APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

- A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): September 19, 2022
- **B.** ST PAUL, MN DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: 2022 Storm Sewer and Street Construction Site, Village of Belgium (Wetlands 1 and 8) / 2022-01057-JLK

C.	. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:			
	State:Wisconsin	County/parish/borough: Ozaukee	City: Belgium	
	Center coordinates of site (lat/long	g in degree decimal format): Lat. 43.50325°	N, Long87.85598° E.	

Universal Transverse Mercator: Zone 16

Name of nearest waterbody: Unnamed Tributary of the Onion River

Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): Northwestern Lake Michigan (04030101)

\bowtie	Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.
	Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc) are associated with this action and are recorded on
	different ID form

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

\boxtimes	Office (Desk) Determination.	Date: August 19, 2022

Field Determination. Date(s):

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are no"waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.

1. Waters of the U.S.: N/A

2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):1

Notentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: Based on a review of aerial photography, topographic maps, and the wetland delineation report submitted by the requestor, we have determined that Wetland 8 is an isolated wetland depression with boundaries that transition into uplands. As depicted in current and historical aerial imagery, the wetland signatures observed within the delineated wetland feature does not extend outside of Wetland 8 which is surrounded entirely by uplands involving agricultural fields in all directions. In addition, the majority of the review area has minor changes in elevation, as shown in the USGS topo maps, indicating water collects and remains within the wetland boundaries.

Wetland 8 does not abut and is not separated from any waters of the U.S. by natural or man-made features. The nearest mapped tributary is an unnamed tributary to the Onion River located approximately 0.27 mile to the east. A review of historical aerial photos indicates the review area and all surrounding areas were in agricultural production since before 1938. Then sometime before 1963, Elevator Lane was constructed along with further development to the east. Uplands involving agricultural fields, commercial development, and a railroad track separate this wetland from the unnamed tributary to the east. Based on this information, Wetland 8 is ecologically separated from all other aquatic resources.

Wetland 8 does not support a link to interstate foreign commerce; is not known to be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreation or other purposes; does not produce fish or shellfish that could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce; and is not known to be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. Therefore, the Corps has determined Wetland 8 is not regulated by the Corps under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act.

¹ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

The review area also includes one aquatic resource (southern/linear feature labeled as Wetland 1 on 2022-01057-JLK Figure 2 of 2) that is a ditch constructed in uplands. Wetland 1 was constructed sometime before 1938 and serves as a drainage ditch between the adjacent agricultural field to the west and the roadway to the east. The Wisconsin Surface Water Data Viewer shows there are no mapped wetlands or hydric soils within the review area and a review of site photos indicates this ditch drains only uplands. In accordance with the preamble to the 1986 Corps Regulations (33 CFR Parts 320-330), the Corps does not generally consider the following waters to be waters of the United States; non-tidal drainage ditches excavated on dry land. Further clarification is provided in the December 2, 2008 Revised Guidance on Clean Water Act Jurisdiction following the Supreme Court Decision in Rapanos v. U.S. and Carabell v. U.S. issued jointly by the Corps and EPA which indicates that ditches excavated wholly in and draining only uplands and do not carry a relatively permanent flow are not waters of the United States. Based on this information, Wetland 1 is a non-WOUS which are not subject to Corps jurisdiction under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act, or Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act.

The northern portion of aquatic feature Wetland 1 is an approximately 0.17 acre stormwater pond that was constructed in uplands prior to 2000 and is not a water of the US. The Wisconsin Surface Water Data Viewer demonstrates no hydric soils within the pond footprint. The non-jurisdictional determination for this pond is in accordance with the preamble to the 1986 Corps Regulations (33 CFR Parts 320-330), which states that the Corps does not generally consider the following to be waters of the US; artificial lakes or ponds created by excavating and/or diking dry land to collect and retain water and which are used exclusively for such purposes as stock watering, irrigation, settling basins or rice growing.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

- A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs: N/A
- B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY): N/A
- C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION: N/A

Other non-wetland waters:

Wetlands:

- D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): $\ensuremath{\mathrm{N/A}}$
- E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): N/A

F.	If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers	
	Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.	
	Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.	
	Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on t	he
	"Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).	
	Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain:	
	Other (explain, if not covered above): The review area includes one ditch (totaling approximately 0.08 acre)	
con	nstructed in uplands that drains only uplands and does not convey a relatively permanent flow. This feature is	
	scribed in Section II.B.2 above. The review area also includes a stormwater pond that is not a water of the US irsuant to the preamble to the Corps 1986 regulations (33 CFR Parts 320-330).	

suant to tl	he preamble to the Corps 1986 reg	ulations (33 C	FR Parts 320-33	30).
factors (i.e.				ole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR for irrigated agriculture), using best professional
Non-w	wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): s/ponds: acres. r non-wetland waters: acres. List ty	linear feet	width (ft).	
	ands: Wetland 8: Totaling 0.02 as		source: .	
	creage estimates for non-jurisdictional was required for jurisdiction (check all that		w area that do not r	neet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such
	wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): s/ponds: acres.	linear feet,	width (ft).	

acres. List type of aquatic resource:

SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.			
A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked			
and requested, appropriately reference sources below):			
Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: McMahon Associates, Inc. 2022			
Wetland Delineations			
Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.			
Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.			
Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.			
☐ Data sheets prepared by the Corps:			
Corps navigable waters' study:			
U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:			
☑ USGS NHD data.			
USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.			
U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name:			
☑ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Ozaukee County			
☐ National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name:			
State/Local wetland inventory map(s): Wisconsin Wetland Inventory			
FEMA/FIRM maps:			
☐ 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)			
or ☑ Other (Name & Date): Ground level photos			
Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:			
Applicable/supporting case law:			
Applicable/supporting scientific literature:			
Other information (please specify):			

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: