APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SEC	CTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION	CCD	28	2018
A.	REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD):	FEB		

·y B

В.	ST PAUL, MN DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: MVP-2016-03821-BBY Blaine Elementar
C.	PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:
	State: Minnesota County/parish/borough: Anoka City: Blaine
	Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 45.198404° N, Long93.155248° W. Universal Transverse Mercator:
	Name of nearest waterbody: Coon Creek
	Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 07010206 Upper Mississippi Region Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request. Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.
D.	REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): ☐ Office (Desk) Determination. Date: January 30, 2018 ☐ Field Determination. Date(s):

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are no"waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.

- 1. Waters of the U.S.: N/A
- Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):1
 - Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: The review area for this AJD includes 1 wetland labeled as Wetland 8 on the enclosed figures labeled MVP-2016-03821-BBY Page 1 of 11 through Page 11 of 11. Wetland 8 does not have surface or shallow sub-surface hydrologic connections to any navigable waters or tributaries. The wetland is a depressional basin surrouded by uplands, and has no swales, pipes, culverts or other means to connect it to waters of the U.S. Wetland 8 does not have a hydrologic connection to a TNW. The agent (Scott Thelen) for this project confirmed, in an email dated January 30, 2018, that there are no culverts in or near wetland 8. We have determined that this wetland is an isolated depression and not considered to be a water of the U.S. Wetlands 8 does not support a link to interstate or foreign commerce because it is not known to be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreation or other purposes; it does not produce fish or shellfish that could be taken or sold in interstate or foreign commerce; and is not known to be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate or foreign commerce. This wetland does not have an ecological connection to other waters within or adjacent to the review area. This

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

- TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs: N/A
- CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY): N/A

waterbody has been determined to not be jurisdictional under the CWA.

- SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION: N/A
- DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): N/A

¹ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): N/A NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements. Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR). Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: Other (explain, if not covered above): Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. acres. List type of aquatic resource: Other non-wetland waters: Wetlands: Wetland 8: 0.28 acres. Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands: acres. SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES. A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below): Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Pinnacle Engineering Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. X ☑ Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report. Data sheets prepared by the Corps: Corps navigable waters' study: U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: USGS NHD data. USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps. U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Anoka County Soil Survey National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: FWS State/Local wetland inventory map(s): FEMA/FIRM maps: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929) 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): Historic Imagery provided by Pinnacle 1991, 1997, 2000, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2006, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015 and 2016 or Other (Name & Date): Google Earth 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015 and 2016 Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: Applicable/supporting case law: Applicable/supporting scientific literature: Other information (please specify): Anoka County LIDAR

ISOLATED (INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE) WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE,

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:

MVP-2016-03821-BBY Page 1 of 11



MVP-2016-03821-BBY Page 2 of 11 250 500 Feet Ditch = 316 LF in **Review Area** 906 Wetland 6 W6-1U W6-1W රිගු 898 3,782 SF 1,881 SF Wetland 4 Wetland 6 898 169,314 SF W4-2WW4-2U Wetland 4 Wetland 7 W4-2W Hetrand 7 898 W4-1U W71U W7-1W 900 4,444 SF 900 W5-1WW5-1U Wetland 5 Wetland 8 W8 Wetland 5 888 904 3.263 SF Wetland 8 12.092 SF 806 Review Area of AJD 902 of Wetland 3 7,627 SF W3-1U WI-1W/ Wetland 1 Wetland 3 17,681 SF 910 904 900 Wetland 2 W1-1W Wetland 1W1-1U 14,870 SF Wetland 2 SA-2 W2-1W 900 900 904 902 900 900 904 904 **LEGEND** Flgure 2. Pinnacle Engineering 11541 95th Ave N. Minneapolis, MN 55369 (763) 315-4501 Site Layout Site Boundary —Ditches (Revised) Sampling Point - Transect www.pineng.com Wetlands 4501 125th AVENUE BLAINE, MINNESOTA Manure pile PROJECT NUMBER: R016495.000 DATE: 05/09/2017

MVP-2016-03821-BBY Page 3 of 11

