

**APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM**  
**U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

**SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

**A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD):** April 10, 2020

**B. ST PAUL, MN DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER:** MVP-2008-03327-AJK Port Washington

**C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:**

State: WI County/parish/borough: Ozaukee City: Mequon

Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 43.21638° N, Long. -87.92586° W.

Universal Transverse Mercator: UTM Zone 16

Name of nearest waterbody: Unnamed trib to Milwaukee River

Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): Lower Milwaukee River-Frontal Lake Michigan  
(0404000306)

☒ Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.

☐ Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

**D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):**

☒ Office (Desk) Determination. Date: March 19, 2020

☐ Field Determination. Date(s):

**SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS**

**A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.**

There are no “*navigable waters of the U.S.*” within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.

**B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.**

There are no “*waters of the U.S.*” within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.

**1. Waters of the U.S.:** N/A

**2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):<sup>1</sup>**

- ☒ Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: **The review area consists of six wetlands: Wetland 1 (0.37 ac), 2 (0.17), 3 (0.06 ac), 4 (0.63 ac), 5 (0.06 ac), and 6 (0.07 ac). All six of these wetlands are isolated, per review of the August 2016 Wetlands and Waterways delineation report, which included a topographic map, NRCS soils map, Wisconsin Wetland Inventory map, aerial photography, and ground level site photographs. A nursing home facility used to sit on the north portion of the site until it was demolished in 2008. Wetlands 1, 2, and 3 have formed due to changing site conditions since 2011. Wetland 1 has a stormwater inlet located at the southern edge and an outlet at the northern edge, and the wetland continues outside of the review area. This larger area was reviewed for connections to another water of the U.S. (WOUS) and none were observed. Wetland 2 is a man-made ditch system that connects to an old artificial pond. The wetland is connected by a stormwater culvert inlet, but does not connect to another WOUS. Wetland 3 is an isolated emergent wetland in a small depression. Wetlands 4, 5, and 6 are isolated forested wetlands with no visible surface or shallow subsurface connections to another WOUS.**

**These wetlands do not border, are not neighboring to or contiguous with another water of the US. They are not separated from another water of the US by man-made barriers, dikes or berms. Due to existing and surrounding land use (residential and commercial development) and proximity to other waters, there are no ecological connections to other wetlands or waters. These aquatic resources do not support a link to interstate or foreign commerce; are not known to be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreation or other purposes; do not produce fish or shellfish that could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce; and are not known to be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. Therefore, the Corps has determined that wetlands 1-6 are isolated and are not regulated by the Corps under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act.**

<sup>1</sup> Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

### **SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS**

**A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs:** N/A

**B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):** N/A

**C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION:** N/A

**D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):** N/A

**E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):** N/A

**F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):**

- ☐ If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.
- ☒ Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.
  - ☒ Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).
- ☐ Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain:
- ☐ Other (explain, if not covered above):

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply):

- ☐ Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams):          linear feet          width (ft).
- ☐ Lakes/ponds:          acres.
- ☐ Other non-wetland waters:          acres. List type of aquatic resource:          .
- ☒ Wetlands: 1.36 acres.

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):

- ☐ Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams):          linear feet,          width (ft).
- ☐ Lakes/ponds:          acres.
- ☐ Other non-wetland waters:          acres. List type of aquatic resource:          .
- ☐ Wetlands:          acres.

### **SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.**

**A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):**

- ☒ Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Wetlands and Waterways, LLC

August 2016 wetland delineation report

- ☐ Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
  - ☐ Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
  - ☐ Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
- ☐ Data sheets prepared by the Corps:
- ☐ Corps navigable waters' study:
- ☐ U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
  - ☐ USGS NHD data.
  - ☐ USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
- ☒ U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: 7.5 minute Quadrangle Thiensville, WI
- ☒ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Ozaukee County
- ☐ National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name:
- ☒ State/Local wetland inventory map(s): Wisconsin Wetland Inventory
- ☐ FEMA/FIRM maps:
- ☐ 100-year Floodplain Elevation is:          (National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929)
- ☒ Photographs: ☒ Aerial (Name & Date): Google Earth aerial photographs (2000-2018)  
or ☒ Other (Name & Date): Ground level site photos from delineation report

- ☒ Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: 2008-03327-RMG (January 4, 2012) and 2011-00746-ADJ (January 4, 2012)
- ☐ Applicable/supporting case law:
- ☐ Applicable/supporting scientific literature:
- ☐ Other information (please specify):

**B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:** Two existing AJDs were previously prepared and have expired (2008-03327-RMG and 2011-00746-ADJ). The 2016 delineation report provided different wetland boundaries than shown on the two AJDs issued January 2012, so the site was re-evaluated using the updated wetland boundaries.