

MVP

SUBJECT: 2023 Rule, as amended, Approved Jurisdictional Determination in Light of *Sackett v. EPA*, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023), MVP-2017-03464-ALH

MVP

April 3, 2025

MEMORANDUM FOR RECORD

SUBJECT: US Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) Approved Jurisdictional Determination in accordance with the “Revised Definition of ‘Waters of the United States’”; (88 FR 3004 (January 18, 2023) as amended by the “Revised Definition of ‘Waters of the United States’; Conforming” (8 September 2023) ,¹ MVP-2017-03464-ALH [MFR 1 of 1]²

BACKGROUND. An Approved Jurisdictional Determination (AJD) is a Corps document stating the presence or absence of waters of the United States on a parcel or a written statement and map identifying the limits of waters of the United States on a parcel. AJDs are clearly designated appealable actions and will include a basis of JD with the document.³ AJDs are case-specific and are typically made in response to a request. AJDs are valid for a period of five years unless new information warrants revision of the determination before the expiration date or a District Engineer has identified, after public notice and comment, that specific geographic areas with rapidly changing environmental conditions merit re-verification on a more frequent basis.⁴

On January 18, 2023, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the Department of the Army (“the agencies”) published the “Revised Definition of ‘Waters of the United States,’” 88 FR 3004 (January 18, 2023) (“2023 Rule”). On September 8, 2023, the agencies published the “Revised Definition of ‘Waters of the United States’; Conforming”, which amended the 2023 Rule to conform to the 2023 Supreme Court decision in *Sackett v. EPA*, 598 U.S., 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023) (“*Sackett*”).

This Memorandum for Record (MFR) constitutes the basis of jurisdiction for a Corps AJD as defined in 33 CFR §331.2. For the purposes of this AJD, we have relied on Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 (RHA),⁵ the 2023 Rule as amended,

¹ While the Revised Definition of “Waters of the United States”; Conforming had no effect on some categories of waters covered under the CWA, and no effect on any waters covered under RHA, all categories are included in this Memorandum for Record for efficiency.

² When documenting aquatic resources within the review area that are jurisdictional under the Clean Water Act (CWA), use an additional MFR and group the aquatic resources on each MFR based on the TNW, the territorial seas, or interstate water that they are connected to. Be sure to provide an identifier to indicate when there are multiple MFRs associated with a single AJD request (i.e., number them 1, 2, 3, etc.).

³ 33 CFR 331.2.

⁴ Regulatory Guidance Letter 05-02.

⁵ USACE has authority under both Section 9 and Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 but for convenience, in this MFR, jurisdiction under RHA will be referred to as Section 10.

MVP

SUBJECT: 2023 Rule, as amended, Approved Jurisdictional Determination in Light of *Sackett v. EPA*, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023), MVP-2017-03464-ALH

as well as other applicable guidance, relevant case law, and longstanding practice in evaluating jurisdiction.

1. SUMMARY OF CONCLUSIONS.

- a. Provide a list of each individual feature within the review area and the jurisdictional status of each one (i.e., identify whether each feature is/is not a water of the United States and/or a navigable water of the United States).

Name of Aquatic Resource	JD or Non-JD	Section 404/Section 10
2025 W-1	Non-jurisdictional	N/A
2025 W-2	Non-Jurisdictional	N/A

2. REFERENCES.

- a. “Revised Definition of ‘Waters of the United States,’” 88 FR 3004 (January 18, 2023) (“2023 Rule”)
- b. “Revised Definition of ‘Waters of the United States’; Conforming” 88 FR 61964 (September 8, 2023))
- c. *Sackett v. EPA*, 598 U.S. 651, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023)
- d. Memorandum To The Field Between The U.S. Department Of The Army, U.S. Army Corps Of Engineers And The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Concerning The Proper Implementation Of ‘Continuous Surface Connection’ Under The Definition Of “Waters Of The United States” Under The Clean Water Act” (March 12, 2025)

3. REVIEW AREA.

- a. Project Area Size (in acres): 2
- b. Location Description: The project/review area is located in Section 24, Township 21N, Range 16E, Outagamie County, Wisconsin.
- c. Center Coordinates of the Project Site (in decimal degrees)
Latitude: 44.283300 Longitude: -88.501430
- d. Nearest City or Town: Greenville
- e. County: Outagamie
- f. State: Wisconsin
- g. Other associated Jurisdictional Determinations (including outcomes):

Action ID	Type	Outcome
MVP-2017-03464-RJH	AJD	Wetlands 2-6 were determined to be non-jurisdictional

MVP

SUBJECT: 2023 Rule, as amended, Approved Jurisdictional Determination in Light of *Sackett v. EPA*, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023), MVP-2017-03464-ALH

4. NEAREST TRADITIONAL NAVIGABLE WATER (TNW), THE TERRITORIAL SEAS, OR INTERSTATE WATER TO WHICH THE AQUATIC RESOURCE IS CONNECTED. [N/A]⁶
5. FLOWPATH FROM THE SUBJECT AQUATIC RESOURCES TO A TNW, THE TERRITORIAL SEAS, OR INTERSTATE WATER. [N/A]
6. SECTION 10 JURISDICTIONAL WATERS⁷: Describe aquatic resources or other features within the review area determined to be jurisdictional in accordance with Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899. Include the size of each aquatic resource or other feature within the review area and how it was determined to be jurisdictional in accordance with Section 10.⁸
N/A
7. SECTION 404 JURISDICTIONAL WATERS: Describe the aquatic resources within the review area that were found to meet the definition of waters of the United States in accordance with the 2023 Rule as amended, consistent with the Supreme Court’s decision in *Sackett*. List each aquatic resource separately, by name, consistent with the naming convention used in section 1, above. Include a rationale for each aquatic resource, supporting that the aquatic resource meets the relevant category of “waters of the United States” in the 2023 Rule as amended. The rationale should also include a written description of, or reference to a map in the administrative record that shows, the lateral limits of jurisdiction for each aquatic resource, including how that limit was determined, and incorporate relevant references used. Include the size of each aquatic resource in acres or linear feet and attach and reference related figures as needed. [N/A]
 - a. Traditional Navigable Waters (TNWs) (a)(1)(i): N/A
 - b. The Territorial Seas (a)(1)(ii): N/A

⁶ This MFR should not be used to complete a new stand-alone TNW determination. A stand-alone TNW determination for a water that is not subject to Section 9 or 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 (RHA) is completed independently of a request for an AJD. A stand-alone TNW determination is conducted for a specific segment of river or stream or other type of waterbody, such as a lake, where upstream or downstream limits or lake borders are established.

⁷ 33 CFR 329.9(a) A waterbody which was navigable in its natural or improved state, or which was susceptible of reasonable improvement (as discussed in § 329.8(b) of this part) retains its character as “navigable in law” even though it is not presently used for commerce, or is presently incapable of such use because of changed conditions or the presence of obstructions.

⁸ This MFR is not to be used to make a report of findings to support a determination that the water is a navigable water of the United States. The district must follow the procedures outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a determination that water is a navigable water of the United States subject to Section 10 of the RHA.

MVP

SUBJECT: 2023 Rule, as amended, Approved Jurisdictional Determination in Light of *Sackett v. EPA*, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023), MVP-2017-03464-ALH

- c. Interstate Waters (a)(1)(iii): N/A
- d. Impoundments (a)(2): N/A
- e. Tributaries (a)(3): N/A
- f. Adjacent Wetlands (a)(4): N/A
- g. Additional Waters (a)(5): N/A

8. NON-JURISDICTIONAL AQUATIC RESOURCES AND FEATURES

- a. Describe aquatic resources and other features within the review area identified in the 2023 Rule as amended as not “waters of the United States” even where they otherwise meet the terms of paragraphs (a)(2) through (5). Include the type of excluded aquatic resource or feature, the size of the aquatic resource or feature within the review area and describe how it was determined to meet one of the exclusions listed in 33 CFR 328.3(b).⁹
[N/A]

- b. Describe aquatic resources and features within the review area that were determined to be non-jurisdictional because they do not meet one or more categories of waters of the United States under the 2023 Rule as amended (e.g., tributaries that are non-relatively permanent waters; non-tidal wetlands that do not have a continuous surface connection to a jurisdictional water).

[Wetland 1 is a ruderal wet meadow and includes an agricultural ditch, which directs the water from north to south towards an unnamed tributary. The southern portion of the wetland is connected to the unnamed waterway by a culvert according to the wetland delineation report therefore it is not abutting any relatively permanent waters. There are no other aquatic resources mapped near Wetland 1.

Wetland 2 is a ruderal wet meadow that is situated in a depressional area and is entirely within the delineated area according to the wetland delineation. It is surrounded by uplands and there are no relatively permanent aquatic resources indicated on the wetland delineation in the delineated area. According to the National Regulatory Viewer, the National Wetland Inventory layer has no wetlands indicated in the location of Wetland 2. The USA Soils Hydric class shows partially hydric soils in Wetland 2.

These wetlands are not TNWs, territorial seas, or interstate waters and therefore are not (a)(1) waters. The wetlands do not physically abut a relatively permanent paragraph (a)(2) impoundment or a jurisdictional (a)(3) tributary and are not separated from a

⁹ 88 FR 3004 (January 18, 2023)


MVP

SUBJECT: 2023 Rule, as amended, Approved Jurisdictional Determination in Light of *Sackett v. EPA*, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023), MVP-2017-03464-ALH

jurisdictional water by a natural berm, bank, dune, or similar natural landform. These wetlands are non-tidal wetlands that are not adjacent to a relatively permanent jurisdictional water and as such do not meet the definition of adjacent and cannot be evaluated as (a)(4) adjacent wetlands; therefore, the wetlands are not jurisdictional under the 2023 Revised Definition of ‘Waters of the United States’; Conforming” 88 FR 61964 Final Rule.]

9. DATA SOURCES. List sources of data/information used in making determination. Include titles and dates of sources used and ensure that information referenced is available in the administrative record.
 - a. [Office evaluation conducted on 27 March 2025]
 - b. [Wetland Delineation Design Drive Greenville, Wisconsin by McMahon Associates, Inc. dated February 19, 2025]
 - c. [Wetland Delineation Koerner Family Trust Property Wisconsin by McMahon Associates, Inc. dated April 24, 2024]
 - d. [National Regulatory Viewer – USA Soils Hydric Class layer, National Wetland Inventory layer, Hillshade layer, DEM layer and WDNR Imagery 2022, 2020 and 2015 layers accessed on 27 March 2025]
10. OTHER SUPPORTING INFORMATION. [N/A]
11. NOTE: The structure and format of this MFR were developed in coordination with the EPA and Department of the Army. The MFR’s structure and format may be subject to future modification or may be rescinded as needed to implement additional guidance from the agencies; however, the approved jurisdictional determination described herein is a final agency action.

MVP-2017-03464-ALH FIGURE 1 OF 3



USGS The National Map: National Boundaries Dataset, 3DEP Elevation Program, Geographic Names Information System, National Hydrography Dataset, National Land Cover Database, National Structures Dataset, and National Transportation Dataset; USGS Global Ecosystems; U.S. Census Bureau TIGER/Line data; USFS Road data; Natural Earth Data; U.S. Department of State HIU; NOAA National Centers for Environmental Information

W:\PROJECTS\G001\092300774\CADD\GIS\WetlandFig1_north_A.mxd January 31, 2025 kpk



1 inch = 2,000 feet



VILLAGE OF
GREENVILLE
EST. 1848


McMAHON
ENGINEERS ARCHITECTS

McMAHON ASSOCIATES, INC.

McMAHON ASSOCIATES, INC. provides this drawing and data, regardless of form; as instruments of service. All rights including copyrights are retained by McMAHON ASSOCIATES, INC. This client and/or recipient agrees to the fullest extent permitted by law to indemnify and hold McMAHON ASSOCIATES, INC. harmless for any reuse of or changes made to the original drawing or data without prior written consent by McMAHON ASSOCIATES, INC.

FIGURE 1
SITE LOCATION & TOPOGRAPHIC MAP
DESIGN DRIVE
VILLAGE OF GREENVILLE
OUTAGAMIE COUNTY, WISCONSIN

MVP-2017-03464-ALH FIGURE 2 OF 3





McMAHON
ENGINEERS ARCHITECTS
McMAHON ASSOCIATES, INC.

McMAHON ASSOCIATES, INC provides this drawing and data, regardless of form; as instruments of service. All rights including copyrights are retained by McMAHON ASSOCIATES, INC. This client and/or recipient agree to the fullest extent permitted by law to indemnify and hold McMAHON ASSOCIATES, INC harmless for any reuse of or changes made to the original drawing or data without prior written consent by McMAHON ASSOCIATES, INC.



1 inch = 2,000 feet

FIGURE 1
SITE LOCATION & TOPOGRAPHIC MAP
KOERNER TRUST PROPERTY
VILLAGE OF GREENVILLE
OUTAGAMIE COUNTY, WISCONSIN



AJD REVIEW AREA: NON-JURISDICTIONAL

PARCEL ID NO. 111086300
 OWNER: RUBY COENEN SURVIVOR TRUST, AN UNDIVIDED 1/2 INTEREST PURSUANT TO THE TERMS OF THE COENEN FAMILY REVOCABLE TRUST DATED JANUARY 1, 1993, AND RUBY COENEN FAMILY TRUST, AN UNDIVIDED 1/2 INTEREST PURSUANT TO THE TERMS OF THE COENEN FAMILY REVOCABLE TRUST DATED JANUARY 1, 1993

PARCEL 111086300
 14,988 S.F.
 0.344 ACRES

34,535 S.F. 141,341 S.F.
 0.788 ACRES 3.245 ACRES
 LESS MAYFLOWER R/W INCLUDING MAYFLOWER R/W

86,015 S.F.
 1.975 ACRES
 LESS MAYFLOWER R/W
 89,215 S.F.
 2.048 ACRES
 INCLUDING MAYFLOWER R/W

1,487,518 S.F. 1,530,411 S.F.
 34.149 ACRES 35.133 ACRES
 LESS MAYFLOWER R/W INCLUDING MAYFLOWER R/W

PARCEL ID NO. 111088300
 OWNER: SCOTT ERMERS, THOMAS ERMERS AND GARY ERMERS, TRUSTEES UNDER THE SILAS H. KOERNER IRREVOCABLE REAL ESTATE TRUST, DATED APRIL 14, 2011

CONTINUES OFF PAGE

ALTERNATIVE 3 TOTAL WETLAND DISTURBANCE = 8,996 S.F.

2024 WETLAND DELINEATION
 WETLAND DISTURBANCE

mwright_w:\PROJECTS\60014_09230077A\CADD\Civil3D\Plan_Sheets\Design_Drive_Option_4.dwg, 2/23/24 (2), Plot Date: 3/18/2025 4:31 PM, xrefname

McMahon Associates, Inc. provides of firm; all instruments of service are prepared by the firm. The firm is not responsible for the accuracy of the information provided herein. McMahon Associates, Inc. and its employees shall not be held liable for any use of or reliance on the information herein for any purpose other than that intended by McMahon Associates, Inc. without the prior written consent of McMahon Associates, Inc.

NO.	DATE	REVISION

PROPOSED DESIGN DRIVE ALIGNMENT
VILLAGE OF GREENVILLE, OUTAGAMIE COUNTY, WI
ALTERNATIVE 3

DESIGNED NAV	DRAWN AMS
PROJECT NO. G0014_09-23-00774	
DATE MARCH, 2025	
SHEET NO. 03	