APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

- REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD):
- B. ST PAUL, MN DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Fox Meadows-Phase 1, MVP-2021-02486-**RMH**
- C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: This AJD considers wetlands identified as Basin 2, DTI-3B, DTI-5A, DTI-7 and Area A within the approximately 38.7 acre property located in Section 18, Township 108 North, Range 25 West.

State: Minnesota County/parish/borough: Blue Earth County City: Eagle Lake Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 44.1547694° N, Long. -93.873471° W.

Universal Transverse Mercator: X:430155.920536, Y: 4889758.672314, Zone 15

Name of nearest waterbody: Le Sueur River

Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): Upper Mississippi Region Watershed (HUC 07020011)

Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.

Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

Office (Desk) Determination. Date: February 21, 2023

Field Determination. Date(s):

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are no "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.

- 1. Waters of the U.S.: N/A
- Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):1
 - A Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: The review area for this jurisdictional determination is the extent of the wetlands identified as Basin 2, DTI-3B, DTI-5A, DTI-7 and Area Aidentified within the review area. The wetlands are closed depressional areas located entirely within the review area which is an agricultural field. A review of MNDNR Hillshade and aerial imagery did not indicate a surface water connection or known shallow subsurface connections to downstream waters. The closest tributary, identified by the USGS NHD as intermittent, is located approximately 750 feet east of Basin 2 and it is separated from the wetland by an agricultural field and a forested area. A review of 2-foot lidar contours showed closed contours thus confirming that the wetlands are isolated depressional areas. Based upon a review of aerial photographs, United States Geological Survey (USGS) 1:24K Quad and its associated National Hydrography Dataset (NHD), Natural Resource Conservation Service (NRCS) soil survey, National Wetland Inventory (NWI) maps, topography data, and the materials submitted, the wetlands are determined to be hydrologically isolated with no surface water connection to a water of the United States (WOUS). The review area is not within a 100-year floodplain. The wetlands in review are surrounded by upland, and have no swales, ditches, pipes, or other means that would provide a surface or subsurface connection to a WOUS. We have determined that these wetlands are isolated depressions and hydrologically separated from other aquatic resources. The surrounding land use comprised of a gricultural, residential, and transportation uses does not provide cover or habitat between the wetlands and other aquatic resources. These wetlands do not have an ecological connection to a WOUS.

¹ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

The wetlands do not support a link to interstate or foreign commerce because it is not known to be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreation or other purposes; do not produce fish or shellfish that could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce; and is not known to be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate or foreign commerce. The wetlands were determined to not be a WOUS and not to be jurisdictional under the CWA.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

- A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs: N/A
- B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY): N/A
- C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION: N/A

National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name:

- D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): N/A
- E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): N/A

	SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): N/A
F.	NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): ☐ If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements. ☐ Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. ☐ Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR). ☐ Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: ☐ Other (explain, if not covered above):
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width(ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands: Basin 2: 0.14 ac, DTI-3B: 0.03, DTI-5A: 0.03 ac, DTI-7: 0.17 ac and Area A: 0.03 ac. acres.
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands: acres.
SEC	CTION IV: DATA SOURCES. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below): Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Wetland Delineation Report for Section 18 Leray Township Site dated October 28, 2021 submitted by Aquatic EcoSolutions, Inc., and Addendum 2 dated July 28, 2022 submitted by Aquatic EcoSolutions, Inc. Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report. Data sheets prepared by the Corps: Corps navigable waters' study: U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: USGS NHD data. USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps. U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name:USGS 1:24K Quad Name: Madison Lake USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Blue Earth County Soil Survey

10, 2013, 2015, 2017,

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: