APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM **U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): March 8, 2022

B. ST PAUL, MN DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: 2021-01583-MMG

\boldsymbol{C}	DDOILECTI	OCATION	AND BACKGROUND	INDODMATION.

County/parish/borough: Rice

State: MN Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 44.471717° N, Long. -93.290937° E. Universal Transverse Mercator: 0701010104 Name of nearest waterbody: Knowles Creek Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request. Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

City: Bridgewater

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

\boxtimes	Office (Desk) Determination.	Date: February 7, 2022
	Field Determination. Date(s):	

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are no"waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.

- 1. Waters of the U.S.: N/A
- Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):1
 - A Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: In accordance with the preamble to the 1986 Corps Regulations (33 CFR Parts 320-330), the Corps does not generally consider the following activities to be waters of the United States; non-tidal drainage and irrigation ditches excavated on dry land; and artificial wetlands created by excavating dry land to collect and retain water and used exclusively as a settling basin. Further clarification is provided in the December 2, 2008 Revised Guidance on Clean Water Act Jurisdiction following the Supreme Court Decision in Rapanos v. U.S. and Carabell v. U.S. issued jointly by the Corps and EPA which indicates that ditches excavated wholly in and draining only uplands and do not carry a relatively permanent flow are not waters of the United States.

The review area contains 11 aquatic resources. According to the project consultant and wetland delineation report subitted by Midwest Natural Resources, Inc., Wetland 2 (0.01 acre), Wetland 3 (0.14 acre), Wetland 5 (0.12 acre), Wetland 6 (0.06 acre), Wetland 7 (0.06 acre), Wetland 8 (0.18 acre), Wetland 9 (0.1 acre), Wetland 10 (0.44 acre), Wetland 12 (0.55 acre) and s-21014-001 (0.01 acre) are roadside ditches and stormwater basins constructed in uplands associated with the I-35/TH 19 intersection. These linear ditches and stormwater basins are located outside of mapped wetlands on the National Wetlands Inventory and largely outside of mapped hydric soils. The ditches do not convey relatively permanent flow (as seen in photos from delineation report), were constructed wholly in uplands during initial construction, and drain only uplands (based on delineation report and LiDAR imagery). Therefore, Wetland 2, Wetland 3, Wetland_5, Wetland_6, Wetland_7, Wetland_8, Wetland_9, Wetland_10, Wetland_12 and s-21014-001, as shown on the attached map are not a waters of the U.S.

The review area also contains one intrastate wetland (Wetland 4).

¹ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

This wetland is surrounded by uplands and does not have a direct hydrologic surface connection to downstream waters. This was confirmed by reviewing the supporting information in III(A) below. Soils maps show the area to be surrounded by non-hydric soils, the NWI map does not include this area as wetland with the area surrounded by non-wetlands, and aerial imagery shows the areas surrounded by an upland forested area with no wetland hydrology or vegetation signatures connecting the wetlands to downstream jurisdictional waters. It is not separated from other WOUS by man-made dikes, barriers, or berms. The surrounding landuse is a mixture of residential development and agriculture. The nearby development disturbance and presence of I-35/TH 19 intersection precludes an ecological connection to a WOUS.

This aquatic resource does not support a link to interstate or foreign commerce; is not known to be used by interstate or foregn travelers for recreation or other purposes; does not produce fish or shellfish that could taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce; is not known to be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. Therefore, the Corps has determined that Wetland_4, as shown of attached figures, is not regulated by the Corps under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

- A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs: N/A
- B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY): N/A
- C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION: N/A
- D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): $\ensuremath{\mathrm{N/A}}$
- E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): N/A

F.	NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):										
	 If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements. ☑ Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. ☑ Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Pula" (MPR) 										
							"Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR). Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain:				
							Other (explain, if not covered above):				
							Other (explain, if not covered above).				
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR										
	factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional										
	judgment (check all that apply):										
		Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).									
		Lakes/ponds: acres.									
		Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: .									
Wetlands: Wetland 4 is 0.37 acres.											
Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such											
a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):											
	Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft).										
	Lakes/ponds: acres.										
	Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:										
	Wetlands: acres.										

SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.

- A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):
 - Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: SP 6603-29 Intersection Improvements of I-35 at TH-19 Wetland Delineation Report dated May 24, 2021 by Midwest Natural Resources. Inc.

Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.

	Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.			
	Data sheets prepared by the Corps:			
	Corps navigable waters' study:			
\boxtimes	U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:			
	☐ USGS NHD data.			
	USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.			
\boxtimes	U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: USGS Topographic Quads (USA Topo Maps)			
\boxtimes	USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Websoil survey 2008 data			
\boxtimes	National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: National Wetlands Inventory			
\boxtimes	State/Local wetland inventory map(s): DNR NWI Update - MN, 2010-2018			
	FEMA/FIRM maps:			
	100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)			
\boxtimes	Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): Google Earth Aerial Imagery (1991-2017)			
	or ☑ Other (Name & Date): Ground level photos in delineation report			
	Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:			
	Applicable/supporting case law:			
	Applicable/supporting scientific literature:			
\boxtimes	Other information (please specify):2-foot contours Minnesota (LiDAR Service)			

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: