



**U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
REGULATORY PROGRAM
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM)
NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE**

I. ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

Completion Date of Approved Jurisdictional Determination (AJD): 8/9/2021

ORM Number: MVP-2021-01097-DWW

Associated JDs: N/A

Review Area Location¹: State/Territory: MN City: Isanti County/Parish/Borough: Isanti

Center Coordinates of Review Area: Latitude 45.4884055721543 Longitude -93.1451398630605

II. FINDINGS

A. Summary: Check all that apply. At least one box from the following list MUST be selected. Complete the corresponding sections/tables and summarize data sources.

- ☐ The review area is comprised entirely of dry land (i.e., there are no waters or water features, including wetlands, of any kind in the entire review area). Rationale: N/A or describe rationale.
- ☐ There are "navigable waters of the United States" within Rivers and Harbors Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete table in Section II.B).
- ☐ There are "waters of the United States" within Clean Water Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete appropriate tables in Section II.C).
- ☒ There are waters or water features excluded from Clean Water Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete table in Section II.D).

B. Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 Section 10 (§ 10)²

§ 10 Name	§ 10 Size	§ 10 Criteria	Rationale for § 10 Determination
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.

C. Clean Water Act Section 404

Territorial Seas and Traditional Navigable Waters ((a)(1) waters): ³				
(a)(1) Name	(a)(1) Size	(a)(1) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(1) Determination	
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.

Tributaries ((a)(2) waters):				
(a)(2) Name	(a)(2) Size	(a)(2) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(2) Determination	
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.

Lakes and ponds, and impoundments of jurisdictional waters ((a)(3) waters):				
(a)(3) Name	(a)(3) Size	(a)(3) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(3) Determination	
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.

Adjacent wetlands ((a)(4) waters):				
(a)(4) Name	(a)(4) Size	(a)(4) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(4) Determination	
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.

¹ Map(s)/figure(s) are attached to the AJD provided to the requestor.

² If the navigable water is not subject to the ebb and flow of the tide or included on the District's list of Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigable waters list, do NOT use this document to make the determination. The District must continue to follow the procedure outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigability determination.

³ A stand-alone TNW determination is completed independently of a request for an AJD. A stand-alone TNW determination is conducted for a specific segment of river or stream or other type of waterbody, such as a lake, where upstream or downstream limits or lake borders are established. A stand-alone TNW determination should be completed following applicable guidance and should NOT be documented on the AJD Form.



**U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
REGULATORY PROGRAM
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM)
NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE**

D. Excluded Waters or Features

Excluded waters ((b)(1) – (b)(12)): ⁴				
Exclusion Name	Exclusion Size		Exclusion ⁵	Rationale for Exclusion Determination
Wetland	6.39	acre(s)	(b)(1) Non-adjacent wetland.	The wetland in the review area is a depressional hardwood swamp/shallow marsh community type. The wetland is surrounded by upland area with no outlets. The wetland is not adjacent to a (a)(1), (a)(2), or (a)(3) waterway, is not inundated by flooding of a (a)(1), (a)(2), or (a)(3) waterway, and does not connect to an (a)(1) water through an (a)(2) or (a)(3) waterway. The wetland would not be considered an (a)(4) water.

III. SUPPORTING INFORMATION

A. Select/enter all resources that were used to aid in this determination and attach data/maps to this document and/or references/citations in the administrative record, as appropriate.

- ☒ Information submitted by, or on behalf of, the applicant/consultant: **Isanti County**
This information **is** sufficient for purposes of this AJD.
Rationale: **N/A or describe rationale for insufficiency (including partial insufficiency).**
- ☐ Data sheets prepared by the Corps: **Title(s) and/or date(s).**
- ☐ Photographs: **Select. Title(s) and/or date(s).**
- ☐ Corps site visit(s) conducted on: **Date(s).**
- ☐ Previous Jurisdictional Determinations (AJDs or PJDs): **ORM Number(s) and date(s).**
- ☐ Antecedent Precipitation Tool: **provide detailed discussion in Section III.B.**
- ☐ USDA NRCS Soil Survey: **Title(s) and/or date(s).**
- ☒ USFWS NWI maps: **USFWS NWI mapping**
- ☒ USGS topographic maps: **USGS Isanti County**

Other data sources used to aid in this determination:

Data Source (select)	Name and/or date and other relevant information
USGS Sources	N/A.
USDA Sources	N/A.
NOAA Sources	N/A.
USACE Sources	N/A.
State/Local/Tribal Sources	N/A.
Other Sources	N/A.

B. Typical year assessment(s): **N/A**

C. Additional comments to support AJD: **As a result of the Corps review with the most available desktop resources, the wetland is an isolated depressional area surrounded by uplands with no outlets. The wetland is not adjacent to an (a)(1), (a)(2), or (a)(3) waterway, is not inundated by flooding of a (a)(1),**

⁴ Some excluded waters, such as (b)(2) and (b)(4), may not be specifically identified on the AJD form unless a requestor specifically asks a Corps district to do so. Corps districts may, in case-by-case instances, choose to identify some or all of these waters within the review area.

⁵ Because of the broad nature of the (b)(1) exclusion and in an effort to collect data on specific types of waters that would be covered by the (b)(1) exclusion, four sub-categories of (b)(1) exclusions were administratively created for the purposes of the AJD Form. These four sub-categories are not new exclusions, but are simply administrative distinctions and remain (b)(1) exclusions as defined by the NWPR.



**U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
REGULATORY PROGRAM
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM)
NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE**

(a)(2), or (a)(3) waterway, and does not connect to an (a)(1) water through an (a)(2) or (a)(3) waterway. In addition, the Isanit County LGU verified suspected hydric soil in a depression transition to larger 5 acre plus forested swamp and shallow marsh during their site visit in May 2021 (discussed in 6/4/2021 WCA decision).