#### APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

## SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

# A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 3/17/23

## B. ST PAUL, MN DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: MVP-2022-00874-DCR

# C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

State: Minnesota County/parish/borough: Carver City: Chaska

Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 44.779149° N, Long. -93.653818° W.

Universal Transverse Mercator: 15

Name of nearest waterbody: Unnamed Creek (M-055-020-001-001)

Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 0702001210

Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.

Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

#### D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

- Office (Desk) Determination. Date: February 28, 2023
- Field Determination. Date(s):

#### <u>SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS</u> A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.

#### B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are no"waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.

- 1. Waters of the U.S.: N/A
- 2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):<sup>1</sup>
  - Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: The review are for this jurisdictional determination is the extent of Wetlands 1 and 2. Wetlands 1 and 2 are surrounded by agricultural fields to the north, south and west, and an industrial building to the east. Wetlands 1 and 2 do not have a surface or shallow subsurface hydrologic connection to any navigable waters or their tributaries. Based upon review of aerial photographs, United States Geological Survey (USGS) 1:24k Quad and its associated National Hydrography Inventory (NWI) maps, topography data and materials submitted, the wetlands are determined to be hydrologically isolated with no surface water connection to a water of the United States (WOTUS). There is no hydrologic connection (i.e. pipe, culvert, drain etc.) from Wetlands 1 and 2 to any other waterbody. A review of the surrounding topogrphy indicated the wetlands are individually located within isolated depressions with no topographical connection to any other waterbody. We have determined these wetlands are isolated and are hydrologically separated from other aquatic resources. The surrounding land is agricultural and industrial and does not provide cover or habitat between the wetlands and other aquatic resources. These wetlands do not have an ecological connection to a WOTUS. The waterbody does not support a link to interstate or foreign commerce because it is not known to be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreation or other purposed, does not produce fish or shellfish that could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce, and is not known to be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstateor foreign commerce. The waterbody was determined to not be a WOTUS and is not jurisdictional under the CWA.

#### SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

- A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs: N/A
- B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY): N/A
- C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION: N/A

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

# D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): N/A

E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): N/A

#### F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

- If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.
- Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.

Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based <u>solely</u> on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).

Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain:

Other (explain, if not covered above):

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply):

- Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).
- Lakes/ponds: acres.
- Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:
- Wetlands: Wetland 1: 0.05 acres, Wetland 2: 0.38 acres.

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):

1 1 1

1 11 1 .

1 1 1 .

C1

- Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft).
- Lakes/ponds: acres.
- Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:

TD / I

Wetlands: acres.

# SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.

A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (cneck all that apply - cnecked items shall be included in case life and, where cnecked
and requested, appropriately reference sources below):
Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: 5278 Highway 212: Wetland
Delineation Report (May 25, 2022)
Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
Data sheets prepared by the Corps:
Corps navigable waters' study:
U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
USGS NHD data.
USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name:
USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: accessed February 28, 2023
National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: USFWS NWI accessed February 28, 2023
State/Local wetland inventory map(s):
FEMA/FIRM maps:
100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)
Photographs: 🛛 Aerial (Name & Date): Google earth accessed February 28, 2023
or Other (Name & Date):
Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:
Applicable/supporting case law:
Applicable/supporting scientific literature:
Other information (please specify): MN Topo 2ft contours

# **B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:**