## APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

### **SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

- A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): January 12, 2022
- B. ST PAUL, MN DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: MVP-2021-02426-MMG

$C$ DD $\Omega$	IECT I OC	TION AND	DACKCDAIND	INFORMATION:

State:M1nnesota	County/parish/borough: Anoka	City: Blaine
Center coordinates of site (lat/lon	g in degree decimal format): Lat. 45.1	677113° N, Long93.1631020° E. Universal
Transverse Mercator: Zone 15		
Name of nearest waterbody: San	d Creek	
Name of watershed or Hydrologi	c Unit Code (HUC): 0701020603	
	view area and/or potential jurisdictional	
_	site mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc.	) are associated with this action and are recorded on a
different JD form.		

#### D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

$\boxtimes$	Office (Desk) Determination	. Date: January	11, 2022
	Field Determination. Date(s)	):	

#### SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

### A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.

#### B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are no"waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.

- 1. Waters of the U.S.: N/A
- 2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):1
  - Explain: In accordance with the preamble to the 1986 Corps Regulations (33 CFR Parts 320-330), the Corps does not generally consider the following to be waters of the United States; non-tidal drainage and irrigation ditches excavated on dry land. The review area contains two aquatic resources, Wetland A is 2,909 square feet and Wetland B is 6,427 square feet in size. According to the project consultant, when CSAH 12 was expanded to a divided four lane road in 2004, the new road was built up above the level of adjacent wetlands. Wetland impacts were offset with off-site mitigation. The median was constructed to provide proper drainage for the roadway with outlets constructed in the median and the entire median seeded with upland seed mix. It was discovered that geotextile fabric was never removed for the outlet covers causing debris to accumulate and block proper drainage from the median causing wetland vegetation to establish around two of the three drainage outlets in the median. Hydric soils have developed within the sand road fill material and the median is not a remnant of the naturally occuring soils in the area. Therefore, Wetland A and Wetland B, as shown on the attached map are not a waters of the U.S.

### **SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS**

- A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs: N/A
- B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY): N/A
- C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION: N/A
- D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): N/A

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): N/A F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements. Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR). Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: Other (explain, if not covered above): See Section II.B.2 above Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands: acres. Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet. width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands: acres. SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES. A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below): Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: CSAH 17 Turn Lanes Project Wetland Delineation Report dated Noember 12, 2021 by Critical Connections Ecological Services, Inc. Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report. Data sheets prepared by the Corps: Corps navigable waters' study: U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: USGS NHD data. USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps. ☑ U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Anoka County National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: National Wetland Inventory State/Local wetland inventory map(s): DNR Protected Waters Inventory Map FEMA/FIRM maps: 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929) Photographs: 
Aerial (Name & Date): Aerial imagery in the delineation report (2004 pre and post 4 lane development) or 🛛 Other (Name & Date): Ground-level photos in the delineation report Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: Applicable/supporting case law: Applicable/supporting scientific literature: Other information (please specify):

E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE,

# B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: