APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

- A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 11/10/2021
- B. ST PAUL, MN DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: 2021-02264-EJI
- C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

State: Minnesota County/parish/borough: Douglas City: Miltona

Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 46.077927° N, Long. -95.346191° E.

Universal Transverse Mercator:

Name of nearest waterbody: Vermont Lake

Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): Spruce Creek

Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.

Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

☑ Office (Desk) Determination. Date: 11/10/2021

Field Determination. Date(s):

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are no"waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.

- 1. Waters of the U.S.: N/A
- 2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):1
 - Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: Wetlands 1, 4, 5, 6,7, 8, 17, 19 and 20 are isolated wetland depressions with no surface water connection to navigable waters. Based on a review of aerial photography and the wetland delineation report submitted by the requestor, we have determined that these features are isolated wetland depressions with boundaries that transition into uplands. Review of Google Earth aerial imagery and figures submitted in the wetland delineation report do not show a surface water connection to a WoUS. The wetlands outlined above do not support links to interstate or foreign commerce; are not known to be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreation or other purposes; do not produce fish or shellfish that could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce; and are not known to be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. These wetlands do not have an ecological connection to a water of the U.S. (WoUS). Furthermore, the areas are hydrologically isolated with no surface water connections to a WoUS. Therefore, the Corps has determined Wetlands 1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 17 19 and 20 are not regulated by the Corps under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act. Wetlands 9, 10, 11, 12 and 18 are ditches constructed in uplands, These roadside ditches were constructed in uplands to convey runoff from the adjacent roadway, drain only uplands, and do not carry a relatively permanent flow of water into waters of the U.S. (WoUS). This was confirmed by reviewing aerial photography, hydric soils, and national wetland and hydrography data provided in the delineation report. Per the Rapanos decision, the assessed ditches are not WoUS because they are not tributaries and do not have a significant nexus to traditionally navigable waters.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

- A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs: N/A
- B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY): N/A
- C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION: N/A

¹ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): N/A E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): N/A F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements. Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR). Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: Other (explain, if not covered above): **Ditches (See Section II.B.2)** Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands: Wetland 1: 0.11 acres, Wetland 4: 0.03 acres, Wetland 5: 0.15 acres, Wetland 6: 0.01 acres, Wetland 7: 0.01 acres, Wetland 8: 0.03 acres Wetland 9: 0.01 acres, Wetland 10: 0.02 acres, Wetland 11: 0.02 acres, Wetland 12: 0.02 acres, Wetland 17: 0.06 acres, Wetland 18: 0.01 acres, Wetland 19: 0.23 acres, Wetland 20: 0.12 acres. Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet. width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands: acres. **SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.** A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below): Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Vermont Lake Access Road Delineation Report dated September, 2021 Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. ☑ Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report. Data sheets prepared by the Corps: Corps navigable waters' study: U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: USGS NHD data. USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps. U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: State/Local wetland inventory map(s): FEMA/FIRM maps: 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929) Photographs:
Aerial (Name & Date): Google Earth 1992, 2003, 2004, 2006, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2013,

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:

Applicable/supporting scientific literature: Other information (please specify):

Applicable/supporting case law:

or Other (Name & Date):

Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:

2016