APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): October 29, 2021

B. ST PAUL, MN DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: MVP-2021-01903-AJK

•	DDOTECTI	OCATION	ANIDDA	CIZCROLIND	INFORMATION:
().	PROJECTI	AUCATION	ANDKA	CKGKUUND	INFORWATION:

State: WI County/parish/borough: Kenosha City: Pleasant Prairie
Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 42.5249° N, Long87.8958° W.
Universal Transverse Mercator: Zone 16N
Name of nearest waterbody: Unnamed tributary to Des Plaines River
Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): Jerome Creek-Des Plaines River (071200040104)
Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.
Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc) are associated with this action and are recorded on a
different JD form.

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

\boxtimes	Office (Desk) Determination. Date: September 29, 2021
	Field Determination. Date(s):

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are no"waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.

1. Waters of the U.S.: N/A

2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):1

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: The review area contains five wetlands, labeled Wetland A (0.44 acre), B (0.15 ac), D (0.15 ac), E (0.01 ac), and F (0.01 ac). Wetlands A and B were delineated as completely surrounded by upland and are isolated, based on a review of the submitted materials which included topography, National Wetland Inventory, Wisconsin Wetland Inventory, a soils map, and a delineation map. There are no culverts or other features providing a surface or subsurface connection to a downstream water. The nearest tributary is unnamed to the Des Plaines River, approximately 1,600 feet to the north of Wetland A.

Wetlands A and B do not border, are not neighboring to or contiguous with another water of the US. They are not separated from another water of the US by man-made barriers, dikes, or berms. Due to existing and surrounding land use (manicured upland and commercial development) and proximity to other waters, there are no ecological connections to other wetlands or waters. These aquatic resources do not support a link to interstate or foreign commerce; are not known to be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreation or other purposes; do not produce fish or shellfish that could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce; and are not known to be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. Therefore, the Corps has determined that Wetlands A and B are not regulated by the Corps under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act.

Wetlands D, E, and F are non-tidal drainage ditches that were excavated on dry land (based on aerial photos and non-hydric soils) sometime between 2000 and 2002 to convey localized runoff as part of the Jelly Belly commercial development. Based on a review of aerial photos, these ditches do not carry relatively permanent flow. In accordance with the 2008 Rapanos guidance and the preamble to the 1986 Corps Regulations (33 CFR Parts 320-330), the Corps does not generally consider the following to be waters of the U.S.; non-tidal drainage and irrigation ditches excavated on dry land. Further clarification is

¹ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

provided in the December 2, 2008 Revised Guidance on Clean Water Act Jurisdiction following the Supreme Court Decision in Rapanos v. U.S. and Carabell v. U.S. issued jointly by the Corps and EPA which indicates that ditches excavated wholly in and draining only uplands and do not carry a relatively permanent flow are not waters of the United States

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

- TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs: N/A
- B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY): N/A
- SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION: N/A

100-year Floodplain Elevation is:

- D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL
- E

	THAT APPLY): N/A				
Е.	ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): N/A				
F.	NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements. Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR). Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: Other (explain, if not covered above): See Section II.B.2 above.				
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands: 0.59 acres.				
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: . Wetlands: acres.				
SEC A.	CTION IV: DATA SOURCES. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below): Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Materials submitted by JSD Professional Services on 9/13/2021 Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report. Data sheets prepared by the Corps: Corps navigable waters' study: U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: USGS NHD data. USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps. U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: Pleasant Prairie Quadrangles USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Kenosha County National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: Kenosha County				
	State/Local wetland inventory map(s): Wisconsin Wetland Inventory FEMA/FIRM maps:				

(National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)

\boxtimes	Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): Google Earth historic aerial photos
	or 🛮 Other (Name & Date): Ground level site photos from Helianthus delineation report
	Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:
	Applicable/supporting case law:
	Applicable/supporting scientific literature:
	Other information (please specify):

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: