APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

~~	-		THIRADA	LIMIXABI
SECTION I:	BA	CKGROUND	INFORM	ATION

- A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): MAR 2 8 2018 MVP-2017-03685-LMG Homestead Circle
- B. ST PAUL, MN DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER:

C	PROJECT I	OCATION	AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

State: Minnesota County/parish/borough: Hennepin City: Eden Prairie

Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 44.872022° N, Long. -93.51746° W.

Universal Transverse Mercator:

Name of nearest waterbody: Purgatory Creek

Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 07020012 Upper Mississippi Region

Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.

Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a

different JD form.

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
 ☑ Office (Desk) Determination. Date: March 15, 2018

Field Determination. Date(s):

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are no "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.

1. Waters of the U.S.: N/A

2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):1

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: There is one wetland within the review area as shown on the enclosed figures labeled MVP-2017-03685-LMG Page 1 of 5 through Page 5 of 5. This wetland was historically surrounded by uplands with no connections to other waters in the immediate area as shown on the enclosed 1960 aerial photo. Excavation occurred in the wetland around 1971 to create an open water basin, likely for wildlife purposes. The wetland was then subsequently converted to a stormwater pond around 1978 when the area was converted from cropland to a residential development. The current state of the aquatic resource is a stormwater pond designed to meet the requirements of Section 02 of the Clean Water Act (CWA). The stormwater pond is subject to the requirements of the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System as a stormwater pond designed for a residential development. Per 328.3(a)(8) of Section 404 of the CWA, waste treatment systems designed to meet the requirements of the CWA are not waters of the United States (WOUS). Therefore, this aquatic resource is not a WOUS.


SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

- A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs: N/A
- B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY): N/A
- C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION: N/A
- D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): N/A

¹ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

E.	DE	DLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, GRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY CH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): N/A
F.		PN-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements. Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR). Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: Other (explain, if not covered above):
	fact	vide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR cors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional gment (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands: acres.
	Pro a fii 	vide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such adding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands: 1.26 acres.
	SUP	ON IV: DATA SOURCES. PORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked
	and	requested, appropriately reference sources below): Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant:Kjolhaug Environmental Services Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report. Data sheets prepared by the Corps: Corps navigable waters' study: U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: USGS NHD data. USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
		U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: 1:24K Shakopee USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Hennepin County Soil Survey National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: FWS NWI State/Local wetland inventory map(s): FEMA/FIRM maps: 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929) Photographs: ☒ Aerial (Name & Date): 1937-2016 aerial photos or ☐ Other (Name & Date): Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: Applicable/supporting case law: Applicable/supporting scientific literature: Other information (please specify):MnTOPO

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:






Figure 2 - Site Location Diagram
Water Body 07-23-A

