APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

- A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): November 18, 2019
- B. ST PAUL, MN DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Kinseth Hospitality, MVP-2019-02270-KDZ (Wetland 1)
- C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

State: WI County/parish/borough: Dane City: Middleton
Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 43.106682° N, Long89.520311° W.
Universal Transverse Mercator: Zone 16
Name of nearest waterbody: Unnamed Tributary
Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): Mississippi River (0709000206)
Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.
Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc) are associated with this action and are recorded on a

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

Moffice (Desk) Determination. Date: October 30, 2019

Field Determination. Date(s):

different JD form.

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are no"waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.

- 1. Waters of the U.S.: N/A
- 2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):1
 - Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: There is one delineated wetland in the approximately 3.33 acre review area. This wetland is identified as Wetland 1 and is 0.84 acres. In 2010 and 2012, dry land was graded in relation to construction activities for a development project to the east of the review area. Subsequently, Wetland 1 formed in swales created due to grading and filling. These activities were confirmed by review of historic aerial photos, Wisconsin Wetland Inventory, NRCS soil survey, and August 2019 Taylor Conservation LLC wetland delineation report. In accordance with the preamble to the 1986 Corps Regulations (33 CFR 320-330), the Corps does not generally consider the following waters to the waters of the U.S.: waterfilled depressions created in dry land incidental to construction activities.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

- A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs: N/A
- B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY): N/A
- C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION: N/A
- D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): $\rm\,N/A$
- E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): N/A

¹ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

	N-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements. Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR). Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: Other (explain, if not covered above): See Section II.B.2.
facto judgi 	ide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR ors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional ment (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands: acres.
a find	ide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such ding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands: acres.
A. SUPP	NIV: DATA SOURCES. ORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked requested, appropriately reference sources below): Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Taylor Conservation LLC Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report. Data sheets prepared by the Corps: Corps navigable waters' study: U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: USGS NHD data. USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps. U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name:1:24K Quad:Middleton USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Dane County National wetlands inventory map(s): Wisconsin Wetland Inventory FEMA/FIRM maps: 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929) Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date):1937, Google Earth 2000, 2003, 2005, 2006, 2008, 2010, 2012, 4, 2018 or Other (Name & Date):site photos in wetland delineation report Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: Applicable/supporting ease law: Applicable/supporting scientific literature: Other information (please specify):

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: