APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): March 14, 2023

B. ST PAUL, MN DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: 2007-00878-MWM Outdoor Vehicle Parking, Wetland G and H

	_		
		AND BACKGROUND INFORMATIO County/parish/borough: Racine	
Center	coordinates of site (lat/lon	ng in degree decimal format): Lat. 42.75	303° N, Long87.96040° W.
Univers	sal Transverse Mercator:	16	
Name o	of nearest waterbody: Ea	st Branch Root River Canal (W.	BIC 4900)
Name o	of watershed or Hydrolo	ogic Unit Code (HUC): 0404000202	
		n of review area and/or potential jurisdict .g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites	ional areas is/are available upon request. , etc) are associated with this action and are recorded on a
D. R	EVIEW PERFORMED	FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK	ALL THAT APPLY):
	Office (Desk) Determ	ination. Date: February 13, 2023	
	Field Determination.	Date(s):	

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are no"waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.

- 1. Waters of the U.S.: N/A
- 2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):1
 - Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: Wetland G and H are linear non-tidal ditches located in the northwest corner of the site that were constructed in upland and drain only upland. The ditches were cut as part of stormwater management activities associated with roadside ditches for a private driveway, constructed between 2007 and 2010. Wetland G (0.02 acre) is on the west side of the driveway and Wetland H (0.02 acre) is on the east side. Aerial photos prior to construction, including 2005, 2006, and 2007 do not show wetness signatures. Additionally, in those aerial photos, vegetation stress is not evident. Aerial photos after construction, including 2010, 2011, 2014, 2015, 2017, 2018, and 2021 do not show water inundation within the ditches, no wetness signatures can be seen. Based on this, these ditches do not carry relatively permanent flow.

In accordance with the 2008 Rapanos guidance and the preamble to the 1986 Corps Regulations (33 CFR Parts 320-330), the Corps does not generally consider the following to be waters of the U.S.; non-tidal drainage and irrigation ditches excavated on dry land. Further clarification is provided in the December 2, 2008, Revised Guidance on Clean Water Act Jurisdiction following the Supreme Court Decision in Rapanos v. U.S. and Carabellv. U.S. issued jointly by the Corps and EPA which indicates that ditches excavated wholly in uplands and do not carry a relatively permanent flow are not waters of the United States.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

- A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs: N/A
- B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY): N/A

¹ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

- C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION: N/A
- D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): $\ensuremath{\mathrm{N/A}}$
- E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): N/A

	SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): N/A			
F.	NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): ☐ If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements. ☐ Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. ☐ Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR). ☐ Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain:			
	Other (explain, if not covered above): See Section II.B.2 above.			
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).			
	□ Lakes/ponds: acres. □ Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: □ Wetlands: acres.			
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft).			
	 Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: . Wetlands: acres. 			
	CTION IV: DATA SOURCES. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked			
	and requested, appropriately reference sources below):			
	 Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: A wetland delineation report was conducted on August 23, 2022, by Wetland and Waterway Consulting LLC. Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. 			
	☐ Data sneets prepared/submitted by or on benaif of the applicant/consultant. ☐ Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.			
	Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.			
	Data sheets prepared by the Corps:			
	☐ Corps navigable waters' study: ☐ U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:			
	☐ USGS NHD data.			
	USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.			
	 U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: ■ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Soil Web Survey included in the referenced 			
	report. National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name:			
	State/Local wetland inventory map(s): Surface Water Data Viewer, Wisconsin Wetland Inventory included			
	in the referenced report.			
	FEMA/FIRM maps:			
	100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)			
	☐ Photographs: ☐ Aerial (Name & Date): Aerial photos from 2005, 2006, 2007, 2010, 2011, 2014, 2015,			
	2017, 2018, and 2021 included in the referenced report.			
	or \(\subseteq Other (Name & Date): Ground level photos included in the referenced report. \)			
	Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: Applicable/supporting case law:			
	Applicable/supporting scientific literature:			
	Other information (please specify):			

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: $\ensuremath{N/A}$