream limits or lake borders are established.

⁷ 33 CFR 329.9(a) A waterbody which was navigable in its natural or improved state, or which was susceptible of reasonable improvement (as discussed in § 329.8(b) of this part) retains its character as "navigable in law" even though it is not presently used for commerce, or is presently incapable of such use because of changed conditions or the presence of obstructions.

⁸ This MFR is not to be used to make a report of findings to support a determination that the water is a navigable water of the United States. The district must follow the procedures outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a determination that water is a navigable water of the United States subject to Section 10 of the RHA.

MVP

SUBJECT: 2023 Rule, as amended, Approved Jurisdictional Determination in Light of *Sackett v. EPA*, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023), MVP-2017-03464-ALH

the naming convention used in section 1, above. Include a rationale for each aquatic resource, supporting that the aquatic resource meets the relevant category of “waters of the United States” in the 2023 Rule as amended. The rationale should also include a written description of, or reference to a map in the administrative record that shows, the lateral limits of jurisdiction for each aquatic resource, including how that limit was determined, and incorporate relevant references used. Include the size of each aquatic resource in acres or linear feet and attach and reference related figures as needed. [N/A]

- a. Traditional Navigable Waters (TNWs) (a)(1)(i): N/A
- b. The Territorial Seas (a)(1)(ii): N/A
- c. Interstate Waters (a)(1)(iii): N/A
- d. Impoundments (a)(2): N/A
- e. Tributaries (a)(3): N/A
- f. Adjacent Wetlands (a)(4): N/A
- g. Additional Waters (a)(5): N/A

8. NON-JURISDICTIONAL AQUATIC RESOURCES AND FEATURES

- a. Describe aquatic resources and other features within the review area identified in the 2023 Rule as amended as not “waters of the United States” even where they otherwise meet the terms of paragraphs (a)(2) through (5). Include the type of excluded aquatic resource or feature, the size of the aquatic resource or feature within the review area and describe how it was determined to meet one of the exclusions listed in 33 CFR 328.3(b).⁹ [N/A]
- b. Describe aquatic resources and features within the review area that were determined to be non-jurisdictional because they do not meet one or more categories of waters of the United States under the 2023 Rule as amended (e.g., tributaries that are non-relatively permanent waters; non-tidal wetlands that do not have a continuous surface connection to a jurisdictional water).
[Wetland 3, 4 North and 5 are depressional farmed wetlands that are surrounded by delineated uplands according to the wetland delineation reports. The National Wetland Inventory layer on the National Regulatory Viewer does not indicate the

⁹ 88 FR 3004 (January 18, 2023)

MVP

SUBJECT: 2023 Rule, as amended, Approved Jurisdictional Determination in Light of *Sackett v. EPA*, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023), MVP-2017-03464-ALH

presence of wetlands where these wetlands are located. The 2-ft Contours layer, multiple years of aerial imagery and the Hillshade layer generally confirm the size and shape of the wetlands and where they transition to uplands. Multiple years of aerial imagery do not show many changes in the last decade.

Wetland 4 South, 6 and 7 all extend outside of the delineated area to the east according to the wetland delineation report where they meet the linear ditch along the west side of Mayflower Drive. Multiple years of aerial imagery do not indicate a presence of water in the roadside ditch therefore it does not carry a relatively permanent flow of water. There is a culvert between Wetlands 6 and 7 that goes under Mayflower Drive; however years of aerial imagery and Google Street View do not show signs of an Ordinary High-Water Mark on either side of the culvert and therefore it does not serve as a connection to downstream waters to the east of Mayflower Drive.

These wetlands are not TNWs, territorial seas, or interstate waters and therefore are not (a)(1) waters. The wetlands do not physically abut a relatively permanent paragraph (a)(2) impoundment or a jurisdictional (a)(3) tributary and are not separated from a jurisdictional water by a natural berm, bank, dune, or similar natural landform. The wetlands are nontidal wetlands that do not abut a relatively permanent jurisdictional water and as such do not meet the definition of adjacent and cannot be evaluated as an (a)(4) adjacent wetland; therefore, the wetlands are not jurisdictional under the 2023 Revised Definition of ‘Waters of the United States’; Conforming” 88 FR 61964 Final Rule.]

9. DATA SOURCES. List sources of data/information used in making determination. Include titles and dates of sources used and ensure that information referenced is available in the administrative record.

- a. [Office evaluations were conducted March 2026]
- b. [Wetland Delineation Design Drive by McMahan Associates, Inc. dated February 19, 2025]
- c. [Wetland Delineation CB & Greenville Drive (Midwest Properties) by McMahan Associates, Inc. dated August 16, 2025]
- d. [National Regulatory Viewer – USA Soils Hydric Class layer, National Wetland Inventory layer, Hillshade layer, DEM layer, Digital Elevation Tool, 2-ft Contours, and WDNR Imagery 2022, 2020 and 2015 accessed on March 2026.]


10. OTHER SUPPORTING INFORMATION. [N/A]

MVP

SUBJECT: 2023 Rule, as amended, Approved Jurisdictional Determination in Light of *Sackett v. EPA*, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023), MVP-2017-03464-ALH

11. NOTE: The structure and format of this MFR were developed in coordination with the EPA and Department of the Army. The MFR's structure and format may be subject to future modification or may be rescinded as needed to implement additional guidance from the agencies; however, the approved jurisdictional determination described herein is a final agency action.

MVP-2017-03464-ALH FIGURE 1 OF 2



Mapped Features

- Review Area Boundary (43.71 acres)
- Wetland Area (0.79 acres / 34,355 SF)
- SB1 Soil Boring and ID
- Wetlands Extend Beyond Review Area
- WDNR Wetland Indicator
- WDNR Wetland Inventory
- Waterway
- Parcel Line
- Index Contour
- Intermediate Contour
- Index Depression Contour
- Intermediate Depression Contour

Wetland 1
0.13 AC
5,576SF

Wetland 2
0.14 AC
6,132SF

Wetland 3
0.14 AC
6,186SF

Wetland 4
0.09 AC
3,805SF

Wetland 5
0.02 AC
916SF

Wetland 6
0.13 AC
5,689SF

Wetland 7
0.14 AC
6,051SF

APPROX AJD
REVIEW AREA

Project No: G0014 - 09-23-00774
 Wetland Delineation Date: November 13, 2024
 Data Sources: Outagamie County, 2023-24; WDNR, 2024
 Imagery: Outagamie County, 2023





FIGURE 3
WETLAND DELINEATION MAP
 DESIGN DRIVE
 VILLAGE OF GREENVILLE
 OUTAGAMIE COUNTY, WISCONSIN

w:\PROJECTS\G0014092300774\CADD\GIS\WetlandFig3_north_B.mxd January 22, 2025 kpk

U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service, Calvin Lawrence, Dennis Weise, Nina Rahn
 Disclaimer: The information on this drawing was developed or obtained by others. McMAHON ASSOCIATES, INC does not guarantee this information to be correct, current, or complete. The property and right-of-way information are only intended for use as a general reference and are not intended or suitable for site-specific uses. Any use to the contrary of the above stated uses is the responsibility of the user and such use is at the user's own risk.

MVP-2017-03464-ALH FIGURE 2 OF 2



Mapped Features

- Review Area Boundary (40.37 acres)
- Wetland Area (1.78 acres / 77,526 SF)
- SB1 Soil Boring and ID
- Wetlands Extend Beyond Review Area
- WDNR Wetland Indicator
- WDNR Wetland Inventory
- Waterway
- Parcel Line
- Index Contour
- Intermediate Contour
- Index Depression Contour
- Intermediate Depression Contour

APPROX AJD REVIEW AREA


Wetland 1
1.09 AC
47,448 SF

Wetland 2
0.18 AC
7,761 SF

Wetland 3
0.48 AC
20,723 SF

Wetland 4
0.04 AC
1,594 SF

Project No: G0014 - 09-25-00169
Wetland Delineation Date: June 17, 2025
Data Sources: Outagamie County, 2023-25; WDNR, 2025
Imagery: Outagamie County, 2023



McMAHON
ENGINEERS ARCHITECTS
McMAHON ASSOCIATES, INC.

FIGURE 3
WETLAND DELINEATION MAP
TIF NORTH-SOUTH ROAD
VILLAGE OF GREENVILLE
OUTAGAMIE COUNTY, WISCONSIN

w:\PROJECTS\G0014092500169\CADD\GIS\WetlandFig3_B.mxd August 5, 2025 kpk