

### U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS REGULATORY PROGRAM APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM) NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE

# I. ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

Completion Date of Approved Jurisdictional Determination (AJD): 5/17/2021 ORM Number: MVP-2021-00589-RJH Associated JDs: N/A Review Area Location<sup>1</sup>: State/Territory: WI City: Green Bay County/Parish/Borough: Brown

Center Coordinates of Review Area: Latitude 44.522144 Longitude -87.980943

### **II. FINDINGS**

- **A. Summary:** Check all that apply. At least one box from the following list MUST be selected. Complete the corresponding sections/tables and summarize data sources.
  - □ The review area is comprised entirely of dry land (i.e., there are no waters or water features, including wetlands, of any kind in the entire review area). Rationale: N/A
  - □ There are "navigable waters of the United States" within Rivers and Harbors Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete table in Section II.B).
  - □ There are "waters of the United States" within Clean Water Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete appropriate tables in Section II.C).
  - There are waters or water features excluded from Clean Water Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete table in Section II.D).

#### B. Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 Section 10 (§ 10)<sup>2</sup>

§ 10 Name	§ 10 Size		§ 10 Criteria	Rationale for § 10 Determination
N/A.	N/A.	N/A	N/A.	N/A.

#### C. Clean Water Act Section 404

Territorial Seas and Traditional Navigable Waters ((a)(1) waters): <sup>3</sup>						
(a)(1) Name	(a)(1) Size		(a)(1) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(1) Determination		
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.		

Tributaries ((a)(2) waters):						
(a)(2) Name	(a)(2) Size		(a)(2) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(2) Determination		
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.		

Lakes and ponds, and impoundments of jurisdictional waters ((a)(3) waters):						
(a)(3) Name	(a)(3) Size		(a)(3) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(3) Determination		
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.		

Adjacent wetlands ((a)(4) waters):						
(a)(4) Name	(a)(4) Size		(a)(4) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(4) Determination		
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Map(s)/figure(s) are attached to the AJD provided to the requestor.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> If the navigable water is not subject to the ebb and flow of the tide or included on the District's list of Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigable waters list, do NOT use this document to make the determination. The District must continue to follow the procedure outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigability determination.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> A stand-alone TNW determination is completed independently of a request for an AJD. A stand-alone TNW determination is conducted for a specific segment of river or stream or other type of waterbody, such as a lake, where upstream or downstream limits or lake borders are established. A stand-alone TNW determination should be completed following applicable guidance and should NOT be documented on the AJD Form.



### U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS REGULATORY PROGRAM APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM) NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE

# D. Excluded Waters or Features

Excluded waters $((b)(1) - (b)(12))$ : <sup>4</sup>						
Exclusion Name	Exclusio	<i>i v 11</i>	Exclusion <sup>5</sup>	Rationale for Exclusion Determination		
W01	4.43	acre(s)	(b)(1) Non- adjacent wetland.	Based on aerial photography, photos submitted in the wetland delineation report, and the topography around W01, we have determined that this feature is an isolated depression whose boundaries do not extend outside the review area and transition into uplands within the surrounding area. Based on a review of aerial photography we have determined the surrounding area does not support wetland conditions and lacks wet soil signatures, we have determined that the boundaries of W01 transition into uplands within the surrounding area and does not connect to any other aquatic resource (commercial parking area along the East and West, Cofrin Drive to the South and Radisson Drive to the North). There is evidence within the aerial photography and Google Street view that excessive surface water from this features drains into the City of Green Bay's municipal storm water sewer system.		
				W01 does not abut an a(1)-a(3) water and is separated from one by Radisson St and I-43. The nearest a(1)-a(3) waters is a wetland complex abutting Lake Michigan. The elevation difference between these two features is approximately 15 ft. eliminating the likelihood of W01 being flooded in a typical year by an (a)1- a(3) water.		
W02	0.02	acre(s)	(b)(5) Ditch that is not an (a)(1) or (a)(2) water, and those portions of a ditch constructed in an (a)(4) water that do not satisfy the	Based on the submitted delineation report and aerial photography, we have determined that W02 and W03 are ditches constructed in uplands. Review of aerial photography indicate these features were constructed in 1990 as part of the commercial development to channel excess surface water into the City of Green Bay storm water system.		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Some excluded waters, such as (b)(2) and (b)(4), may not be specifically identified on the AJD form unless a requestor specifically asks a Corps district to do so. Corps districts may, in case-by-case instances, choose to identify some or all of these waters within the review area. <sup>5</sup> Because of the broad nature of the (b)(1) exclusion and in an effort to collect data on specific types of waters that would be covered by the (b)(1)

exclusion, four sub-categories of (b)(1) exclusions were administratively created for the purposes of the AJD Form. These four sub-categories are not new exclusions, but are simply administrative distinctions and remain (b)(1) exclusions as defined by the NWPR.



## U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS REGULATORY PROGRAM APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM) NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE

Excluded waters ((	Excluded waters $((b)(1) - (b)(12))$ : <sup>4</sup>					
Exclusion Name	Exclusion Size	Exclusion <sup>5</sup>	Rationale for Exclusion Determination			
W03	0.04	conditions of (c)(1).	After a review of historic aerial photography from the years 1938-Present, we have determined that the areas, in which the W02 and W03 were constructed, lacked sufficient hydrology to support wetland conditions and were constructed in uplands. The soil series (Ke) is a predominantly hydric soil series, however the location and the topography of these resources' locations would not support wetland conditions. Wetlands W02 and W03 boundaries transition into upland areas within the review area and confined to the toe slope of the constructed ditch.			

# **III. SUPPORTING INFORMATION**

- **A.** Select/enter all resources that were used to aid in this determination and attach data/maps to this document and/or references/citations in the administrative record, as appropriate.
  - Information submitted by, or on behalf of, the applicant/consultant: AJD request submitted by Merjent Inc. on 4/02/2021.

This information is sufficient for purposes of this AJD. Rationale: N/A

Data sheets prepared by the Corps: Title(s) and/or date(s).

Photographs: Aerial and Other: Photos submitted in the AJD request. Brown Co 1938, 1960, 1978 & 1990 Aerial Photography.

- $\Box$  Corps site visit(s) conducted on: Date(s).
- Previous Jurisdictional Determinations (AJDs or PJDs): ORM Number(s) and date(s).
- Antecedent Precipitation Tool: *provide detailed discussion in Section III.B*.
- USDA NRCS Soil Survey: Title(s) and/or date(s).
- USFWS NWI maps: Title(s) and/or date(s).
- USGS topographic maps: 1:24K- Green Bay East

## Other data sources used to aid in this determination:

Data Source (select)	Name and/or date and other relevant information
USGS Sources	N/A.
USDA Sources	N/A.
NOAA Sources	N/A.
USACE Sources	N/A.
State/Local/Tribal Sources	N/A.
Other Sources	N/A.

- B. Typical year assessment(s): N/A
- C. Additional comments to support AJD: N/A