



**U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
REGULATORY PROGRAM
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM)
NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE**

I. ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

Completion Date of Approved Jurisdictional Determination (AJD): 10/2/2020

ORM Number: MVP-2020-01440-CCK

Associated JDs: N/A

Review Area Location¹: State/Territory: Minnesota City: North Branch County/Parish/Borough: Isanti

Center Coordinates of Review Area: Latitude 45.5501777 Longitude -93.06416229

II. FINDINGS

A. Summary: Check all that apply. At least one box from the following list MUST be selected. Complete the corresponding sections/tables and summarize data sources.

- ☐ The review area is comprised entirely of dry land (i.e., there are no waters or water features, including wetlands, of any kind in the entire review area). Rationale: N/A
- ☐ There are "navigable waters of the United States" within Rivers and Harbors Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete table in Section II.B).
- ☐ There are "waters of the United States" within Clean Water Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete appropriate tables in Section II.C).
- ☒ There are waters or water features excluded from Clean Water Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete table in Section II.D).

B. Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 Section 10 (§ 10)²

§ 10 Name	§ 10 Size	§ 10 Criteria	Rationale for § 10 Determination
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.

C. Clean Water Act Section 404

Territorial Seas and Traditional Navigable Waters ((a)(1) waters): ³				
(a)(1) Name	(a)(1) Size	(a)(1) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(1) Determination	
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	

Tributaries ((a)(2) waters):				
(a)(2) Name	(a)(2) Size	(a)(2) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(2) Determination	
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	

Lakes and ponds, and impoundments of jurisdictional waters ((a)(3) waters):				
(a)(3) Name	(a)(3) Size	(a)(3) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(3) Determination	
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	

Adjacent wetlands ((a)(4) waters):				
(a)(4) Name	(a)(4) Size	(a)(4) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(4) Determination	
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	

¹ Map(s)/figure(s) are attached to the AJD provided to the requestor.

² If the navigable water is not subject to the ebb and flow of the tide or included on the District's list of Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigable waters list, do NOT use this document to make the determination. The District must continue to follow the procedure outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigability determination.

³ A stand-alone TNW determination is completed independently of a request for an AJD. A stand-alone TNW determination is conducted for a specific segment of river or stream or other type of waterbody, such as a lake, where upstream or downstream limits or lake borders are established. A stand-alone TNW determination should be completed following applicable guidance and should NOT be documented on the AJD Form.



**U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
REGULATORY PROGRAM
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM)
NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE**

D. Excluded Waters or Features

Excluded waters ((b)(1) – (b)(12)): ⁴				
Exclusion Name	Exclusion Size		Exclusion ⁵	Rationale for Exclusion Determination
Wetland 9, Wetland 10, Wetland 11, Wetland 13	0.227	acre(s)	(b)(1) Nonadjacent wetland.	Wetlands 9, 10, 11, and 13 contain roadside ditches that are constructed through non-jurisdictional wetlands. A review of the data sources in Section III.A indicates that the wetlands are geographically isolated and physically separated from potential downstream waters. These wetlands may have at one time been part of jurisdictional wetlands but connection has since been severed by development such as roadways; and are now surrounded by uplands.
Wetland 2, Wetland 4, Wetland 12	0.255	acre(s)	(b)(5) Ditch that is not an (a)(1) or (a)(2) water, and those portions of a ditch constructed in an (a)(4) water that do not satisfy the conditions of (c)(1).	Wetlands 2, 4, and 12 are roadside ditches that were constructed in uplands and are now linear wetlands.

III. SUPPORTING INFORMATION

A. Select/enter all resources that were used to aid in this determination and attach data/maps to this document and/or references/citations in the administrative record, as appropriate.

☒ Information submitted by, or on behalf of, the applicant/consultant: [Isanti County Highway Department, SAP 030-621-006 Joint Permit Application](#)

This information is sufficient for purposes of this AJD.

Rationale: [N/A](#)

- ☐ Data sheets prepared by the Corps: [Title\(s\) and/or date\(s\)](#).
- ☒ Photographs: [Aerial: Google Earth Imagery, 1991-2020, Undated photos from Applicant](#)
- ☐ Corps site visit(s) conducted on: [Date\(s\)](#).
- ☐ Previous Jurisdictional Determinations (AJDs or PJDs): [ORM Number\(s\) and date\(s\)](#).
- ☐ Antecedent Precipitation Tool: [provide detailed discussion in Section III.B](#).
- ☐ USDA NRCS Soil Survey: [Title\(s\) and/or date\(s\)](#).
- ☒ USFWS NWI maps: [USFWS NWI of MN, 1974-1978](#)
- ☒ USGS topographic maps: [1:24k USGS Stark Quadrangle](#)

Other data sources used to aid in this determination:

⁴ Some excluded waters, such as (b)(2) and (b)(4), may not be specifically identified on the AJD form unless a requestor specifically asks a Corps district to do so. Corps districts may, in case-by-case instances, choose to identify some or all of these waters within the review area.

⁵ Because of the broad nature of the (b)(1) exclusion and in an effort to collect data on specific types of waters that would be covered by the (b)(1) exclusion, four sub-categories of (b)(1) exclusions were administratively created for the purposes of the AJD Form. These four sub-categories are not new exclusions, but are simply administrative distinctions and remain (b)(1) exclusions as defined by the NWPR.



**U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
REGULATORY PROGRAM
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM)
NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE**

Data Source (select)	Name and/or date and other relevant information
USGS Sources	N/A.
USDA Sources	N/A.
NOAA Sources	N/A.
USACE Sources	N/A.
State/Local/Tribal Sources	N/A.
Other Sources	N/A.

B. Typical year assessment(s): N/A or provide typical year assessment for each relevant data source used to support the conclusions in the AJD.

C. Additional comments to support AJD: The review are for this determination is limited to the delineated boundaries of 7 wetland areas labeled as Wetland 2, Wetland 4, Wetland 9, Wetland 10, Wetland 11, Wetland 12, and Wetland 13 on the attached figures labeled 2020-01440-CCK AJD Attachments, Pages 1-4.. The total area of non-jurisdictional waters within the review area is approximately .482 acres.

All of the wetlands within the review area meet the definition of “wetlands” under paragraph (c)(16) and are not (a)(4) waters because they do not meet the definition of “adjacent wetlands” under paragraph (c)(1). Wetlands 9, 10, 11 and 13 Wetlands 9, 10, 11, and 13 contain roadside ditches that are constructed through non-jurisdictional wetlands. A review of the data sources in Section III.A indicates that the wetlands are geographically isolated and physically separated from potential downstream waters. These wetlands may have at one time been part of jurisdictional wetlands but connection has since been severed by development such as roadways; and are now surrounded by uplands. Wetlands 2, 4, and 12 were constructed in uplands to convey runoff form the adjacent roadway, and are now linear wetlands that meet the definition of “ditch” under paragraph (c)(2). In addition, the ditches are not (a)(1) waters, are not relocated tributaries, are not constructed in a tributary, and are not constructed in an adjacent wetland. This was confirmed by reviewing aerial photography, national hydrography data, and topographic data. Based on this review, the assessed ditches are not jurisdictional under the Navigable Waters Protection Rule because they meet the requirements of the exclusion for ditches under paragraph (b)(5).