APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

- A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 07 July 2022
- B. ST PAUL, MN DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER:
- C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

State: Wisconsin County/parish/borough: Burnett City: Grantsburg

Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 45.70978° N, Long. -92.64536° W.

Universal Transverse Mercator: 15N

Name of nearest waterbody: Isaac Lake

Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 07030005 (Lower St. Croix)

Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.

Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

Office (Desk) Determination. Date:

Field Determination. Date(s): May 5, 2022

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are no"waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.

- 1. Waters of the U.S.: N/A
- 2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):¹
 - Explain: Wetland Area 1 (2,110 square feet) within the review area is located in a closed depression surrounded by agricultural fields. The site has never been developed. The wetland is approximately 0.10 miles north of STH 48; Isaac Lake is located 0.6 miles to the northeast. The wetland does not have any unbroken surface or shallow subsurface connections to Isaac Lake. It is not mapped within the 100-year floodplain. This wetland is not adjacent (bordering, contigusous, or neighboring) to another WOUS and is not separated from other WOUS by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, or beach dunes. Due to its distance from Isaac Lake, there is no science-based inference (ex. does not support life cycles of amphibians or anadramous and catadramous fish) that supports an ecological connection. Additionally, there is no link to interstate or foreign commerce and it is not used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreation or another purpose. This wetland does not produce fish or shellfish that could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce, and is not known to be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. Therefore, the Corps has determined that the wetland is hydrologically isolated and is not regulated by the Corps under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

- A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs: N/A
- B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY): N/A
- C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION: N/A
- D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): $\ensuremath{\mathrm{N/A}}$

¹ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): N/A F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements. Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR). Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: Other (explain, if not covered above): Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: \bowtie Wetlands: 0.05 acres. Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands: **SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.** A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below): Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Wetland Delineation Report dated September 2021 Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report. Data sheets prepared by the Corps: Corps navigable waters' study: U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: ☐ USGS NHD data. USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps. U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: 1:24K Grantsburg ☑ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Web Soil Survey. National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: State/Local wetland inventory map(s): Wisconsin Wetland Inventory FEMA/FIRM maps: 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929) Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): or 🛛 Other (Name & Date): Site photos provided in Wetland Delineation Report Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: Applicable/supporting case law: Applicable/supporting scientific literature: Other information (please specify): Burnett County - LiDAR contours

E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE,

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: A swale feature that is sometimes visible on aerial imagery northeast of Wetland 1, was investigated in the field during a site visit on 5/5/2022; the area did not meet wetland criteria for vegetation, hydrology, or soils. It ultimately terminates and is not visible on the landscape prior to reaching wetlands located offsite to the north. The Corps has determined that the wetland (Wetland 1) within the review area is hydrologically isolated, and is therefore not regulated by the Corps under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act.