

U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS REGULATORY PROGRAM APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM) NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE

I. ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

Completion Date of Approved Jurisdictional Determination (AJD): 3/16/2021

ORM Number: 2016-03859-MMJ; St. Claire Lakes AJD

Associated JDs: NA

Review Area Location¹: State/Territory: MN City: Columbus County/Parish/Borough: Anoka Co.

Center Coordinates of Review Area: Latitude 45.252919 Longitude -93.036604

II. FINDINGS

A.	Su	mmary: Check all that apply. At least one box from the following list MUST be selected. Complete the
	cor	responding sections/tables and summarize data sources.
		The review area is comprised entirely of dry land (i.e., there are no waters or water features, including
		wetlands, of any kind in the entire review area). Rationale: NA
		There are "navigable waters of the United States" within Rivers and Harbors Act jurisdiction within the
		review area (complete table in Section II.B).
		There are "waters of the United States" within Clean Water Act jurisdiction within the review area
		(complete appropriate tables in Section II.C).
	\boxtimes	There are waters or water features excluded from Clean Water Act jurisdiction within the review area
		(complete table in Section II.D).

B. Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 Section 10 (§ 10)²

				,
§ 10 Name	§ 10 Size		§ 10 Criteria	Rationale for § 10 Determination
N/A.	N/A.	N/A	N/A.	N/A.

C. Clean Water Act Section 404

Territorial Seas and Traditional Navigable Waters ((a)(1) waters):3					
(a)(1) Name	(a)(1) Size		(a)(1) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(1) Determination	
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	

Tributaries ((a)	Tributaries ((a)(2) waters):					
(a)(2) Name	(a)(2) Size		(a)(2) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(2) Determination		
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.		

Lakes and ponds, and impoundments of jurisdictional waters ((a)(3) waters):				
(a)(3) Name	(a)(3) Size		(a)(3) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(3) Determination
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.

Adjacent wetlands ((a)(4) waters):						
(a)(4) Name	(a)(4) Size		(a)(4) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(4) Determination		
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.		

¹ Map(s)/figure(s) are attached to the AJD provided to the requestor.

² If the navigable water is not subject to the ebb and flow of the tide or included on the District's list of Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigable waters list, do NOT use this document to make the determination. The District must continue to follow the procedure outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigability determination.

³ A stand-alone TNW determination is completed independently of a request for an AJD. A stand-alone TNW determination is conducted for a specific segment of river or stream or other type of waterbody, such as a lake, where upstream or downstream limits or lake borders are established. A stand-alone TNW determination should be completed following applicable guidance and should NOT be documented on the AJD Form.



U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS REGULATORY PROGRAM APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM) NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE

D. Excluded Waters or Features

Excluded waters ((b)(1) - (b)(12)): The review area for this AJD is limited to the excluded waters described below and shown on the enclosed figures labeled as 2016-03859-MMJ, AJD Figures 1-9.

and shown on the er	nciosed fig	gures label		MMJ, AJD Figures 1-9.
Exclusion Name	Exclusion	on Size	Exclusion ⁵	Rationale for Exclusion Determination
Ditch feature	300	linear	(b)(5) Ditch that	The ditch feature within the review area for this AJD
		feet	is not an (a)(1)	spans approximately 300 linear feet and is located
			or (a)(2) water,	between Wetland 5 and Wetland 4, as shown on the
			and those	enclosed map labeled 2016-03839-MMJ; AJD Figure
			portions of a	6. Historic aerials for the project site indicate that this
			ditch constructed	ditch was likely constructed sometime before 1965.
			in an (a)(4)	There are no historic or existing tributary features
			water that do not	mapped within the review area, and the ditch feature
			satisfy the	does not appear to have been constructed through a
			conditions of	wetland that would be considered adjacent to any
			(c)(1).	downstream jurisdictional waters. Existing topography
				on the site indicates that Wetland 5 is surrounded by
				upland and was likely an depressional isolated
				wetland basin prior to the construction of the ditch
				feature on its northern boundary; Wetland 5 is located
				at an elevation of approximately 896' (see Figure 7),
				which is approximately 6 feet higher than the
				approximate boundary for downstream Wetland 4.
				The soil map for the review area indicates that the
				ditch may have been constructed within a hydric soil
				unit, but the National Wetland Inventory (NWI) and
				the delineation completed for the project site indicate
				that the portion of ditch between Wetland 5 and
				Wetland 4 is surrounded by upland. In addition, the
				delineation report and recent photos taken on-site
				document that the ditch feature is usually dry, and it
				does not have intermittent flow. This ditch feature is
				not an (a)(1) or (a)(2) water; it was not constructed in
				a tributary, does not relocate a tributary, and it was
187 (1 1 =		, ,	(1)(4) 11	not constructed in an adjacent (a)(4) wetland.
Wetland 5	0.50	acre(s)	(b)(1) Non-	Wetland 5 is adjacent to the non-jurisdictional ditch
			adjacent	feature described above and shown on the enclosed
			wetland.	figures. Wetland 5 is also a non-jurisdictional feature;
				it does not qualify as an adjacent (a)(4) water.

III. SUPPORTING INFORMATION

A. Select/enter all resources that were used to aid in this determination and attach data/maps to this document and/or references/citations in the administrative record, as appropriate.

☑ Information submitted by, or on behalf of, the applicant/consultant: Jacobson delineation.
This information is sufficient for purposes of this AJD.

⁴ Some excluded waters, such as (b)(2) and (b)(4), may not be specifically identified on the AJD form unless a requestor specifically asks a Corps district to do so. Corps districts may, in case-by-case instances, choose to identify some or all of these waters within the review area.

⁵ Because of the broad nature of the (b)(1) exclusion and in an effort to collect data on specific types of waters that would be covered by the (b)(1) exclusion, four sub-categories of (b)(1) exclusions were administratively created for the purposes of the AJD Form. These four sub-categories are not new exclusions, but are simply administrative distinctions and remain (b)(1) exclusions as defined by the NWPR.



U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS REGULATORY PROGRAM APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM) NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE

	Rationale: NA
	Data sheets prepared by the Corps: Title(s) and/or date(s).
\boxtimes	Photographs: Aerial: Historic: 1965 & Google Earth imagery 1991-2020.
	Corps site visit(s) conducted on: Date(s).
	Previous Jurisdictional Determinations (AJDs or PJDs): ORM Number(s) and date(s)
	Antecedent Precipitation Tool: provide detailed discussion in Section III.B.
\boxtimes	USDA NRCS Soil Survey: Anoka County
\boxtimes	USFWS NWI maps: MnDNR
\boxtimes	USGS topographic maps: 1:24k Linwood

Other data sources used to aid in this determination:

Data Source (select)	Name and/or date and other relevant information
USGS Sources	N/A.
USDA Sources	N/A.
NOAA Sources	N/A.
USACE Sources	N/A.
State/Local/Tribal Sources	N/A.
Other Sources	NA.

B. Typical year assessment(s): NA

C. Additional comments to support AJD: Wetland 5 and the ditch feature described above and shown on the enclosed figures, are excluded waters under the Navigable Waters Protection Rule; they are not jurisdictional waters of the U.S.