

## U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS REGULATORY PROGRAM APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM) NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE

### I. ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

Completion Date of Approved Jurisdictional Determination (AJD): 8/27/2021

ORM Number: MVP-2021-01575-SSC

Associated JDs: N/A

Review Area Location<sup>1</sup>: State/Territory: MN City: Lino Lakes County/Parish/Borough: Anoka

Center Coordinates of Review Area: Latitude 46.166605 Longitude -93.021520

#### II. FINDINGS

A. Summary: Check all that apply. At least one box from the following list MUST be selected. Complete the corresponding sections/tables and summarize data sources.
The review area is comprised entirely of dry land (i.e., there are no waters or water features, including wetlands, of any kind in the entire review area). Rationale: N/A
There are "navigable waters of the United States" within Rivers and Harbors Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete table in Section II.B).
There are "waters of the United States" within Clean Water Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete appropriate tables in Section II.C).
There are waters or water features excluded from Clean Water Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete table in Section II.D).

### B. Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 Section 10 (§ 10)<sup>2</sup>

§ 10 Name	§ 10 Size		§ 10 Criteria	Rationale for § 10 Determination
N/A.	N/A.	N/A	N/A.	N/A.

#### C. Clean Water Act Section 404

Territorial Seas and Traditional Navigable Waters ((a)(1) waters):3					
(a)(1) Name	(a)(1) Size		(a)(1) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(1) Determination	
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	

Tributaries ((a)(2) waters):						
(a)(2) Name	(a)(2) Size		(a)(2) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(2) Determination		
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.		

Lakes and ponds, and impoundments of jurisdictional waters ((a)(3) waters):					
(a)(3) Name	(a)(3) Size		(a)(3) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(3) Determination	
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	

Adjacent wetlands ((a)(4) waters):						
(a)(4) Name	(a)(4) Size		(a)(4) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(4) Determination		
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Map(s)/figure(s) are attached to the AJD provided to the requestor.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> If the navigable water is not subject to the ebb and flow of the tide or included on the District's list of Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigable waters list, do NOT use this document to make the determination. The District must continue to follow the procedure outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigability determination.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> A stand-alone TNW determination is completed independently of a request for an AJD. A stand-alone TNW determination is conducted for a specific segment of river or stream or other type of waterbody, such as a lake, where upstream or downstream limits or lake borders are established. A stand-alone TNW determination should be completed following applicable guidance and should NOT be documented on the AJD Form.



## U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS REGULATORY PROGRAM APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM) NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE

#### D. Excluded Waters or Features

Excluded waters ((b)(1) – (b)(12)): <sup>4</sup> The review area for this AJD is limited to the excluded waters described					
	below and shown on the enclosed figures labeled as MVP-2021-01575-SSC, Pages 1-2				
Exclusion Name	Exclusion Size		Exclusion <sup>5</sup>	Rationale for Exclusion Determination	
Wetland 1	2.47	acre(s)	(b)(1) Non-	Wetland 1 is a large wetland that extends offsite	
			adjacent wetland.	to the Northwest of the site boundary. Review of	
				the Rice Creek Watershed District (RCWD) Map	
				Viewer shows that a tile line is buried within the	
				wetland and drains to the west beneath	
				agricultural land, roadways, and residential	
				developments before emptying into an open	
				water ditch (Anoka County Ditch 55). The tile line	
				is not a natural or historic tributary. Review of the	
				National Hydrography Dataset (NHD) does not	
				show a surface water connection to an a(1)-a(3)	
				water. The 2-foot LiDAR contours (RCWD Map	
				Viewer) show the wetland as being surrounded	
				by uplands. Based on this information, Wetland	
				1 is not a water of the U.S. under the Navigable	
				Waters Protection Rule (NWPR).	
Wetlands 2, 3, 4,	Total =	acre(s)	(b)(1) Non-	Wetlands 2, 3, 4, and 5 are surrounded by	
and 5	1.43	, ,	adjacent wetland.	uplands and do no show a surface water	
				connection to an a(1)-a(3) water (NHD). This is	
				also supported by historic and recent USGS	
				topographic maps. Based on this information,	
				Wetlands 2, 3, 4, and 5 are not waters of the	
				U.S. under the NWPR.	

### III. SUPPORTING INFORMATION

A. Select/enter all resources that were used to aid in this determination and attach data/maps to this document and/or references/citations in the administrative record, as appropriate.

X	Information submitted by, or on behalf of, the	applicant/consultant:	Belland Farr	n Site – \	Wetland
De	elineation Report dated August 5, 2021				

	This information is sufficient for purposes of this AJD.
	Rationale: N/A
	Data sheets prepared by the Corps: Title(s) and/or date(s).
	Photographs: Select. Title(s) and/or date(s).
	Corps site visit(s) conducted on: Date(s).
	Previous Jurisdictional Determinations (AJDs or PJDs): ORM Number(s) and date(s).
	Antecedent Precipitation Tool: provide detailed discussion in Section III.B.
	USDA NRCS Soil Survey: Title(s) and/or date(s).
	USFWS NWI maps: Title(s) and/or date(s).
$\boxtimes$	USGS topographic maps: Centerville 1952, Centerville 2019

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Some excluded waters, such as (b)(2) and (b)(4), may not be specifically identified on the AJD form unless a requestor specifically asks a Corps district to do so. Corps districts may, in case-by-case instances, choose to identify some or all of these waters within the review area.

<sup>5</sup> Because of the broad nature of the (b)(1) exclusion and in an effort to collect data on specific types of waters that would be covered by the (b)(1)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Because of the broad nature of the (b)(1) exclusion and in an effort to collect data on specific types of waters that would be covered by the (b)(1) exclusion, four sub-categories of (b)(1) exclusions were administratively created for the purposes of the AJD Form. These four sub-categories are not new exclusions, but are simply administrative distinctions and remain (b)(1) exclusions as defined by the NWPR.



# U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS REGULATORY PROGRAM APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM) NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE

### Other data sources used to aid in this determination:

Data Source (select)	Name and/or date and other relevant information
USGS Sources	The National Map – NHD layer viewed August 27, 2021
USDA Sources	N/A.
NOAA Sources	N/A.
USACE Sources	N/A.
State/Local/Tribal Sources	Rice Creek Watershed District Map Viewer
Other Sources	N/A.

B. Typical year assessment(s): N/A

C. Additional comments to support AJD: N/A