## APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

### SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

- A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): February 7, 2022
- B. ST PAUL, MN DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: MN Highway 3 Trail, MVP-2021-02125-RMH
- c. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: This AJD considers wetlands identified as Wetlands 1 and 2 within the approximately 21.5 acre property located in Section 30, Township 110 North, Range 22 West.

Stat	e: Minnesota	County/parish/borough: Rice County	City: Faribault	
Cen	ter coordinates of site (la	nt/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 44.311501	°N, Long93.280555°W.	
		Universal Transverse Mercator: X: 477624.737	646, Y: 4906509.814177, Zone 15	
Name of nearest waterbody: Cannon River				
Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): Upper Mississippi Region Watershed (HUC 07040002)				
$\boxtimes$	Check if map/diagram of	of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/a	re available upon request.	
	Check if other sites (e.g	., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc) are ass	ociated with this action and are recorded on a	
	different JD form.			

## D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

- ☑ Office (Desk) Determination. Date: February 7, 2021
- Field Determination. Date(s):

#### SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.

## B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are no"waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.

- 1. Waters of the U.S.: N/A
- 2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):1
  - A Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: The review area for this jurisdictional determination is the extent of Wetlands 1 and 2 identifed as PEM1B fresh (wet) meadow wetlands within the review area. The wetlands are depressional basins located within an urban area that do not have a surface or shallow subsurface hydrologic connection to any navigable waters or their tributaries. Based upon a review of aerial photographs, United States Geographical Society (USGS) 1:24K Quad and its associated National Hydrography Dataset (NHD), Natural Resource Conservation Service (NRCS) soil survey, National Wetland Inventory (NWI) maps, topography data, and the materials submitted, the wetlands have been determined to be hydrologically isolated with no surface water connection to any waters of the United States (WOUS). The Cannon River is located approximately 1700' southeast of the site, a review of imagery did not show any evidence of a surface water connection. Residential and commercial developments are located between the river and the wetlands. The wetlands are surrounded by non-jurisdictional upland, and have no swales, ditches, pipes, or other means that would provide a surface or subsurface connection to a WOUS. We have determined that Wetlands 1 and 2 are isolated depressions and hydrologically separated from other aquatic resources. The surrounding land use comprised of residential and transportation uses does not provide cover or habitat between the wetlands and other aquatic resources. The wetlands do not have an ecological connection to a WOUS.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

The wetlands do not support a link to interstate or foreign commerce because they are not known to be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreation or other purposes; do not produce fish or shellfish that could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce; and are not known to be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate or foreign commerce. The wetlands were determined to not be WOUS and therefore not to be jurisdictional under the CWA.

## **SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS**

- TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs: N/A
- CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY): N/A
- SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION: N/A C.

State/Local wetland inventory map(s):

▼ FEMA/FIRM maps:

- D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): N/A
- E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE,

DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): N/A
NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):  If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.  Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.  Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).  Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: Other (explain, if not covered above):
Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply):  Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width(ft).  Lakes/ponds: acres.  Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:  Wetlands: Wetland 1: 0.20 ac and Wetland 2: 1.67 ac acres.
Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):  Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft).  Lakes/ponds: acres.  Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: .  Wetlands: acres.
UPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):  Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Wetland Delineation Report for Minnesota Highway 3 Trail submitted by Bolton & Menk, Inc.  Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.  Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.  Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.  Data sheets prepared by the Corps:  Corps navigable waters' study:  U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:  USGS NHD data.  USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.  U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name:USGS 1:24K Quad Name: Faribault  USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Rice County Soil Survey  National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name:

100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)
Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): County Imagery: 2019, MnDNR National Wetlands Inventory
(NWI): 2019, MnDNR Public Waters Inventory (PWI): 2019 USDA NRCS: 2019, Minnesota
Historical Aerial Photographs Online (MHAPO): 1938, 195, 1964
or Other (Name & Date): Site photos submitted with delineation report
Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:
Applicable/supporting case law:
Applicable/supporting scientific literature:
Other information (please specify): National Regulatory Viewer Layers: 2ft Lidar contours, 3DEP
Hillshade, FEMA National Flood Hazard

# B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: