

**APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM**  
**U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

**SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

**A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD):** December 14, 2022

**B. ST PAUL, MN DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER:** MVP-2022-02104-MMG

**C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:**

State: Minnesota

County/parish/borough: Faribault

City: Blue Earth

Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 43.652416° N, Long. -94.099961° E.

Universal Transverse Mercator: Zone 15

Name of nearest waterbody: Blue Earth River

Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC):

☒ Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.

☐ Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

**D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):**

☒ Office (Desk) Determination. Date: December 14, 2022

☐ Field Determination. Date(s):

**SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS**

**A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.**

There are no “navigable waters of the U.S.” within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.

**B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.**

There are no “waters of the U.S.” within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.

**1. Waters of the U.S.: N/A**

**2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):<sup>1</sup>**

- ☒ Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: **In accordance with the preamble to the 1986 Corps Regulations (33 CFR Parts 320-330) and the 2008 Rapanos guidance, the Corps does not generally consider the following activities to be waters of the United States; artificial ponds created by excavating and/or diking dry land to collect and retain water and are used exclusively as a settling basin. According to the wetland delineation report submitted along with the permit application, one manmade stormwater pond constructed in uplands was delineated within the review area. OAR 1 as shown on the attached figures is described as wetlands formed within an upland constructed stormwater pond to store runoff from the nearby impervious surfaces with one stormsewer outlet discharging into the pond. A review of desktop resources outlined in section IV confirms that OAR 1 is outside of mapped hydric soils, is not included as a NWI wetland, and is situated within the 1074' and 1076' elevation contours. Aerial imagery obtained from 1950 confirms the review area to be upland farmfield with no evidence of saturation or surface water. Therefore, OAR 1 (0.2 acres), as shown on the attached figures is not a water of the U.S.**

**SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS**

**A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs:** N/A

**B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):** N/A

**C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION:** N/A

**D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):** N/A

<sup>1</sup> Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

**E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):** N/A

**F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):**

- ☐ If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.
- ☐ Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.
  - ☐ Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in “*SWANCC*,” the review area would have been regulated based solely on the “Migratory Bird Rule” (MBR).
- ☐ Waters do not meet the “Significant Nexus” standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain:
- ☒ Other (explain, if not covered above): **See Section II.B.2 above**

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply):

- ☐ Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams):      linear feet      width (ft).
- ☐ Lakes/ponds:      acres.
- ☐ Other non-wetland waters:      acres. List type of aquatic resource:      .
- ☐ Wetlands:      acres.

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the “Significant Nexus” standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):

- ☐ Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams):      linear feet,      width (ft).
- ☐ Lakes/ponds:      acres.
- ☐ Other non-wetland waters:      acres. List type of aquatic resource:      .
- ☐ Wetlands:      acres.

**SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.**

**A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):**

- ☒ Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: **Blue Earth Truck Station SP 8827-391 Wetland Delineation Report dated October 11, 2022 by Sambatek on behalf of Minnesota Department of Transportation District 7**
- ☐ Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
  - ☐ Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
  - ☐ Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
- ☐ Data sheets prepared by the Corps:
- ☐ Corps navigable waters’ study:
- ☒ U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
  - ☒ USGS NHD data.
  - ☐ USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
- ☐ U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name:
- ☒ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: **Faribault County**
- ☒ National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: **National Wetland Inventory**
- ☒ State/Local wetland inventory map(s): **DNR Protected Waters Inventory Map**
- ☐ FEMA/FIRM maps:
- ☐ 100-year Floodplain Elevation is:      (National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929)
- ☒ Photographs: ☒ Aerial (Name & Date): **1950**  
or ☒ Other (Name & Date): **Ground-level photos in the delineation report**
- ☐ Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:
- ☐ Applicable/supporting case law:
- ☐ Applicable/supporting scientific literature:
- ☒ Other information (please specify): **University of Minnesota - Minnesota Historical Aerial Photographs Online**

**B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:**