APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

- A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): November 18, 2021
- B. ST PAUL, MN DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: MVP-2005-00297-SSC, McKinley Parkway (County Road 30 Properties)
- C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

State: Minnesota County/parish/borough: Wright City: Delano

Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 45.0333° N, Long. -93.8151° W.

Universal Transverse Mercator: Zone 15

Name of nearest waterbody: South Fork Crow River

Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): Upper Mississippi Region HUC: 07010205

Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.

Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

- D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
 - ☑ Office (Desk) Determination. Date: November 1, 2021
 - Field Determination. Date(s):

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are no"waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.

- 1. Waters of the U.S.: N/A
- 2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):1
 - Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: The review area contains several aquatic resources. This AJD is limited to the boundary of Wetland 1. Based on review of aerial photgraphy, USGS topographic maps, and the wetland delineation report submitted by the requestor, we have determined that this will is an isolated wetland with boundaries that transition into uplands.

Wetland 1 located along the southwest edge of the site boundary. The wetland is surrounded by upland as show on the 2-foot LiDAR contour figure submitted in the wetland delineation report. The delineator did not observe any drainage features or inlets/outlets from the wetland, noting the road appeared to prevent drainage of the wetland. Review of aerial imagery does not show any obvious culvert connection under the adjacent roadway. However, the offsite-wetland across the road appears to also be surrounded by upland. The National Wetland Inventory (NWI) denotes this wetland but does not have a surface connection a WoUS. This is supported by the National Hydrography Dataset (NHD) and USGS topographic maps.

The wetland does not support links to interstate or foreign commerce; is not known to be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreation or other purposes; does not produce fish or shellfish that could be takend and sold in interstate or foreign commerce; and is not known to be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. Theis wetland does not have an ecological connection to a WOUS. Furthermore, the area is hydrologically isolated with no surface water connections to a water of the United States. Therefore, the Corps has determined that Wetland 1 is not regulated by the Corps under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

¹ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

- A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs: N/A
- B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY): N/A
- C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION: N/A
- D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): N/A
- Ε.

| E. | ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): N/A |
|----|---|
| F. | NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): ☐ If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements. ☐ Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. ☐ Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR). ☐ Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: ☐ Other (explain, if not covered above): |
| | Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands: Wetland 1: 5.16 acres. |
| | Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands: acres. |
| | CTION IV: DATA SOURCES. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below): Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: County Road 30 Properties Wetland Delineation Report dated November 19, 2018 Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report. Data sheets prepared by the Corps: Corps navigable waters' study: U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: USGS NHD data. USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps. |
| | U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: Delano 1981 and 2019 (24K), Buffalo 1958 (62.5K) USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: NWI State/Local wetland inventory map(s): FEMA/FIRM maps: 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929) Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): Google Earth - 2016, 2017, 2018 or ☐ Other (Name & Date): Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: Applicable/supporting case law: |

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: