APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

- A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): May 4, 2020
- B. ST PAUL, MN DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: MVP-2020-00559-NJP
- C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

State: Minnesota County/parish/borough: Stearns City: St. Joseph Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 45.5671° N, Long. 94.2675° W.

Universal Transverse Mercator:

Name of nearest waterbody: Sauk River

Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 07010202

Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.
 Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

☑ Office (Desk) Determination. Date: 03 April 2020

Field Determination. Date(s):

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are no"waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.

- 1. Waters of the U.S.: N/A
- 2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):¹
 - Explain: Subject intrastate wetland (wetland TA-W1) is a disturbed (previously filled) depressional feature that meets all three wetland indicators. This degraded wetland is located outside of the floodplain of the nearest TNW, is topographically isolated from other wetlands within the watershed, represents a small portion of the wetlands that currently exist within that watershed, and is located in an industrial development park thus providing little in terms of wildlife habitat. The wetland is surrounded entirely by uplands, and collects precipitation that is discharged to the water table without any discrenable subsurface flow. There are no topographic features associated with the location that would suggest any form of outlet or swale that could potentially carry surface waters downstream. Based on review of desktop resources and the delineation report submitted by the applicant's agent, no hydrologic connection was indicated to any downstream tributaries. Wetland A is considered to be an isolated water and is non-jurisdictional under section 404 of the Clean Water Act.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

- A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs: N/A
- B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY): N/A
- C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION: N/A
- D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): $\rm N\!/\!A$
- E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): N/A

¹ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

F.		N-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements. Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).
		Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: Other (explain, if not covered above):
	fact	vide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR ors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional gment (check all that apply):
		Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres.
	\boxtimes	Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: . Wetlands: TA-W1 0.07 acres.
		vide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such ding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):
		Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: .
		Wetlands: acres.
SEC	CTIO	ON IV: DATA SOURCES.
A.		PORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked
		requested, appropriately reference sources below):
	\boxtimes	Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Granite City Environmental, LLC Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
		Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report. Data sheets prepared by the Corps: Corps navigable waters' study:
	\boxtimes	U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: ☐ USGS NHD data.
		☐ USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps. U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name:
	\boxtimes	USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Web Soil Survey
	\boxtimes	National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name:
		State/Local wetland inventory map(s): Minnesota DNR NWI Maps
	H	FEMA/FIRM maps: 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)
		Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date):
		or Other (Name & Date): Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:
		Applicable/supporting case law:
	H	Applicable/supporting scientific literature: Other information (please specify):
	_	₫

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: Based on all available information, we determined that the subject wetland does not contain a hydrologic connection to a Navigable Water of the U.S. and is not jurisdictional under the Clean Water Act.