APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): March 06, 2020

B. ST PAUL, MN DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: MVP-2019-01188-MVM Project 100

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

State: Minnesota County/parish/borough: Hennepin City: Maple Grove

Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 45.13092° N, Long. -93.48443° W.

Universal Transverse Mercator: Zone 15, X: 461906.46, Y: 4997608.00

Name of nearest waterbody: Rush Creek

Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 07010206 Upper Mississippi-Crow-Rum

- Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.
- Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

D. <u>REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):</u>

- Office (Desk) Determination. Date: February 12, 2020
- Field Determination. Date(s):

<u>SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS</u> A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are no"waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.

- 1. Waters of the U.S.: N/A
- 2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):¹
 - Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: The review area for this jurisdictional determination is limited to Wetland 1 on the attached figure labeled MVP-2019-01188-MVM Page 2 of 2. This wetland is an isolated depression in an agricultural field with no pipes, swales, or other surface hydrologic connections to other aquatic resources. This was confirmed by reviewing the wetland delineation report submitted by Kjolhaug Environmental Services as well as desktop review of NWI and soil maps and historic and current aerial photography as well as lidar and topographic maps. There is a wetland (Wetland 2) connected to a tributary approximately 400 feet west of Wetland 1; however, topography and lidar support that there is no concentrated or overland flow connection toward Wetland 2. The nearest potential TNW to Wetland 1 is Rice Lake approximately 4500 feet southeast of Wetland 1. The area between Rice Lake and Wetland 1 is heavily developed. Distance from Rice Lake precludes a shallow subsurface or ecological connection. Wetland 1 does not support a link to interstate or foreign commerce because it is not known to be used by the interstate or foreign travelers for recreation or other purposes; Wetland 1 does not produce fish or shellfish that could be taken and sold interstate or foreign commerce; and Wetland 1 is not known to be used for industrial purposes for interstate or foreign commerce. Therefore, Wetland 1 is not a water of the U.S. and is not jurisdictional under the CWA.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs: N/A

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY): N/A

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION: N/A

¹ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

- D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): N/A
- E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): N/A

F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

- If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.
- Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.
 - Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "*SWANCC*," the review area would have been regulated based <u>solely</u> on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).
 - Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain:

linear feet

Other (explain, if not covered above):

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply):

width (ft).

- Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams):
- Lakes/ponds: acres.

Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:

Wetlands: Wetland 1 0.09 acres.

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):

- Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft).
- Lakes/ponds: acres.
- Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:
- Wetlands: acres.

SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.

- A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):
 - Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Kjolhaug Environmental Services
 - Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
 - \boxtimes Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
 - Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
 - Data sheets prepared by the Corps:
 - Corps navigable waters' study:
 - U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
 - USGS NHD data.
 - USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
 - U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: 1:24k Anoka
 - USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Hennepin County Soil Survey
 - National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name:
 - State/Local wetland inventory map(s):
 - FEMA/FIRM maps:
 - 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)
 - Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): Google Earth 1991-2019
 - or Other (Name & Date):
 - Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:
 - Applicable/supporting case law:
 - Applicable/supporting scientific literature:
 - Other information (please specify): Hennepin County Lidar

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: