APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND IN	NFORMATION
--------------------------	------------

- REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): APR 0 9 2019
- ST PAUL, MN DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: CTH S Phase II, MVP-2019-00657-ANM
- C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

State	**	
Ctata	/	

County/parish/borough: Kenosha

City: Kenosha

Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 42.609322° N, Long. -87.936779° W.

Universal Transverse Mercator: Zone 16 (X 423162.396806, Y 4717857.39922)

Name of nearest waterbody: Kilbourn Road Ditch

Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): Great Lakes Region (04040002) and Upper Mississippi

Region (07120004)

Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.

Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

Office (Desk) Determination. Date: April 1, 2019

Field Determination. Date(s):

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are no "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.

- 1. Waters of the U.S.: N/A
- Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):1
 - Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: The review areas contain roadside ditches (Ditches 1-5) and aquatic resources that were created in upland and are not waters of the U.S. This was confirmed by reviewing the 2018 SEWRPC wetland delineation report and addendum, Kenosha County aerials, Google Earth aerials and ground level photos. The roadside ditches were created in upland when the roadways (CTH S, 100th Avenue, and 102nd Avenue) were constructed. CTH S and 100th Avenue were constructed sometime before 1937 and 102nd Avenue was constructed between 1937 and 1963. The size of the aquatic resources within each ditch is 0.19 acre (ditch #1), 0.15 acre (ditch #2), 0.28 acre (ditch #3), 0.13 acre (ditch #4), and 0.25 (ditch #5). The ditches do not have a relatively permanent flow of water. The determination of no jurisdiction for the ditches is in accordance with the preamble to the 1986 Corps Regulations (33 CFR Parts 320-330), which states that the Corps does not generally consider the following to be waters of the U.S.; non-tidal drainage and irrigation ditches excavated on dry land. Further clarification is provided in the December 2, 2008 Revised Guidance on Clean Water Act Jurisdiction following the Supreme Court Decision in Rapanos v. U.S. and Carabell v. U.S. issued jointly by the Corps and EPA, which indicates that ditches excavated wholly in and draining only uplands and that do not carry a relatively permanent flow of water are not waters of the United States.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

- TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs: N/A
- B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY): N/A
- C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION: N/A

¹ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

D.	DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): N/A
Е.	ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): N/A
F.	NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements. Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR). Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: Other (explain, if not covered above): The roadside ditches (Ditches 1-5) are not waters of the U.S. pursuant to the
200	8 Rapanos guidance and the preamble to the Corps 1986 regulations (33 CFR Parts 320-330).
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply):
	Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres.
	Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands: acres.
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands: acres.
	CTION IV: DATA SOURCES.
A. :	SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):
	Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: January 2018 SEWRPC Wetland Delineation report and December 2018 SEWRPC report addendum
	☐ Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. ☐ Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
	Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report. Data sheets prepared by the Corps:
	 □ Corps navigable waters' study: □ U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: □ USGS NHD data. □ USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
	U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name:1:24K WI-Pleasant Prairie
	USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Kenosha County National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name:
	State/Local wetland inventory map(s): Wisconsin Wetland Inventory FEMA/FIRM maps:
	□ 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929) □ Photographs: ☐ Aerial (Name & Date): Google Earth (2016, 2017, and 2018) and Kenosha County aerials (1937, 1970, 1990, 2000, 2015)
	or ☑ Other (Name & Date):Ground level photos from Google Earth and 2018 delineation Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: Applicable/supporting case law:
	Applicable/supporting scientific literature: Other information (please specify):

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: